1	WURTSMITH RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD (RAB) WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2022
2	5:00 P.M.
3	Transcript of the proceedings
4	regarding the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base being held via video teleconference technology and in-person at 120 W. Dwight Street, Oscoda,
5	Michigan.
6	APPEARANCES:
7	TIM SUELTENFUSS, Galen Driscol GREG SCHULZ, Oscoda Township
8	TIM CUMMINGS, Au Sable Township BEN WEISS, USDA-FS
9	SARAH RIFFE, Aerostar
10	COMMUNITY RAB:
11	MARK HENRY, CO-CHAIR BILL GAINES (VIA TELECONFERENCE)
12	DANIEL STOCK DAVID WINN (VIA TELECONFERENCE)
13	CATHY WUSTERBARTH ARNIE LERICHE
14	
15	GOVERNMENT RAB:
16	CATHARINE VARLEY, CO-CHAIR PUNEET VIJ (VIA TELECONFERENCE)
17	BETH PLACE JESSIE STUNTEBECK (VIA TELECONFERENCE)
18	DENISE BRYAN (VIA TELECONFERENCE) REX VAUGHN (VIA TELECONFERENCE)
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Quentina R. Snowden, (CSR-5519)
21	Certified Shorthand Reporter & Notary Public
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ADDRESSING THE BOARD:
2	JAMES BUSSEY ANTHONY SPANIOLA
3	DR. STEVEN TERMAATH STEVE WILLIS
4	HEATHER SMITH ERIC KELLER, OFFICE OF SENATOR GARY PETERS
5	JAKE BENNETT, DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR FOR CONGRESSMAN DAN KILDEE
6	JAY MULLET, WOOD
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (CALL TO ORDER AT 5:01 p.m.) 2 MR. SUELTENFUSS: So, welcome 3 My name is Tim Sueltenfuss with Galen everyone. 4 I'm facilitating the Wurtsmith Restoration 5 Advisory Board meeting this evening. Thank you for 6 taking time to join us, whether in person, virtually 7 or over the phone. Let me first turn to our co-chairs for 8 9 any welcoming remarks. So, we have Dr. Catharine 10 Varley and Mr. Mark Henry. Mark, would you like to 11 make any opening remarks? Then, I'll turn to Dr. 12 Varley. 13 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Thank you all for 14 attending, both those here in person and virtually. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I'd like to 15 16 thank you all for attending, and for being part of 17 this team, and for all of the progress that we are 18 making. 19 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. All right. Well, thank you. We have Mr. Eric Keller with the 20 21 office of Senator Peters to make a statement. 22 Keller? 23 MR. KELLER: I guess I'll bend down. 24 Well, thank you for allowing me a moment to speak.

The Senator, obviously, is not able to be here.

25

He's in DC right now working on a couple of things, including postal reform and cyber security. You know, so I just wanted to provide a quick update since the last RAB meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I'm the Senator's regional director, actually Mid-Michigan now, but I still work on PFAS-related issues and efforts on his behalf here in the state. And since last -- the last update, NDAA, which is the National Defense Authorization, that was passed in December. Congress is currently working on FY22 appropriations. I think there will be movement in the coming weeks, whether -- whether or not a typical omnivorous process moves forward, or it's a continuing resolution is yet to be seen, but they're working diligently as they can to make sure appropriations that have been set forth and been fought for and secured through authorization actually happen. So, I'll continue to update the board members on that piece.

And I bring that up specifically, because there's several provisions, including the creation of a PFAS task force, over half a billion -- or approximately half a billion dollars in Air Force PFAS remediation added to the budget, and a variety of other components. So, Senator is

pushing on that piece.

One of the -- the other items that I'd like to mention to the board about, is what the current status is in terms of Federal legislation.

And I don't have -- I think you guys gave me

20 minutes; is that right? I'm just joking. No.

So, the short of it is, you know, between the House -- and I see Representative

Kildee's, you know, representative -- staffer is here, Jake, you know, they are working very diligently in the House. We have advocates and leaders in the Senate, including Senator Peters, who are pushing forward on a variety of different proposals. I think you'll see a lot of movement, from a legislative perspective, over the next couple of months when this session is still ongoing.

Most of our time recently has been focused on the American Rescue Plan and the bipartisan infrastructure law. There were a lot of programs in there that I think could be helpful, not only from a community perspective, but also Federal dollars specifically for PFAS, lead pipe replacement, those were included in the bipartisan infrastructure law. So, not all of those Federal programs have been rolled out yet. Many agencies

had about 180 days to develop what those programs were, if it was a new program. So, those will be ongoing and will be rolled out, you know, this year, and then depending on the program, over the series of the next -- you know, next couple years. So, those are a few updates on that piece.

I was hoping I had an update on a piece of legislation that the Senator introduced, but it should be forthcoming maybe even today related to FAA, and Air Force, and their transition away from using PFAS -- you know, firefighting foams for dispersion issues that they have. So, I'll provide that information as soon as I get it as well, and as always, if there's things the Senator can do to be supportive of your collective efforts, feel free to reach out to our office.

And on behalf of the Senator, I'd just like to thank each and every one of you for your efforts in the RAB, and making sure that you're pushing the community forward on PFAS remediation, because it is not an easy issue, and it's not as fast as anybody really wants, and the Senator feels that way as well. So, I'd just like to thank all of you for your efforts, and I'll leave it there.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank

you very much for your comments, sir.

And let me just check to see if we have any similar update from Congressman Kildee's office at this time.

MR. BENNETT: Well, thank you. As

Eric said, I'm Jake Bennett, deputy district

director for Congressman Kildee. I know he gave

most of the updates on the legislative and

appropriation sides. That was -- pretty much covers

both of that.

I can tell you, I know I've had individual conversations with some of the members as well, but we are still working with the USDA on their grant for the water hookups. One of the things that we are working with them on is trying to designate different events that happened on base to kind of reset the clock for new grants for -- to reset the cap on the -- because I know we passed the legislation to double the amount of AQUAG (PH) USDA money, and we're trying to be able to reset the clock on new projects, additional projects, to help fund that. So, that's what we're working with the USDA on.

Still right now, trying to see if we can get more funding for that program to hook up

some of the water as well. And the other is obviously continuing to push for much more community interaction when decision-making is happening for a lot of the IR process. So, you'll continue to hear from us as well. And I know with the redistricting, Congressman, looks like the 5th District will be representing here until the end of this year, so obviously we're going to be pushing as hard as we can with the little bit of time we have left for us covering this district.

So, thank you, and we'll be here, obviously, for questions afterwards, so thanks.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank you very much, sir. Okay. Let's move to slide two for the slide deck that we all have in front of us here. So, this meeting is a hybrid meeting, it's occurring both in person and online. So, we also have a court reporter online to capture a verbatim transcript of tonight's meeting, and the online meeting is being recorded as well.

So, for the RAB members who are here in person, if you have a question, raise hands the old-fashioned way, and let us know if you have a question. For those that are joining by computer, via the WebEx, I think David Winn and Rex Vaughn are

doing that, you can raise your hand electronically, and then I'll call on you to pose a question.

Please do mute your microphone when you are not speaking just to minimize background noise.

We also may have a few folks who have joined by telephone. So, RAB members who have joined by telephone, you can raise your hand by pressing star 9 and lowering it by pressing star 9 again. You can mute and un-mute yourself with star 6, and you'll show up in our display as a number only, so Sarah and I will coordinate to call on you, then we'll have to un-mute you, and then you'll have to hit star 6 to un-mute yourself. Again, automated notice that you have successfully been un-muted, and then you can finally say your piece. So, please be patient with us as we walk through that process.

Let's move to the third slide here, the agenda. So, a full agenda tonight, just like with most of our meetings. We'll start off with some brief updates from our RAB members. We'll then move into the RAB business items, such as action items from previous meetings. After that, we'll move into the base realignment and closure, environmental construction optimization services contract update, that's also referred to as the

BECOS update, and we've kept a lot of time reserved towards the end of the meeting to address RAB members' questions. So, all of these portions of the agenda are for discussion among the RAB members.

After that, we'll have the opportunity for three-minute public comments from members of the public to make verbal comments, whether you're here in person, have connected via the WebEx, or by telephone.

During the technical presentation, please hold your questions until the end and we'll address those. And we plan to wrap all that up around 8:00 Eastern tonight. So, that's our plan.

Slide four is our list of RAB ground rules. I'll read these off, and then I'll just check in with the RAB members and see if these still work.

Number one: Respect one another and maintain an atmosphere of open dialogue and exchange of ideas.

Two: Use our time together efficiently, wisely and respectfully.

Three: Speak clearly and succinctly one person at a time. Avoid interrupting others.

Four: Listen and remain open to

different points of view.

Five: Maintain a propensity for progress, prepare, discuss, document and move forward.

Number six: Share information early, openly and honestly.

Number seven: Accurately and object objectively relay to others the discussions that occur at board meetings.

Let's see. You know, a few of these emphasize -- again, because we do have a court reporter taking a verbatim transcript, it's very hard to do that if we have multiple conversations going on, or multiple people speaking at the same time. So, just to really reemphasize that ground rule number three, speaking succinctly, clearly, one person at a time, if at all possible, please.

And then also, just the guiding ground rule of having a respectful dialogue and professional exchange, I think, is an inherent element of the Restoration Advisory Board.

Let's see. So, let's move to slide five. That just describes some of our public comment procedures as well, which we'll go into a bit more detail.

Let's see. I'd like to now just move
into confirming that we have our RAB members and
confirming that we have the quorum as well. Let me
check to see if Bill Gaines is with us?
MEMBER GAINES: I am with you, Tim.
MR. SUELTENFUSS: On the phone.
Great. Thank you, Bill, we appreciate that. So,
you're joining virtually. And we have Mark Henry
here in person. We have Arnie Leriche in person.
Do we have Scott Lingo? Okay. I believe Joe
Maxwell is not with us. Okay. How about Ryan
Mertz? Ryan is not with us tonight. We have Greg
Schulz in person. We have Daniel Stock in person.
We have Rex Vaughn virtually, Rex, are you able to
hear me all right? And let's do a sound check with
you.
MEMBER VAUGHN: Yeah, we're in good
shape, thank you. And yes, I am present.
MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank
you, Rex. Also, David Winn is connected virtually.
David, are you able to hear us all right? And
Sarah, if we could just confirm David Winn is
un-muted.
MEMBER WINN: Okay. Can you hear me
now, Tim?

1	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Yes, we can, David,
2	thank you.
3	MEMBER WINN: Yep. Yep. I'm here.
4	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Great. And
5	so we also have Cathy Wusterbarth here in person.
6	Welcome, Cathy.
7	Okay. So, we have more than
8	51 percent of our community RAB members here. Let's
9	see. On the government RAB member side, we have Dr.
10	Catharine Varley with the Air Force present in
11	person. We have Mr. Eric Strayer with Au Sable
12	Township in person.
13	Let's see. Do we have anyone from
14	District Health Department 2?
15	MEMBER BRYAN: Denise Bryan is
16	present.
17	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. So, Denise
18	has joint virtually. Thank you, Denise.
19	And do we have Puneet Vij with the
20	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.
21	MEMBER VIJ: Yes, I am.
22	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Great.
23	Thanks, Puneet, connected virtually. We have Beth
24	Place with Michigan Department of Environment Great
25	Lakes and Energy in person, welcome. Yeah. Mr. Tim

Cummings, Oscoda Township, in person. 1 And do we 2 have Michael Munson with Oscoda-Wurtsmith Airport 3 Authority? Okay. So, looks like Mike is not with 4 us right now. 5 And then we have Mr. Ben Weiss with 6 USDA Forest Service in for Jessie Stuntebeck; is 7 that right? 8 MR. WEISS: Yes, correct. Thank you. 9 MR. SUELTENFUSS: And Jessie 10 Stuntebeck, are you on with us as well, connected 11 virtually? 12 MS. STUNTEBECK: Yep. I'm online. 13 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Perfect. 14 Well, good. We also have our Chair present, 15 so we do have a quorum per the operating procedures that we amended last meeting, so thanks for your 16 patience with that. 17 18 Well, let's move to the next Okay. slide, if we could, slide six, stakeholder updates. 19 20 And so this is an opportunity for a brief update 21 from our RAB members about activities of their 22 stakeholder organizations. 23 Let's move to slide seven, and that is 24 the Air Force update, so Dr. Varley, we'll turn to 25

you for that.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, as most of you on the RAB board know, we've made some great progress with the IRA at FT002. We've continued all the way through the winter season, and we were actually putting up a wall earlier this week on the building. So, we've got tanks in place, we're building the building around it. Everything is going according to schedule. We have had a great winter for building even though it's cold. So, progress is happening in FT002.

The Van Etten Lake at Ken Ratcliff
Memorial Park is also progressing. We got back
check comments from EGLE the other day, thank you,
Beth, and we are looking at those. I believe we
should be getting AROS (PH) from you guys soon, and
we'll be moving that forward. We've also got a work
plan that we are responding to your comments on.
Thank you for providing comments.

We are also getting ready for RI fieldwork, as we are working to finalize the UFP-QAPP, we did run into some -- we are still addressing comments. That's the easiest way to put it. So, we are addressing comments and we're making progress to be able to start. Hopefully, we will get a QAPP finalized by the end of this week, if not

early next week, assuming we are able to get everything answered that we need answered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And fieldwork for the remedial investigation is staged to begin again in April.

So, we stopped when it became difficult to work in the cold environments, and couldn't get drillers out here drilling, so come April, we'll be out there drilling again.

One thing that we have had concerns with is we sent out several access agreements and we haven't gotten many back. So, trying to understand the full extent of the plumes and where we actually need to step out to, we need access agreements. we have asked the Township for help, we've asked the airport for help, we are asking the community for help. So, if you live on Van Etten Lake and we haven't reached out to you, or you've received a mailer from us, there's a very high likelihood that my staff will be stopping by at some point to come and talk to you and hopefully answer any questions, or will be reaching out to you by phone if you live elsewhere. But, we really need that if we're going to delineate these plumes.

And the goal is to have a full RI -- we know that there will be data gaps and we will

fill those as reported to FS, but to have a full RI by the end of this year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing I'd like to talk about tonight is the Air Force team. This is one of the best teams I have had the pleasure to work with. have two on-site personnel that have 19 years and 11 years of experience on this base. I have personnel that is just 30 minutes north, and he knows what has happened in history, he can find documents in a flash. I have a former regulator who knows NCP backward, forward, and can tell me what the rules are, and make sure we don't overstep the rules by which we operate. I have not had a better And I have Team Willis, who has been overseeing the operation and maintenance contract used by partners in this.

So, we have -- and they will be providing their work overview tonight. So -- and Steve has been stepping in and taking on more and more projects. He's got an MRP project coming along, he's got a BI project coming along, and I have been asked -- I was asked yesterday if I would accept a technical advisor position for the Air Force Civil Engineer Center, and I could not turn it down. So, I am going to try to help on a higher

level in the very near future.

So, Steve will be taking over the program eventually, and with that, my position will be replaced. So, you will have a counterpart as well. We have built a team, the team is going to continue to function, we are going to maintain our momentum, nothing is going to stop. All right.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Dr. Varley.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Appreciate that.

Okay. Well, let's continue on. Let's go to slide eight, if we could, and as we continue with stakeholder updates, we'll start off with the community member updates.

And typically, Mark, we start with you, but if you don't mind, maybe we can start with Cathy, and then we'll turn to you and the other community RAB members. So, Cathy Wusterbarth, any update you'd like to provide.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Yes. And actually, I have a question about the Air Force's update. Is there going to be an opportunity for us to ask questions about things that happened, commented on?

1	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: You want to do
2	it now or you want to do it during in a little
3	bit?
4	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Either way. I
5	have some questions about some things that you said
6	and I don't know if anyone
7	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let's just go ahead
8	and address those RAB members' questions.
9	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay.
10	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: It seems more
11	natural to talk about it now.
12	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yeah.
13	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Go ahead, Cathy.
14	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. The
15	remedial investigation, you said that the access
16	agreements were a concern, and I've been contacted
17	by a community member who received an access
18	agreement.
19	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay.
20	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: They have
21	concerns about the placement of additional wells on
22	their property when they already had three wells on
23	their property. So, there was a concern about
24	placing more. I think the property was, like,
25	150 feet from the lakefront. So, I know that

they've shared those concerns with me about additional wells. And I'm not familiar with the access agreement itself and, you know, what exactly it said. They were wondering -- I heard some comments about maybe having a temporary, you know, well, so that we can test to see if that's really the placement that's needed, or even removing those other wells that maybe aren't functioning anymore. I don't know, is that part of --

absolutely. So, that is something that if they go ahead and they give us a call, I have Ryan listed as my point of contact, because he's local and he can go out and talk to them, and help out with the placement, and to make sure that if we need something, we really need it, right, to make sure that -- and we do have the ability to decommission wells and we know that we will not leave them.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. So, that's an option.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We're doing vertical aquifer sampling before we do any permanent wells just to make sure we're in the right locations, and we will have an understanding of where the thing is going.

1 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. 2 really just want to emphasize the reason you're asking for more wells is so that you can find out 3 4 where the plumes are going? 5 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Absolutely. 6 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Because they may 7 be going past where you are aware of them now. And 8 for -- I know that community members are very 9 concerned about that plume going into Van Etten 10 That was one of our, now, priorities, was 11 making sure that that was captured down the line. 12 So, for community members that may have received 13 those agreements, I can't emphasize enough, please contact asking them about your concerns, and 14 15 resolving those will help get that done. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 16 Thank you. And Cathy, before 17 MR. SUELTENFUSS: 18 moving into your stakeholder update, did you have 19 other questions? 20 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: So, do I 21 understand you to say that you've taken another 22 position and you're not going to be on the site 23 anymore? 24 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Eventually. 25 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: And what

1 timeframe are you thinking for that? 2 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, will there 3 be a transition and -- so they asked me to start in 4 two weeks, however --5 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Two weeks? 6 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: -- I'm not 7 taking my hands off the wheel -- you know me better 8 than this, right? So, I told them other bases like 9 last month that I had previously. You still got me in the background. And I'll also be working with 10 11 them and answering questions, and I will not -- you 12 guys can't fail. There's no way. You guys are 13 going to be fine. You've got everything to contact 14 and everything that we need to keep going this year, 15 and we will continue. We've got good progress out there in the field, and we've got the right 16 17 contractors, we have the A team. We are not -- it's 18 not going to slow down. But, we do need to know 19 where this comes in. So, that is the progress we 20 need to be able to keep everything continuing. 21 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: All right. 22 Another -- I'm sorry, I'm --23 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Go ahead. 24 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: -- monopolizing 25 But, the -- you had -- in order to finalize

the RI, you -- it sounded like you said there was an acronym, and I didn't catch it. What --

through a final technical review to make sure that we've answered all of our regulators' contract -- questions appropriately. So, there were 168 multiple-part questions, we have gone through each of them several times as a team and as individuals. I need to check them over one more time and make sure that we don't have any other technical questions anywhere else.

We have, like everybody else, been slowed down by COVID as far as our reviewers, and, I mean, I pick up what I can and so do others, and keep going. So, we are always double checking everything that we're doing, because we want to make sure we get the best products possible. Hopefully this week, that's my goal.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay.

MEMBER LERICHE: Can I ask a question on the same topic?

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Go ahead, Arnie.

MEMBER LERICHE: How long ago did the Air Force identify that they needed these wells, and when did the access agreement request go up?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, we've been using the data as we've been collecting it to determine where we need to step out, so this summer, really. However, we had ideas and those have pivoted since, right, from the very beginning of where we could need wells.

So, we still need to have an SPP meeting with EGLE and determine -- so a team meeting with our regulators to determine where those wells will actually be. But, we have a starting point.

And what we have, really, is we need to go in there and we need to see if the plumes are actually entering Van Etten Lake or elsewhere, something that we can address now versus waiting.

MEMBER LERICHE: Right. No, I know why you need some, but I'm trying to understand and find out how we can help the community members who received these to understand the importance of it, but also their concerns, as Cathy mentioned.

So, how long have they had these letters or requests from the Air Force, and when do you actually need them?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: First round was set -- Sarah, when was the first round sent?

MS. RIFFE: Last fall.

MEMBER LERICHE: Last fall. 1 2 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And then we sent 3 a second round for anybody that didn't respond just 4 a few weeks ago. 5 MR. SUELTENFUSS: And let me pause I saw a note that 6 real quick just to check audio. 7 one person connected virtually is having some difficulty hearing. 8 9 Quentina, are you able to hear the audio right now? 10 11 THE COURT REPORTER: It is very faint, 12 so if everyone could speak up a little bit, that 13 would be great. 14 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Yeah, thank 15 So, especially for the RAB members and especially those who have mics in front, if we can 16 kind of direct the conversations toward that 17 18 microphone rather than looking back and forth, that 19 will cause it to sometimes go in and out. 20 MEMBER LERICHE: Okay. I would only suggest in the future -- because you're on crunch 21 22 time now. 23 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yeah. 24 MEMBER LERICHE: Because you sent them 25 out last fall. This is the first that, I think, the

community RAB has heard about this. I have not seen a copy, example copy, of one to see what's involved to our community members making a decision. This is the second phase of these vertical sampling, I think the first one was about two years ago. about seven of those put out. And they agreed to have them done. So, I think a little bit more communication on --CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay. So, I have a phone-a-friend, and it was mentioned during the November meeting. This is not the first time that access agreements were mentioned. And requests were sent out in December, we talked about during the last RAB. MEMBER LERICHE: Okay. But we don't have a copy. We don't know what's being asked of our citizens. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: It's people's property lines and asking if we can put a vertical aquifer sample in --This is a sensitive MEMBER LERICHE: issue on access agreements in this area --CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: MEMBER LERICHE: -- with multiple

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government entities. So, it's something that, for

1 the future, a process that we're all on the same 2 page and we can help you with more detail in the 3 future. So, if you think of something that we can do, we're going to try to make it happen, because we 5 can't slow this down anymore. 6 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I agree. MEMBER LERICHE: And how many do you need? 8 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: All depends on how many we get and what we find out as we go. really, what we need is about 15. MEMBER LERICHE: 50? 5 - 0? 12 13 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 1 - 5. 15. Ιn 14 the right locations. 15 MEMBER LERICHE: And can you share a 16 map with us of the areas? Not the property lines, 17 we don't need personal information, but just where 18 are you looking, in general, with a map of --CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 19 Yes. Those were all --21 MEMBER LERICHE: That would be great to share with us. Okay. 23 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I was hoping to 24 get it out as soon as possible. And I will send you 25 to the page, Arnie.

4

7

9

10

11

20

22

MEMBER LERICHE: Just in the future -- thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. So, let me just see if there are any follow up questions on the Air Force stakeholder update. Any additional questions?

Okay. And Sarah, do you see any questions from RAB members online right now?

All right. Okay. Well -- so let's continue with the stakeholder updates. And Cathy, I believe you have an update to provide as well. So, this is slide eight for those of us who are looking at the slide.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Yes. And thanks so much for including the slide for me. I really just wanted to emphasize some of the concerns that the community has. Again, I'm Cathy Wusterbarth and I am part of the NOW, Need Our Water, community action group.

Part of our concern, the first, is the priorities for the remedial investigation. The process, from what I understand, is finalized and then it's presented to the RAB, and we would like to be part of that process before it's finalized.

If -- I believe the process doesn't allow for that

at this time, and we certainly would like to be part of that.

And then next, the IRAs. We do have one that's, you know, in process right now, that's the, you know, interim remedial actions, for those who are just coming in. Those are the actions that the CERCLA process can take if there's imminent and substantial threat to humans in the environment.

So, if there's something that's identified in the area, you know, for the area, that needs action right now, which is what we've done, a couple of IRAs right now, then the Air Force can take action to clean those up before we go into the remedial investigation. So, I do want to keep that open, that there's, you know, potentially more IRAs that could be, you know, needed in this area, because of the extent of contamination on this site. Just to keep that -- you know, our minds open for that, because, you know, it's -- it's -- it just -- that there are some sites that might be potentially applicable to an IRA.

And then the next bullet point was that there -- because we did have some IRAs in process, the public made some comments, hundreds of comments, I think, and we have yet to see what the

responses from the Air Force were on those comments.
We'd like to see those in the records, in the Air
Force record
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: They are.
They're on the MFR in the Record of Decision and
Responsiveness Summary.
MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. Including
all of them
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Correct.
MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: not just RAB
members or
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Correct.
Everything so if there's some, because we had
hundreds of them, or some together unanswered,
right?
MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Right, because
they were similar.
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Because then we
get a lot of similar questions as well. But, the
responsiveness summary has absolutely everything in
it.
MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. All right.
That's important, because we want to be able to
utilize that so that we can if there are future
IRAs, we want to be able to understand, you know,

1 the process or what the Air Force is thinking in 2 terms of --3 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Absolutely. And 4 everything that's used to make a decision goes into 5 the record. 6 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. 7 thank you very much. 8 And lastly, we are awaiting a response 9 from the Air Force for the letter that the Governor 10 had written, and I don't believe we have a response 11 to that yet. They -- she did speak up for us in 12 terms of requesting that amendment for the 13 cooperative agreement and we would really like to 14 have a response for that. 15 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Did that go to the office? 16 17 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Last March, yes. 18 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay. 19 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thank you, 20 Cathy. 21 Yeah, thanks. MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: 22 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Before moving off of 23 this slide, and this topic, any followup questions 24 from the Air Force about Cathy's update needed? 25 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Not at this

time.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Well, let's move to slide nine, if we could, and so we continue in the stakeholder updates portion and the community RAB members' updates. Let's start with our community co-chair, Henry. Mark, any updates you'd like to provide?

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I do not have any updates.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank you. Let's go down the list. How about Bill Gaines, who is connected virtually? Bill, any updates that you'd like to provide?

 $\label{eq:member} \mbox{MEMBER GAINES:} \mbox{ No comments at this} \\ \mbox{time, thank you.}$

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thanks, Bill. And to Arnie Leriche?

MEMBER LERICHE: Just one, and that is, a lot has been shared with the RAB, and a lot of improvements have been made since you've been here the last two years. I would only suggest that there be a little bit more understanding of the community members and the community RAB members, community in general, as we were just talking about, in the access agreement. And how difficult it can be for

them to understand the CERCLA process, the acronyms, everything. You guys live it, we don't. And for three years, we've been asking for one particular thing, and that is a complete list of the sites by name, and located on a map, a list and on a map. You gave us a map --

 $\label{eq:co-chair dr.} \mbox{ CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We provided} \\ \mbox{that.}$

MR. SUELTENFUSS: But -- Arnie, go ahead and finish, and then we'll turn over the question --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: That's all right.

MEMBER LERICHE: It needs to be completed and we need the list that matches the list. So, I've got an example: In every RAB meeting, it should be updated so that when we're here, we can see exactly what you're talking about. So, that's one example.

And I've got an example from another site. Doesn't have to be followed exactly, but I think you can get an idea of every RAB meeting is an update of this map so that when you're talking about it, the contractors or whatever, we can see it, the public has it, because they can download it from the

site. So, that's on that one issue of this map and the list.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other one is, more recently, over the last year and a half, we've been asking -multiple, Mark, myself, others -- been asking for the conceptual site models that are in the QAPP, and that is an iterative process. It's always changing, that's what we've been told. However, in response to our request on that -- those action items, the response has been well, that's going to be finalized when the RI work plan is completed. We've been waiting for nearly two years for whatever the current site model that the agencies are using to manage the process. And other sites provide that. Like in the site inspection phase two and a half years ago, they provided one, and the ESI, the extended site, in the relative risk, they updated it, it's a progression. And we have not been shared So, that's another suggestion.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Did you see the posters outside this wall?

MEMBER LERICHE: I did not see -
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: You didn't see
all the posters we have?

MEMBER LERICHE: Yes, I did.

Those show all CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 1 2 the data as we've been collecting it every time. 3 MEMBER LERICHE: It's too --CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: What I'd like to 4 5 do eventually --6 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let me let Dr. 7 Varley respond. Go ahead. 8 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: -- is put the 9 before next to the after. We are honing in on what 10 the date is actually showing so we can actually make 11 progress and do actual work versus just investigate 12 for forever. So, I would like to make sure that 13 that happens, if they show a before versus an after, 14 as -- because we are not where we started two years 15 ago. We have thousands of data points that 16 17 we did not have two years ago. We understand the

We have thousands of data points that we did not have two years ago. We understand the site so much better than we understood it two years ago. And that CSM is going to drive what we do. It's going to drive the next IRA, it's going to drive our next projects, and it will keep on moving us forward.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you're right it is not a static process, it is iterative. It continues to go. At every RAB, you should see more and more, and all of

those previous posters are being put up on the Air Force website, so you can see them at any time. You can zoom in on them. And hopefully, that helps explain the sites and how we're moving forward.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Do you have a response?

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Arnie, I think that the Air Force did provide us a map of all the IRA sites, but you're correct, I don't think I have seen a list of the IRA sites.

MEMBER LERICHE: And they are listed in other reports, the annual reports, operational reports, but it's so scattered that the public and the community members -- I'll speak for myself -- cannot connect the detail that's out on those posters -- and you're doing great progress, and a lot of work being done, but to connect that to where we are on the CERCLA process, right now we're talking about the RI work plan/starting the sampling for the RI.

But we don't see where in this

process -- like, the risk assessment for wildlife,

okay? That's what we're talking about. That's what

-- you're talking about a little bit more and more,

but, where is it in the -- the risk assessment

1	matrix, where does it fix in the CERCLA process.
2	This diagram is used as best practice
3	that EPA published for Federal agencies to follow.
4	We'd appreciate it if you could share what you've
5	got in your ongoing QAPP that you use every month to
6	some extent on a quarterly basis, share us with
7	us an example of it.
8	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thanks, Arnie.
9	Sounds like
10	MEMBER LERICHE: I have copies that I
11	can leave with you.
12	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Sounds like there
13	may be some ongoing conversation about that site
14	list as well. Staying in our stakeholder updates,
15	Greg Schulz, anything that you'd like to
16	MR. SCHULZ: Nope. Not at this time.
17	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Mr. Daniel
18	Stock?
19	MEMBER STOCK: No comment.
20	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thanks, Dan. And
21	over to Rex Vaughn.
22	MEMBER VAUGHN: I'm good, guys. Keep
23	it moving on.
24	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Keep it moving on.
25	All right. David Winn? David, did you have any

comments you'd like to share? Any updates?

MEMBER WINN: Yes, can you hear me fine?

2.1

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Yes, sir.

MEMBER WINN: I just -- I don't know if it's a comment, but I want to pose this right now based off the RAB. During the last RAB meeting on November 21st -- in November, I gave a well done -- a job well done atta-boy to Air Force and Aerostar for the critical removal for FT002, all right? That statement was printed in the Oscoda Press shortly after that meeting.

The reason I bring this up is that during a December 9th Senate hearing on PFAS, Deputy Assistant Secretary Kidd used my statement from the Oscoda Press to make the Senate committee think that all the activities, past and present at Wurtsmith, are going just fine and everything -- there's no issue, all right?

First, I gave that atta-boy to the team because of the critical -- the critical removal action being removed from the PFAS site that we've talked about time and time again, a long time.

Second, I'm truly disappointed and dismayed, but not surprised, that DoD management

would make -- would make a mischaracterization of my statement for their own beneficial gain to make the Senate committee believe that everything at Wurtsmith is going just fine. I thought that the goal moving forward was to be -- to work between the Air Force and community and trust and transparency.

Thanks to Mr. Kidd, his misguided statement, my trust going forward is in check. So, Catharine, I sure hope that you or your team bring it back to your management to let them know that I'm not very pleased with Mr. Kidd and his misguided statements to the Senate committee and Senator Peters's office. Thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: David, thank you for sharing that concern with us. We will continue on with stakeholder updates, and let's move to the government RAB members, if we could.

So Jessie Stuntebeck with USDA Forest Service, is there anything that you'd like to provide?

MS. STUNTEBECK: There's nothing I'd like to provide. I'll ask Ben, though, since he's right there, if he wants to provide anything.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Ben?
MR. WEISS: Yes, thank you. So, the

Forest Service is -- we are very pleased with the progress from FT002, and the extraction wells that are going to be along Clark's Marsh. So, we see a very immediate benefit to the Clark's Marsh area, as well remove a lot of contamination from further entering the marsh. And so we're -- I feel like we're -- the Forest Service, we've been engaged and we're deeply concerned about what's going on in the community, and we're now at a point where this is affecting U.S. Forest Service lands, and so we're happy to see progress made, and we're very happy to see this RI remedial investigation with a mapping of where contaminants are in the ground, so just wanted to say thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you very much for that update, Ben. And let's go to Beth Place with the Michigan Department of Environment Great Lakes and Energy.

MEMBER PLACE: Thank you. We just wanted to thank Catharine for overseeing the work for this part of the RI, and the progress made at the site. Just to say thank you to Dan Medina in the past, so we do appreciate your work on this project and hope that it continues at a fast pace in the future.

As Catharine mentioned earlier, we have provided the back check to the comments on the Van Etten Lake Record of Decision, so the State standards are still with our AG's office and EGLE, and I know Air Force legal and our attorney general's office, going back and forth on those. Those are with us right now. We hope to provide the -- our comments back on the table within the next two weeks, that's our timeline for that.

We also -- EGLE is currently reviewing the Air Force response to EGLE comments on the risk assessment. We'll be providing our back check on that prior to the end of the month. We've already provided our back check on the majority of the eco risk comments, so we still have the human health comments to back check. We'll also be providing our comments on the biota sampling plan by the end of the month.

We also wanted to mention that we have a -- we met with the Need Our Water group, and we also met with the community co-chair of the RAB within the last month, and so we do appreciate those conversations. We will be setting up a meeting with the Forest Service in the near future to discuss a few items as well on the Wurtsmith project.

As far as access agreements, I know that was something that you mentioned. I know currently, our AG's office and DNR are actively discussing the access agreements on State land, so we're hoping that there will be progress made on that in the future as well. And we do have MPART Town Hall meeting for former Wurtsmith Air Force base and the work that the State does in the Oscoda area, and that will be on March 2nd, the first week in March. And those are my updates. Thank you. MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Beth, we appreciate that. And let's continue on to slide ten, if we could. Michael Munson with Oscoda-Wurtsmith Airport Authority is not with us, I believe, and just to verify that, Sarah, you don't have Michael Munson connected virtually, do you? MS. RIFFE: I do not. MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. All right. Well, let's go to Mr. Eric Strayer with Au Sable Township. MR. STRAYER: Nothing at this time. Thank you. MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you. And to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Puneet Vij with Michigan Department of Health and Human Services. Puneet, anything?

MEMBER VIJ: Okay. As Beth already mentioned, we have a Wurtsmith Town Hall meeting planned for March 2nd. And in that meeting, I'm going to discuss resampling rounds 1 and 2 numbers, like non-detects, detects, anything above comparison value or below comparison value. Along with the figures, you'll see all of these detections and non-detects according to the area of concern. So, that's pretty much all I have in terms of updates.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thank you,

Puneet. And if you'd like to e-mail me that update,

then I can get that out to the RAB members as well,

and make sure that's recorded in the transcript

here.

MEMBER VIJ: Yep. Sure. I can e-mail you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Great.

Thank you. And let's go to Tim Cummings with Oscoda

Township.

MR. CUMMINGS: Yes, thank you. This comment on behalf of Oscoda Township is directed at Dr. Varley. In learning this evening of your eventual transition, I'm recalling some years ago,

as we've had several program managers on this project, been meeting with people for years, five or six years that I've been on this -- involved with the Air Force, that it's a common understanding within the Air Force that the program managers that were assigned prior to you were given that position on their way to retirement. And it was not a project that anyone particularly looked forward to, not a popular assignment. And now with your transition, I'm -- like the prior speaker here on the community RAB, am a little bit disappointed in that, you know, in the last meeting, I gave credit to the Air Force and all the progress that's been made, and now I'm wondering just how much progress we're going to continue to make.

You know, these kind of are -- kind of a quick silver change of hats every couple of years does not spell well to building confidence in this community that has been, quite honestly, beaten up, beaten down on the subject of contamination. That's my comment.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Thanks, Tim.

Can I go ahead and respond to all of that?

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Of course you can.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: All right. So,

the difference here is that I am being promoted to a technical advisor position that oversees a lot more than just this environmental group. And hopefully, I can help make bigger change that will affect us all. I'm also not going hands off. I never have. I still will be consulting when asked and when needed on all of the former bases that I've been involved with, and I'll continue to do that, you know, as long as I'm told I'm not wanted here, I will be around here and there. So, I do have family in Michigan.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ CUMMINGS: I look forward to holding you to this.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you for your comment. Okay. Let's turn to Denise Bryan with the Department of Health, District 2. Any updates for us, Denise?

MEMBER BRYAN: Thank you very much. I just want to make one note, as we are in mid-February, that when we see the thaw, we will, again, probably experience foam issues on Van Etten, and also the Au Sable has been identified, and I know we have signs on Van Etten Lake, but I also think that every spring/summer, this becomes a concern for us and the visitors in the area, and I

1	just am hoping that there's further review of the
2	foam and what that means. We certainly understand
3	the importance of rinsing foam off our children and
4	self and animals, but I'm wondering if anybody is
5	moving forward with evaluating the foam situation.
6	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Denise.
7	We appreciate that update. And let's see. Let me
8	just check in with the RAB members. Any further
9	discussion on those updates before we move to RAB
10	business on slide 11?
11	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: I have a
12	question.
13	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Yes.
14	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: I believe there
15	was a meeting with Dr. Varley and the Township about
16	access, or about stormwater sewers, not
17	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Well, our
18	pipelines and
19	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Pipelines, that's
20	right.
21	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes.
22	MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Can someone give
23	an update on that?
24	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yeah. So, we've
25	been working towards an ESCA, an Environmental

Service Agreement, to be able to line the storm -well, the water command side infrastructure, and to
move forward together so that when we look at -- so
-- and the partnership is also with the airport.
When we look at Wurtsmith as a whole and the
surrounding area, we're not just looking at what was
the base, but what is the Township now, and we're
coming up with the overall solution. So, we are
supporting each other in every aspect of what we do
moving forward.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Thanks.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Well -- so let's go ahead and move into the RAB business portion of the agenda. On slide 12, it just indicates one element of that, specifically action items.

So, as per usual practice, the co-chairs met following the last RAB meeting and reviewed action items. There are currently ten open action items and two ongoing action items, and I believe the plan is to do that same process again, have a meeting with the co-chairs and myself, review action items and plan upcoming meetings. Does that make sense?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes.

1	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let's see. We'll,
2	at that time, review any additional action items
3	that might be captured tonight. Last meeting, we
4	updated the RAB operating procedures, and the
5	co-chairs signed those. So, we do have operating
6	procedures effective as of 17 November of 2021. And
7	at the same time, Rex Vaughn, Greg Schulz, and Scott
8	Lingo were inducted as community RAB members as
9	well.
10	Co-chairs, anything else you'd like to
11	add in relation to RAB business?
12	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, Steve will
13	be transitioning into the role, so I'd like to
14	include him as we go over this meeting and in
15	session.
16	MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Mark,
17	anything that you'd like to add there?
18	CO-CHAIR HENRY: Nope.
19	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Any further
20	discussion on RAB business?
21	MEMBER PLACE: This is Beth. I don't
22	think EGLE received the action items after the last
23	meeting.
24	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I sent them out
25	to the RAB, I believe I can look back.

1	MEMBER PLACE: Okay. Thank you.
2	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I try to send
3	everything out as a RAB update, so
4	MEMBER PLACE: Okay. If I missed it,
5	I apologize, but I'm not sure that we have them.
6	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay. I'll
7	check.
8	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Beth.
9	Arnie, you had a comment?
10	MEMBER LERICHE: I think you've tried
11	to, or have, the AIs for the action items for
12	tonight's meeting to capture which ones were added
13	and new ones, and sent those out to the whole RAB
14	prior to, and maybe a week of time in between before
15	you three meet to go over them; is that correct?
16	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: They're caught
17	in our meeting minutes. So, we go over them in our
18	meeting minutes, we try to meet the week after, and
19	that's where they're captured.
20	MEMBER LERICHE: That's where they're
21	captured?
22	CO-CHAIR HENRY: The new action items.
23	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: They go up on
24	the website. Those meeting minutes are added to the
25	website and sent out to the team as well.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: And we go over the existing action items to see if there are any changes or updates.

MEMBER LERICHE: Okay. But what's kind of missing that we used to have is that within two weeks after a RAB meeting, the community RAB would meet and go over what we heard, what action items we think, and then the co-chair and I would have that input from our 11 now, and then you go with that information.

And so it's just something that I think we've lost along the way, and I think we're only talking about a week and a half additional time, maybe, before you have your sit down to actually crunch through status change and things like that.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: So, it sounds like your suggestion, Arnie, will be for the RAB members, the community RAB members as well, to have the opportunity to propose what they heard as an action item prior to that meeting between the co-chairs as they review the action items; is that right?

MEMBER LERICHE: Right. And especially if we added one, we should be able to know exactly, yeah, it was understood, it was

1 captured, or it wasn't. Because we've had 2 duplicates created and they're just little versions 3 of an earlier one, and that just confuses 4 everything. 5 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Co-chairs, any 6 immediate thought on that suggestion, or is that 7 something that --CO-CHAIR HENRY: We'll talk about it. 8 9 MEMBER VAUGHN: Make it an action 10 item. 11 MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. 12 Well, so, we are now at the segment of tonight's 13 meeting where we'll dive into the Air Force 14 technical presentation. 15 We have -- let's see, again that 16 BECOS, the Base Realignment Enclosure Environmental Construction Optimization services contract. 17 18 Mullett with Wood is here to provide an update. 19 Jay. 20 MR. MULLETT: Good evening, everybody. 21 Jay Mullett with Wood. I am the project manager for 22 Wood on behalf of the Air Force. We are in a 23 contract under the BECOS contract as a sub to the 24 LATA-CTI joint venture, and I'm going to give you

25

guys an update.

We took over the contract basically the beginning of July of '21, so this will be an update for the first, six, seven months of the contract. So, the contract -- the BECOS contract, the predominant objectives of this contract are remedial action operations, which is to continue the operation and maintenance of the treatment systems on base along with the long-term monitoring program for a lot of the IRP sites.

2.1

2.4

In addition to that, the contract also involves long-term management, which is I basically land use controls, inspections -- you know, inspections and documenting that the land use controls are in place.

For the year '21, we completed our annual sampling event where we sampled 148 monitoring wells, 23 purge wells. All of this work took place between predominantly July and September of '21.

We did our land use control inspections. Those included interviews with property owners. And we completed that work in October/November of last year.

And in addition, we did an annual groundwater gauging event that we used to update our

model and update the capture plumes of -- the capture of the systems, that gauging event took place last week in November, first week of December of '21 where we gauged 450 locations across the base.

2.1

So, that's 450 monitoring wells.

Gauge the entire network along with staff gauges in Van Etten Lake at the dam along with Clark's Marsh.

So, this -- obviously, the 2021 data will be presented in annual reports that are forthcoming that we are in progress of developing and will be submitting to the Air Force soon.

Just a brief update on the systems. The operation and maintenance includes the operating of four treatment systems. The FT002 system, this is the existing system. Over the last six months of '21, our first six months of operation, July through December, we treated 54 million -- excuse me, 54 million gallons of water that was treated in the FT002 system. The system was sampled in accordance with the SRDs, which are the Substantive Discharge Requirements, and we sampled monthly and weekly in accordance with those regulations.

And we also did optimization efforts to improve flow rates. Included purge well

cleaning, purge well replacement pump cleaning. We cleaned the conveyance lines with a high-pressure, high-compression air to increase flow rates, but overall, the FT002 system ran very well.

Yes, sir?

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Did you have to replace any wells?

MR. MULLETT: We did not have to replace any wells, correct. In addition, we did carbon changeouts. About every hundred days, changeouts at FT002 occurred in August and November of '21. So, basically, the sampling dictates when we need to do those changeouts, and FT002, we're pretty much on a regimen at about every hundred days right now when we're changing out those carbon vessels.

So, the next system I'll talk about is the central treatment system. This system, we treated 57 million gallons of water. Sampled the system weekly and monthly in accordance with the SRDs. We completed carbon changeouts at the central plant in December where we changed out two of the carbon vessels, and that was dictated by, obviously, the data. The previous changeouts were in February. So, we were able to see those plants run for -- the

carbon run for about nine months before changeout.

2.1

Optimization efforts that we did do included some mechanical and chemical well treatment to improve some efficiencies on a couple of the wells that were not at target. We were able to see a 21 percent increase in flow rates by doing those chemical and mechanical well treatments.

We also did replaced flow meters. We cleaned pumps, we pulled pumps. We replaced a couple of pumps. We also did some cleaning of the conveyance lines, once again, with high-pressure air, but also with high-pressure water jetting to improve flow and improve efficiency of the system.

Overall, the system ran very well the last six months of '21, and we'll see continued efficiencies this year.

MEMBER LERICHE: Can I ask a question?

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Sure.

MEMBER LERICHE: Those two systems treated the exact same amount, 54 million gallons. FT002 is a much smaller unit than central. I know central is not operating at max, but that's the design, but it's still larger than FT002. That number is correct?

MR. MULLETT: Yeah, 54 million and 57

million, that is correct, sir. Central has a much bigger capacity, we're only running it at about half capacity right now, and we're just pulling in water from the former Benzene and Arrow Street perk wells, but it's running at about the same capacity as FT002, currently.

Now, there is much more capacity to run it at -- it's actually rated for 500 GPM, but -- and that's part of what the IRA is, is adding those additional wells to treat more water at central. So --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And additional capacity.

MR. MULLETT: Correct.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, the IRA will not only take up what's currently there, but it will add additional capacity to pick up more.

MR MULLETT: Yes. It's adding the capacity for an additional 500 GPM. So, a total of a thousand GPM.

MEMBER LERICHE: A year and a half ago, we were told that central was operating at about 100 of available capacity was not being used. That seems to be a lot less being pumped through it than we were told soon after it started in 2018.

MR. MULLETT: Well, keep in mind, this is only the last six months. So, I don't want to -- this is just July through December.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, this is only for the life of the BECOS contract.

MR. MULLETT: Right. Right. Yeah, this is sort of a six-month snapshot here. Sir, did you have a question?

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Just out of curiosity, when you clean the conveyance lines, did you have a lot of biofouling within those lines?

MR. MULLETT: Yes. Yeah. There is biofouling, there's obviously a lot of metals out here as well. All of those things play into that restriction that, you know, obviously impacts that flow. So, that is something that we will continually do, is clean out those lines to improve that efficiency.

MEMBER LERICHE: A possible thought to help the public understand these numbers and how they stay the same or they change, is possibly on an annual basis, that there's a chart, or maybe every six months, there's just an ongoing chart for these systems to show what's happening with them? I think the public would understand that versus they get a

presentation like this.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And our goal was to get up to speed as far as all of the documents that were out there, so we're getting -- those are all in long term monitoring and RAO reports. So, you have all the access to those up through 2019. We're working on finalizing the 2020 reports right now. And then you'll have all that information in your hands.

MEMBER LERICHE: I'm not getting -(Multiple speakers.)

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let me turn to Arnie first to finish his question, and then I'll turn to those who are on the line. Go ahead, Arnie.

MEMBER LERICHE: The public needs to have a single chart for each -- or actually, all three systems on a chart for every -- every year to be able to see what's going on. These systems are going to operate 30 years. I'm not going to be around. But -- so it would behoove us, as a group, to kind of have this analysis put out on an annual, or every other RAB, in a way that they will understand when they see it, progress.

MEMBER PLACE: So, Air Force collects that information monthly in their monthly technical

1 status reports, so I think that they do have the 2 information to be able to do that. 3 MEMBER LERICHE: Thank you. 4 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, could 5 you tell me who was just speaking? 6 MEMBER PLACE: Beth Place. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 8 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. And, 9 Quentina, did you have a previous question? 10 THE COURT REPORTER: No. She answered 11 Thank you. it. 12 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thank you. 13 Well, Jay, let's turn back to you. 14 Okay. We'll turn to MR. MULLETT: 15 slide 17 for those that are viewing slides. Update 16 of the Mission Street pump-and-treat system. 17 Mission Street system, we treated 43 million gallons 18 of water, sampled the system weekly, monthly, in accordance with the SRDs. 19 20 We did see some -- we did do some 21 optimization there as well, some purge well 22 cleaning, including pump replacement, pump cleaning. 23 We also -- and folks that are seeing the slides, we 24 did do a retrofit with the bag filter assembly at 25 the Mission system in December. We were changing

out bag filters about every 45 days on average. With the retrofit of adding the additional skid with the -- excuse me, did I say 45 days? My bad. 45 hours, with the new assembly were added six bag filters. We increased that frequency or that duration to 155 hours on average, and that's only been in place about the last six weeks.

So, it's had some very positive -major positives to the system. Obviously, reducing
bad pressure on the resin, which will increase the
longevity of that resin material. And also, it's
decreased the amount of downtime that the system is
up and running. When we were changing out the bags
every 40, 45 hours, we were basically shutting the
system down every other day for about a half hour to
an hour to do that. Now, we're only shutting the
system down about an hour a week.

So, it may be small, in context, it's really only a couple of extra hours of up time.

But, you stretch that out over the course of a year and it will have some significant improvement on the up time of the system.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: We have a question, Jay. Go ahead, Mark.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I have two questions.

First of all, the bag filter that was added to the Mission drive system, is that the one that had been at the fire training or --

2.1

2.4

MR. MULLETT: That is the system, so we were able to reclaim that and reuse it. So, it was definitely a good way to be able to apply that. Correct.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Second question is, bag filters are labor-intensive. Have you looked at other technologies to replace bag filters?

MR. MULLETT: We have looked at other technologies, and I think eventually we'd like to move into a -- you know, a type of filter or sand filter that we will have to -- we can eliminate bag filters in the future.

But, for the time being, this was a way that we could extend that life and certainly better the system's efficiency, but we are going to be looking at that over the course of BECOS.

Certainly, over the next year or two, we've got some ideas about some filtration systems that will eliminate the use of bag filters altogether.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Thank you.

MR. MULLETT: Yep. All right. The last system I'll touch on is the landfill 3031, the

engineered wetland treatment system. Over the last six months of '21, we treated over 70 million gallons of water. We -- you know, optimization. Once again, we did a lot of purge well cleaning and maintenance.

We did some mechanical well screen cleaning on purge well 5. We saw about an 11 percent increase in flows off of that well after the cleaning, so we are seeing some improvement.

We did go ahead and we cleared a lot of trees and vegetation -- woody vegetation that was growing around the ponds in order to make sure that we had stability in our banks. We removed some of those that were -- that were at risk of reducing the stability of the banks on the ponds, but overall, the sampling at the system was completed in accordance with regulations, and the system ran according to plan.

So, I don't have any other updates in regards to the BECOS contract, but as I mentioned before, we are continuing to -- our operations and maintenance, all of our data that we collected last year, will be presented to us in the future of forthcoming annual reports.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Well,

1 thank you. And Cathy Wusterbarth, we'll have a 2 question with you, and then I'll turn to those who 3 are on the phone, the RAB members who are connected 4 virtually. So, Cathy? 5 MS. WUSTERBARTH: Just a small detail. 6 You had mentioned some tree-clearing. Any time I 7 hear about removal of items on the base, I worry 8 about that. So, what actually became of the trees 9 or, you know, shrubs or -- that you brought? 10 MR. MULLETT: They were left on site, 11 but we just moved them away from the banks so that 12 they weren't growing in and, you know, reducing the 13 integrity of those banks. So, they're still on the landfill site. 14 15 MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. SUELTENFUSS: So, let me just turn 17 to our RAB members who are connected virtually. 18 we have any questions from those of you who 19 connected through computer or phone? No? 20 All right. Mark Henry? 21 CO-CHAIR HENRY: One final question: 22 Do you do influence sampling in the treatment 23 wetland or in the system for PFAS? 24 MR. MULLETT: In the wetland system? 25 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Well, the landfill

3031 treatment system begins with a waterfall, ends 1 2 in perking into the ground. Do you test the water 3 going into that system for PFAS? THE WITNESS: I don't believe we are 4 5 sampling for PFAS in landfill 3031. No, we are not. 6 Just VOCs and metals is what we --7 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Thank you. 8 MR. MULLETT: Uh-huh. 9 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Other questions from 10 the RAB members on this update? Okay. Well, Jay, 11 thank you very much for that update. 12 MR. MULLETT: Thank you, folks. 13 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Well, let me just 14 check in with our co-chairs. We had scheduled a 15 6:20 to 6:30 break, which is pretty much right on Are we good to take a ten-minute break now? 16 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Works for me. 17 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 18 That works for 19 me. 20 MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. We'll 2.1 move into a ten-minute break, we'll come back at 6:30 and continue on from there. Just a note to 22 23 those of us who are right here, the mics will still 24 be active, and please use the handrails as you walk 25

down those steps.

1	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Thank you.
2	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thanks.
3	(Off the record at 6:21 p.m.)
4	(Back on the record at 6:30 p.m.)
5	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Welcome back. It is
6	6:30, so we'll continue our restoration RAB meeting.
7	We're on slide 19, RAB member questions. And let's
8	see. So, this is an opportunity for the RAB members
9	to engage in just additional discussion and ask
10	questions, have some additional dialogue.
11	Again, if you're here in person and
12	have questions, please just raise your hand. I will
13	also check in with those who are connected
14	virtually. You should already be un-muted, so if
15	you un-mute yourself, we'll be able to hear you.
16	And then if anyone has any RAB members have
17	dialed in by phone, you can raise your hand by
18	pressing star 9, lower it by pressing star 9 again.
19	You can mute and un-mute yourself with star 6.
20	So, let me just first turn to our RAB
21	members who are connected virtually to see if you
22	have any questions.
23	All right. David Winn, go ahead, sir.
24	MEMBER WINN: I have two questions.
25	My first question is, I understand Catharine is

taking on a new project, so am I understanding -and my understanding is that Steve Willis is going
to take over her role in this -- in working with the
project team. I'd like to understand, and I think
the RAB would probably like to understand, what is
Steve's technical background, and is it such the
same as what Catharine's technical background is?

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank

2.1

you, David. And Steve, would you like to address that one?

MR. WILLIS: Sure. So, I started my career -- can you hear me?

MEMBER WINN: Yep.

MR. WILLIS: I started my career with the Air Force back in 1985 working on B52s that were actually stationed here at Wurtsmith. I was a structural engineer doing maintenance on them at Kelly Air Force Base, which is now a BRAC base and is closed. So, I've kind of come full circle from working at an active base to now working at a closed where B52s were stationed.

When the base closed, I transitioned from the aerospace industry into the environmental field. I was actually a support contractor for what was the predecessor of AFCAC, it was FC, for about

five years. In the last 16 or so years, I've worked for URSA doing environmental cleanup and MMRP work, and now I'm back with the Air Force again.

MEMBER WINN: Okay. And that's great, but I guess where I'm going is -- Catharine, correct me if I'm wrong, you -- based off your doctorate's degree, you understand geology, you understand toxicology and so on and so forth. Steve, do you understand that amount of work?

So, I guess my whole point in this is if you don't, is there someone -- a supplemental person that's going to come in and join the group that's going to take up that role?

MR. WILLIS: I have an understanding of -- of comprehensive environmental projects. Like I said, I've been a project manager the last 16 years. So, I'm not a toxicologist, but I work with them. I understand the language. I work with risk assessors, I've worked with chemists, I've worked with biologists, I've worked with geologists.

So, yes, I understand the process, I understand the various fields required in environmental investigation and cleanup. But, as the program manager, I can bring all of those fields together.

David, I'd like CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: to answer your question as well. So, we have built a team that has toxicologists, has hydrologists. You have met Janet Anderson, you have met our soil scientist, Hunter Anderson, you've met John Gillespie back in the day. All of these people will continue to support this group, everybody they've been working with. We have a good, solid technical Plus, we have Andrea and Ryan, they're here on site, and as you have questions and you have needs, we will continue to answer your questions, and we will continue to answer your needs. team is solid. And right now, my management confirmed with me today that they are going out for more expertise to fill my position. So, this team will be strong and will proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER WINN: Okay. That's my only concern, Catharine, is I just want to make sure -- and I think the other RAB members want to make sure, because my question or concern is the people before you, your predecessors before you, Matt Marrs and Dave Gibson, I don't think either one of them had any kind of a technical background, therefore, it was not as beneficial as the current team that you have now.

So, I just want to make sure -- and that's the reason for my question, is to make sure that the team is still as solid as the team that you currently have right now.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Absolutely. The team is solid.

MEMBER WINN: Okay. That's my first question. My second question is, Steve -- and I'd like you and maybe Beth to explain, I think in the last RAB meeting, we talked a little bit about the lateness of the BCT meeting minutes. Since then, I've seen that you've been able to update a lot of those BCT meeting minutes as well as, you know, Beth has updated them and put them on the MPART website.

As I also understand, and I believe, there's a different process now of how the BCT minutes are going to be administered and brought in so that the community has access to them. Could you explain?

And I'd like Beth to also explain this different process so that we, as a community, and we, as the RAB, have assurance going forward that the BCT minutes, based on when the BCT meetings happen, that we are going to be in know of what's going on and not six months down the road.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Let's start with Steve Willis and then we'll go to Beth. Go ahead, Steve.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIS: So, we're actually looking at revamping the BCT process in general. The meetings have evolved over time and to more of a review of action items and the status of reports and documents, and less so on focusing on technical topics and reviewing them and coming to an agreement on a plan forward. So, we're shifting them back into more of that realm. We're trying to pick some topics with real meat to them, put together a briefing package, providing it to EGLE ahead of time so that they can prepare, and we come to this meeting, discuss a topic, come to some agreement on the plan forward for it, and the minutes would be much briefer than they have been, basically documenting the agreements reached in the meeting, and then we'll review them, we'll give them to EGLE for review, and then once they're finalized we'll provide those to the RAB.

MEMBER WINN: Okay. But I understand that the Air Force is going to release a set of meeting minutes to the RAB, which I -- you just said, and then, as I understand, EGLE will review

those minutes, and then also if there are any additional comments that EGLE doesn't agree with, they will then update that and put it on the MPART website. Beth, is that correct or incorrect? So, that's pretty much MEMBER PLACE: So the -- the Air Force generates the That comes to us as a draft first round of minutes. We review them, it goes back to Air Force. final. At that point, Steve sent them They finalize them. out to the RAB, and they also continue, at that point, as a final document, and then I place them on the MPART website. In the past, there's been some comments that haven't been incorporated, so I've just highlighted that on the version that we placed on the MPART website. MR. SUELTENFUSS: David, does that address the question that you had?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER WINN: Yes, it does. Thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Thank
you. And so do we have other RAB member questions
virtually? All right. So, RAB member questions.

Just an opportunity for discussion among the RAB
members. I see Arnie has a question. Go ahead.

MEMBER LERICHE: A follow up question

to Beth and Steve. Will the BCTs still include -- you mentioned you're going to move more to a topic -- technical topic-based meeting, but will the BCTs still include status of the CERCLA process items of documents, and the movement of the different stages of production of the document by the contractor, you guys approve the Air Force, and then it moves to review by EGLE and so forth?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIS: We do not intend to go through them in that level of detail. It'll really be highlighting key documents that either we're preparing and giving EGLE a head's up that they're coming, or if a document is in EGLE's hands, letting them know that it's critical to the process and we need their comments. But, just keeping each other informed on key documents, not necessarily every single document and where it is in the process, but we do have tracking sheets for documents and for action items that we sent out on a regular basis to keep each agency informed of what's going on so that we don't spend two hours in a meeting going through item, by item, by item.

MEMBER LERICHE: Exactly, but those were not shared with us three or four years ago when I asked for them, but then every once in a while,

maybe once or twice a year, it would show up attached, that tracking sheet, to the BCTs, and that was so helpful for us to keep up with what documents are going through your process so we can focus our reviews and understanding. So, I would hope that you will include those as an attachment.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, right now, they're not being tracked as a BCT, but instead, I've Andrea looking at those and tracking them for us, and then sending them out as RAB updates. So, they're highlighted as where each document is in the process. So, I think we've been sending those out every other month. We could probably send them out more regularly if we wanted to.

MR. WILLIS: Yeah, we can send those out monthly.

MEMBER LERICHE: I don't want to add work, but just attach it so it's together so there's a copy in the IR, there's a copy that's sent out to the RAB members so that we're staying abreast of the work that you're doing, I think it will help a lot.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: The problem with what was happening was we were spending hours just going over documents that we could have looked at in five minutes and gone we know where we're at and we

know where our items are.

So, we weren't doing anything technical during the BCTs. It's time to get the BCTs back to decision-making sessions, not document review --

MEMBER LERICHE: I agree.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Steve, did you have a comment you wanted to --

MR. WILLIS: I was just going to say, we can send that out monthly to the RAB members. It's not something that goes in the admin record, because it's really not a document that we use to make decisions, it's more just of the status document. But, yeah, it's something we're already updating regularly anyways, so sending it to the RAB members is no problem. So, yeah, we can continue to do that.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: And Beth Place, anything you'd like to add?

MEMBER PLACE: Just EGLE was supportive of the change in the minutes, then, you know, we're receiving the trackers, Steve and Catharine and I have started to meet, you know, weekly again, schedule-permitting, so we'll miss one or two here and there, to help keep on track for

those, but we're -- EGLE is very supportive of the more technical form of the meetings and decision-making.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you. Okay. Yes, Tim Cummings?

MR. CUMMINGS: Hi. Dr. Varley, I'd like to reopen the question from earlier regarding the storm sewer. The question was -- well, your answer, I should say, was that you were putting together a plan and that it was up for getting approval.

I guess my question here is, is what is the plan? Because we -- as a township, I'm not in that meeting with you, but I guess once a month, each month you meet with the superintendent, Bill Palmer and possibly others from the Township, and we haven't had a meeting with you in a couple of months, but what is the plan that is being proposed? And -- that is my first question. I have a followup after that.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: The plan is to line the infrastructure. So, we have been talking with the Township for -- hold on. Can you guys hear me better now? Let's just take this off. All right. Sorry about that.

All right. So, we've been talking with the Township for the last year working through the logistics, getting the money lined up, making sure that we have a path forward. We have done our cost analysis, looking at what it would take if we were to put our own line in versus a partnership with the Township.

We have shown our management what it would take, it has gone up to leadership, and we expect a good path forward where we're collaborating and we're moving forward together.

This also goes forward for, as we talked about, stormwater in the RI, and making sure that we have a comprehensive look at all the difference places that stormwater is going, and as we move forward just as a whole to make sure we understand our delineation of these plumes and where we can actually take action as soon as possible.

MR. CUMMINGS: Okay. Good. So, in this plan, does this mean that you're going to be proposing taking over, as the Air Force, the ownership of the storm sewer system in order to do the sliplining?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: No. We are going to pay the Township to do the lining.

1 MR. CUMMINGS: You pay them to do it? 2 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We are going to 3 give them the funding so that --4 MR. CUMMINGS: Okay. I got it. 5 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: -- they can get 6 the contractor to do the work. 7 MR. CUMMINGS: Okay. Got it. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 8 That is what we 9 have talked with both Tammy and Annabelle. 10 MR. CUMMINGS: Okay. Good. 11 wasn't clear with them, because they're asking me. 12 So, the next topic here is several 13 years ago when we had the central system installed, we sent -- as an agreement, we were charging the Air 14 15 Force for the storm sewer. And several invoices 16 were paid for the use of the storm sewer for the water that is filtered and then released from the 17 18 system, goes into Lake Huron. 19 So, since then, we have no longer been 20 paid for the storm sewer in central. When the 21 Mission Street system was put online, all of that --22 all the payments stopped. 23 So, the question here is what is the 24 plan for this? If you're not assuming 25 responsibility for the ownership of the system, but

you're going to pay us for that, is that -- are you looking for something, Dr. Varley?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Oh. I've got phone a friend going on right now. I like phone a friend, my whole entire team will help me respond to your questions, so it always works this way, so --

MR. CUMMINGS: Go ahead. Go ahead.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yeah. So, what we're talking about is a ready-to-serve fee and a sliplining of the problem area, the area where there are breaks and birds get in. And yes, the goal is to pay a responsible party a ready-to-serve fee.

MR. CUMMINGS: Got it.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And we've got to be careful with language, because the language that's used brings down different restrictions that we shouldn't be talking about in the first place, because we're not talking about stormwater, we're talking about infrastructure, we're talking about utilities, we're talking about moving treated water down a system.

MR. CUMMINGS: That's right. And a system that, frankly, was built decades ago and not meant nearly for the volume that you're using it for, which is part of the reason why we're concerned

about this. So, good, yes, clarification in terms 1 2 is important. 3 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And I still do 4 not see it as the final remedy. So, just to be 5 clear, we are moving towards a final remedy, and at 6 the pace we're going, I'd like to see it -- final 7 remedy getting implemented around 2025. 8 keep pace --9 As final remedy, what MR. CUMMINGS: do you envision that to look like so we --10 11 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: It's going to be 12 based on our findings. But, honestly, if you look 13 at FT002 and how we're using the infiltration there, 14 we could use infiltration to get better pasture, we 15 could use infiltration to actually make sure that we 16 are recirculating and cleaning out the groundwater. 17 And not having a continuous source that's bypassing 18 any of our systems. 19 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Just a note, Rex 20 Vaughn, we see your hand up and we'll come to you here in just a moment, but --21 22 MEMBER VAUGHN: Thank you. 23 MR. SUELTENFUSS: -- Mr. Cummings, any 24 further questions? 25 I'm good. MR. CUMMINGS: I'm good. Ι

1	was just letting her answer the question.
2	MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Okay.
3	Let's turn to Rex Vaughn with a question.
4	MEMBER VAUGHN: I'm looking for a
5	clarification from Catharine. You pronounced the
6	word "relining" quicker than I could process it, but
7	are you talking about a polymer liner inside the
8	existing drain?
9	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes, that's
10	correct.
11	MEMBER VAUGHN: Okay. Thank you.
12	That was my only question, because that's a way to
13	extend life of an existing structure and not have to
14	replace it; is that correct?
15	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes, sir. The
16	other complication that we've come across is that
17	there's a garage over the top of part of the line
18	that's broken, so we have to think about the
19	infrastructure that is there and how to best repair
20	it, right, or make it so that we're not the best
21	solution for the area in the situation.
22	MEMBER VAUGHN: Okay. Is that garage
23	on private property?
24	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes, sir.
25	MEMBER VAUGHN: Why don't you just buy

it? 1 2 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: What? 3 MEMBER VAUGHN: Buy it. Knock it 4 down. 5 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We can't do 6 We're trying to turn over land. I'm working 7 with the airport right now to turn over land. 8 MEMBER VAUGHN: Well, then buy it and 9 give it to them for a dollar. But you've answered 10 my question, thank you. 11 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thanks, Rex. And to Arnie Leriche. 12 13 MEMBER LERICHE: The storm sewers, the 14 whole conversation for the last three years has been 15 about reimbursement for use and things like that. And you mentioned tonight that it's mostly, or it 16 17 is, a conveyance of the treated water from your 18 pump-and-treat systems. In addition to that, it is also a 19 20 storm sewer. It's receiving stormwater off roofs of buildings, and things like that, on the base. 21 22 are sections where it could be picking up, 23 occasionally, with rain events, actual groundwater, 24 contaminated groundwater, potentially, and so --25 while the street floods.

1	So, anyways, my point is this: Does
2	the RI work plan include a sampling and analysis of
3	any potential contributions of PFAS coming through
4	collected by and coming through the storm sewer?
5	Because I've seen that analysis as an RI item in
6	other bases, other RI studies going on right now.
7	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: To look at the
8	clean water that we're discharging; is that what
9	you're talking about?
10	MEMBER LERICHE: No. Potentially
11	contaminated water.
12	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: From where.
13	MEMBER LERICHE: Anywhere. I don't
14	want to get into the details, but
15	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I'm confused
16	right now, Arnie.
17	MEMBER LERICHE: I can show you a
18	couple of bases where the RI includes those
19	analysis. Peterson Air Force Base is one that comes
20	to mind. So, there's an example that you can take a
21	look at and see if there's an application
22	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So we are
23	MEMBER LERICHE: that should be
24	done here.
25	CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Phone a friend.

We are helping -- sorry, I've got multiple coming in We are helping the airport with their understanding of stormwater as they've replied to EGLE, and we are going to continue that as well as with the Township. Yeah, so we are working with our partners to address that. MEMBER LERICHE: Because pre-treatment kind of raises its ugly head too, on connections from anything that's on the base. But, this would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be the time to address that, as you're helping other -- the airport, and the --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yeah, we're doing a comprehensive, and that meeting got cancelled this week. Sorry, I wasn't understanding where you were going with it. But, yeah, we are meeting with the airport and the Township to come up with a comprehensive understand of what the stormwater looks like.

MEMBER LERICHE: But is it an item in the RI?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes. Correct, That's part of -- yes. sir.

MEMBER LERICHE: And when will we see that? With the work plan?

> CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: As soon -- well,

I don't know how much detail is in the work plan, but that will be coming out as soon as the Township, and the Air Force, and the airport meet, and come up with the overall comprehensive plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ahead, Arnie.

We have met with the airport. were provided a non-compliance that's been involved as well. And we came up with where we are going to start sampling, but we need to look at it as a holistic versus a single round, just a single spot.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let me turn back. see you have a follow up question and then I'll --Well, the RI --

MEMBER LERICHE:

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Let me just jump in and make sure we're all speaking one at a time.

MEMBER LERICHE: I mean, the RI is the heart of the CERCLA program to move to the next step of the feasibility. And we've got some other things The Township is doing studies the last going on. couple of years -- excuse me, let me finish -- with the State on the wastewater treatment plan and other You've got work that you're doing with the airport on -- and including the stormwater and so forth, but that's all well and good, I'm glad it's happening, however, it's -- wherever it applies to a

potential contamination source, it needs to be into -- in the RI, and I'm a little surprised that it's not already an action -- an item in the plan.

MR. SUELTENFUSS:

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We are looking at it with the RI. So -- and we are doing it comprehensively with our partners, the Township, the Forest Service, and the airport.

MEMBER LERICHE: Okay.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Thank you, sir.

And Dr. Varley?

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right.

Actually, Mark Henry had a hand up, and then Beth, I'll turn to you. Go ahead, Mark.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Well, I was going to put a little more specificity to Arnie's question.

The stormwater sewer that discharges to a three pipes ditch is coming from the airport direction, and that outfall contains PFAS above rule 57 numbers, to the best of my knowledge. And it's coming out of a storm sewer. And to paraphrase Arnie's question, is an effort going to be made to find where the groundwater that -- or whatever source is providing that PFAS to the storm sewer is determined during the RI, and potentially stopped as part of the remedial action?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: 1 The answer is 2 I've got many different types of "Yes", but 3 the answer is yes. 4 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Okay. Thank you. 5 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Mark. 6 And to Beth Place. 7 MEMBER PLACE: Yes. I just wanted to mention that Air Force is working with our -- with 8 9 OI and our emerging contaminants unit from the Water Resources Division, I've been involved in some of 10 11 those discussions to sample stormwater outfalls. 12 In addition to that, EGLE does have 13 comments for Air Force to have a comprehensive look 14 at the stormwater inputs to PFAS contamination 15 during the remedial investigation. So, that is included in the EGLE comments. 16 17 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Just -- yes. 18 MEMBER LERICHE: That's something --19 Catharine, I think what you're doing is you're 20 receiving input from other -- your team? 21 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes, my team --22 MEMBER LERICHE: And that's great, I'm 23 glad it's happening. I wouldn't expect you to have 24 all the answers. However, it would be very helpful, 25 such as in the meetings that we used to have, that

your contractors stand up and we know who is talking, we know, if there's a follow up later on, who -
CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Arnie --

MEMBER LERICHE: -- is answering these things, because this happened at the last meeting in this room, and --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, part of this is that our team -- a lot of people were taken out by COVID in the last couple of weeks. They were not allowed to travel, they were texting me instead of talking, I would rather them save their voices, save their energy, provide me what they --

MEMBER LERICHE: Perfect. All I'm suggesting, is it possible that those people be added to the attendees list, and that when you receive something, just say I just received this from X, Y, Z and this is what the answer is.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: We are one team. We will function as one team. I'm getting it from everybody all at the same time, so if Mark wants to come in, I've got four different responses all at the same time of "Yes". We will function as one team.

MEMBER LERICHE: Thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Other questions from the RAB members? Yes, sir. Mark Henry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I have a question that was submitted to me by Joe Maxwell, who is not And as a background to his question, here today. let me state that a few years ago, I'm guessing seven years ago, eight years ago, DEQ, at the time, did a study on Wurtsmith Air Force Base and found where water that had been in the water distribution system on the base had been isolated for some reason, shut off, and the State went in and sampled that water within water supply lines from fire hydrants, hot water heaters from buildings that were no longer being used, et cetera, and found relatively high levels of PFAS in those samples. And the -- if it is true that the PFAS was in the water distribution system, and that water distribution system serviced not only the workers on the base, but also the families on the base in the housing area, it is very likely that there was some exposure to PFAS.

So, Joe Maxwell's question is, is the USAF going to wait to test the veterans and employees who served here -- wait a minute.

Is the Air Force going to wait to test

the veterans and employees who served here, or wait until they are all dead to finally run blood tests on them to find out if they were exposed to PFAS while they were living here?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Okay. So, first things first, we are in charge of cleanup, we do not do health. But, that being said, we are the representatives of the Air Force here on site, right? I have looked at that report. That report is a single report that has some data in it. does not show the modeling or the timeframes of when that was fully turned off. We do know that the wells were abandoned around -- I believe it was 1993 or something along those lines, and from that, there was no data taken for PFAS before that actually So, we didn't know that PFAS was an issue happened. before those drinking water wells were decommissioned.

We also know that it wasn't every single fire hydrant that actually was hot. So, we don't know what people could have actually been drinking. If the data doesn't exist, I don't know how to analyze it. I looked at it myself as well, and I have a health background.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I understand, but

there is -- that report is highly suggestive that there was PFAS in the water distribution system. And the question that Joe is asking is, is the Air Force going to be looking at service members and employees who were potentially -- and families who were potentially exposed to those chemicals in the water distribution system in a sooner than later scenario? Because people are getting older and people are dying. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So again, we do cleanup. CO-CHAIR HENRY: I get that. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: The color of money we work with is cleanup money, it is not We depend on ATSDR and we depend on DHHS health. and DHHS to provide the health, right? CO-CHAIR HENRY: Who's going to do the detective work? CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Cathy, can you help me out here? Yes. I'd like to MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: add to the comments that Mark is saying that -- and also include that some of us on the RAB are meeting with Secretary Kidd on a quarterly basis and presenting questions to him, one of which was about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the exposure to veterans and their families on this site, and he indicated the same answer you did, which is there's no data to support that those family were exposed to PFAS in the wells that were created here in 1985 and were used through 1993.

Just the suggestion that there was no PFAS in those huge plumes that those wells were placed on is utterly ridiculous, and I believe that the community members that have lived here at the time think the same thing --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, let's go from a technical standpoint, right?

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: I don't want to argue science on it, because it's just -- I just don't want to argue that.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: I'm sorry, go ahead and finish any comment --

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: But we do have the opportunity to ask the Department of Defense these questions, and I want to address the blood sampling, or the, you know, health monitoring.

We didn't receive a report on this, and it is in the works, it's in an exposure assessment through State of Michigan, that people who live in Oscoda will be able to participate in

it, it will be starting in the spring/summer of this year going through the end of the year, and it will include blood sampling, exposure questionnaire in terms of PFAS exposure, and possible water sampling. So, that will be an opportunity for veterans that have ever been here, or been here since 2012, and have visited Oscoda in the last ten years. So, that, again, is not a RAB responsibility, but it does address maybe Joe's question.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: I didn't hear the organization that will be doing that.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Department of Health and Human Services, the State of Michigan.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Great. So, let me just check in with Puneet Vij, and see, Puneet, if you have anything that you'd like to share on this.

MEMBER VIJ: So, yes, as Cathy mentioned, there's going to be an exposure assessment starting this year in summer, and depending on the eligibility criteria, like, anyone who had -- who ate fish, deer, or wildlife, like, after 2012, they will be eligible. This exposure assessment is still in the planning and development phase right now. There will be updates. That's

why, regularly, we meet, our community advisory team, and Cathy is a part of that. So, yeah, there will be a blood draw as well as there will be water sampling.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Thank you,
Puneet. And, you know, one of the beneficial
aspects of these Restoration Advisory Boards, even
though the focus is on environmental restoration, it
draws in organizations with lots of different
competencies. And so when questions come up that
may not be ones that get resolved in a Restoration
Advisory Board, at least we can direct them to the
proper agency.

Mark, I know you started that question on behalf of others, but let me just turn back to you, see if there's further questions that come to mind, further discussion. Go ahead.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I wanted to raise the issue, that's why I provided a little background to Joe's question, but I think that this needs to be discussed on a higher level. I think that the Air Force really needs to do detective work in the RI to find out if there was exposure at this base, and at other bases that are going to be looked at. The State found some creative ways to find water that

1 had been incapsulated in areas that was left over 2 from base operations. I'm sure that could be done 3 at a lot of BRAC places. 4 MR. SUELTENFUSS: Dr. Varley, any 5 response or --6 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I'm still trying 7 to figure out how. How would we do that and how 8 would that help with cleanup? 9 CO-CHAIR HENRY: It won't help with 10 cleanup at all. It will help understanding if 11 service members and their families have been exposed 12 to PFAS while they were living on the base. 13 CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: I'm still trying 14 to figure out how do you track something that was 15 only used during a specific timeframe and you don't have the data to model it? And I'm thinking about 16 it from a military perspective, right? 17 18 So, military members, and even some of 19 us GS members, every three years or so, we get a new 20 assignment, we move to a new base. How do you know 21 that the exposure was from a specific location 22 during a specific point in time? 23 CO-CHAIR HENRY: Well, for -- for 24 transitory military people, it becomes more 25 difficult, granted. But, there were civilian

workers who work on the base for their careers. Working on their base for 20, 25 years of their career as civilians working for the U.S. government. And those people were at Wurtsmith. And a few of those people are still around. And it's an opportunity to find out what kind of exposure they had while they were working on the base. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And I assume all of this was taken to the ATSDR already? I haven't brought it CO-CHAIR HENRY: to them, have you? I asked ATSDR to take a look at Wurtsmith Air Force Base, the people that worked here, and the military families that worked here about two years ago, and they said they'd get back with me, and they haven't. MR. SUELTENFUSS: And ATSDR is the Agency for Toxic Substance and Diseases Registry. CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: And they work very closely with DHHS. So, DHHS, do you have any other thoughts on this? I'm sorry, can you repeat MEMBER VIJ: that? CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Do you have any other thoughts? I mean, when I start thinking about this and how military works, and how people move, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just don't understand how the data will be used or how you can tell what the sources really, truly are, and then how that helps us progress.

MEMBER VIJ: So, I agree with you.

It's like maybe the exposure would have happened,
but without any knowledge about the concentrations
and -- you know, from that particular time, any
discussion will be not certain enough. Like, you
know, so -- we don't have the data from that time.

So, I think that's what I'm going to add. That's
it.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Arnie, I'll come to you in just one moment. Puneet, you mentioned some exposure assessment activities. If you might be able to provide that information to myself or the co-chairs for that to be communicated as well. Arnie, we'll go to you.

MEMBER VIJ: Sure.

MEMBER LERICHE: Well, first off,

Catharine, you've sort of said that it's not

remediation, and that's what the Air Force is here

for, and from my knowledge of CERCLA, you're

correct, because the Air Force now says there is no

current exposure through drinking water coming from

the base. It's debatable a little bit, maybe on the

east side of Van Etten Lake, but, generally, you're right, because there's no municipal water coming from groundwater on the base.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, therefore, the process is -- and we went through this, and I helped some of the veterans group in 2017, '18, that the Department of Health and Human Services can submit a request through ATSDR to request a health assessment be It was done back then in the summer of 2018, the veterans submitted a letter to the ATSDR and they did a health assessment for TCE and the VOCs. They completed that a year and a half later, and that's the same kind of study that the Camp Lejeune used for Congress to authorize a special medical monitoring and help to the vets and so forth. has not happened -- I don't know if Jake is still It was a bill that Kildee submitted here -- okay. two years ago, it didn't move forward, and now there's one being developed for PFAS. So, that is the process for that.

And as long as there's no pathway for drinking water exposing anyone in Oscoda or in the area, then the Air Force is not really involved, except for maybe helping with records, which vets were here and so forth. So, I think that's the

answer on that, but I think the Department of
Health, in fairness to the vets, because I know a
number of them firsthand and I've worked with them
four years ago doing this, they deserve better than
they've been receiving. And so that's definitely a
topic. And I would suggest you add it as an AI,
action item, that you're going to pass on to the
Department of Health to move it that way. That's
what I would suggest.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Hey, Puneet?

So, Arnie wants to make a health AI, action item.

Is that something that you're willing to chair, that you're willing to take?

MEMBER VIJ: If it's related to the same question. So, as ATSDR also mentioned, you know, without sufficient information, it's not possible to determine if there was an exposure in the past. It may have happened, but without data --

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Let me move to Cathy Wusterbarth. You've been very patient. Cathy, go ahead.

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: Yep. I'm wondering if we could allow Jay Bennett to address this a little bit for us. I think he has some

history that he could share. Would you be willing to come up, Jay? Come to the microphone.

MR. SUELTENFUSS:

MEMBER LERICHE: We need Congressional

Come to the mic.

help.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: And this is Jake Bennett?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Jake Bennett, Congressman Dan Kildee's office. A couple of things.

So, we obviously -- we've been working on this. I've worked on VA case work for almost 20 years, and I know it's very difficult for people, for veterans, specifically, to connect all of the dots. You have to say how much were you supposed to at that level, does this health condition happen, do you have this health condition, a lot of other things like that, and that's why it's so difficult to connect all the dots. What they're looking at is were they exposed to it.

So, for also being a firefighter, I'm very familiar with the fire hydrants. The dry barrel ones that we have right now, typically, they have holes in the bottom of it to allow them to drain. Unfortunately, a lot of those holes get

clogged, so the water is not allowed to come out, and that's why in a lot of these fire hydrants, the PFAS is at different levels, because some of them have been able to be flushed and go out, some of them have not been able to be flushed. So, that's why they're seeing these varying numbers.

2.1

So, the reason why the Congressman introduced the Vet PFAS bill is, because like Agent Orange, it's so hard to prove at what level you were exposed, how long ago. So, with that bill, it created a presumption, and the Congressman essentially modeled it after the Agent Orange one to say if you were exposed, we're going to presume -- and if you were exposed, and we know from the CA study, a lot of these health conditions are the result of exposure to PFAS, then we're going to presume that that's because of that exposure to the Department of Defense.

So, like with other conditions, we have a good knowledge, through the CA study, that a lot of these health conditions are recurring in somebody who has been exposed to a lot of PFAS. So, that's why it's difficult, but what I think you're getting at is the VA has a duty to assist when somebody files a VA claim. It's the VA's

responsibility to gather as much information for that veteran, for their claim, as possible.

So, what they're looking at where the Air Force and the DoD can help out with is getting as much information as they can about what those prior exposures are. So, that's why it's so tough right now for these veterans to get claims for PFAS exposure, is because they didn't know to look for it back then to get this information.

is, what the veteran's problem is, so any additional information, we can try to get, even if it's modeling, because we know the plumes were in the area where this water was being drawn out for the base. So, we know right now, from the mappings, we know the plume is from a specific plane crash that happened, we know when that plane crash happened, we know that the foam was put on there, we know this plume is because of that, so we can probably confidently say that the PFAS in the plume where the wall was being pulled up for the water to put for the base, we know that probably had PFAS.

So, any of this data, this background information you guys can provide, it can help the VA in their duty to assist for these people's claims,

but obviously, separate from that, we're trying work on the vet PFAS bill that will just presume that if you were exposed to it and you have this condition, it's because of your exposure to PFAS. I hope that kind of fills in some of gaps here.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you for that summary, sir.

MR. BENNETT: All right. Thanks.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: So, I do just want to be cognizant of the time we have, and I know this important topic will be what we start off our public comment period with as well. Before we move into public comment period, let me just see if there are further RAB member questions.

MEMBER LERICHE: Just one quick point so we don't further confuse things. The response that the Air Force made to someone who requested what is the Air Force doing about -- and are they using that study. And the answer that came back said the Air Force doesn't know anything about it. I did some quick research, because I knew Bart Delaney presented the capture zone, which was a modeling report, and the hydrant data to the RAB on August 30th of 2018. That's a month after that report was finalized, so it's on our record for the

RAB, you did not have the ability to know that fact, but whoever was involved in answering that response did not do their homework, and we need to do a little bit better job.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, what I'm getting from my team is that, based off of our knowledge and what we've looked at, we did not know that the plumes were there during that time --

MEMBER LERICHE: That what?

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: That the plumes were, actually, in fact, there at that timeframe in those --

(Multiple speakers.)

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Go ahead, Dr.

Varley.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, I'm just going to read it: "We did not know the plumes were there when the wells were used. There was a flaw in EGLE's capture study." No, that was not a response that was accurate.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Again, we do have a veteran's group that will be leading off public comment on this same topic. Before we move into the public comment period, just want to see if there are further RAB member questions. Mark Henry?

Go ahead.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I came into this meeting with the hope that someone from the Air Force would explain to the RAB the changes in the conceptual site model that have been made since the beginning of the RI. The RI appears to be a third, maybe a half done, and the conceptual site model was a dynamic thing, and so I was hoping that someone could give us an update on how the conceptual site model has changed, either at this meeting, which would be good, but perhaps a more formal presentation at the next RAB meeting.

And that, in future RAB meetings, that this topic is reoccurring; how is the Air Force's understanding of what's going on evolving during the course of the RI.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: So, this is something that I did actually want to touch on, however, Colin Plank, who is actually doing a lot of our modeling for us was not able to be here due to other complications. So, we will have it at another meeting, because I need the right people at the right time.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: Okay.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. Well, thank

you. And let me just check in. Looks like no hands up from the RAB members who joined virtually. Last call for any RAB members' questions? Yes, Beth Place?

2.1

MEMBER PLACE: So, during that discussion on current groundwater being consumed, so residential wells, EGLE just wondered if the Air Force would be revising their residential well survey during the remedial investigation, since the plume is expanding, we would want to make sure that the Air Force understands what residential wells may be impacted.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: It's not under contract at this point in time, but it's something that we continue to talk about.

MEMBER PLACE: Okay.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Beth.

Okay. So, let's move into the public comment period and continue this discussion, if we could.

So, slide 21 provides some guidelines for our public comment period. Again, these RAB meetings are essentially in two parts; one is conversation among the RAB members, and then the second part, which we're now entering, is conversation from other public members -- attendees

either in person or virtual. So, we're now in that public comment portion of the meeting, and opening the floor to the members of the public who are not RAB members to share comments they have, concerns they have. Again, those of you who are in person and who'd like to make a comment, you can come down to the microphone here. If you've joined virtually or by computer, you can raise your hand electronically. If you're on the phone, you can press star 9 to raise your hand and star 9 to lower it again. Again, star 6 to mute and un-mute yourself.

Let's go ahead move to slide 22, if we could. And we have a leadoff comment by the Veterans and Civilians Clean Water Alliance. I wanted to turn to Senior Airman James Bussey, if we could ensure the number ending 7884 is un-muted. And Senior Airman, please go ahead and speak if you're able to.

MR. BUSSEY: Well, I'm James Bussey, I worked at the Air Force Base from 1989 to 1992. To hear the recent news that the Air Force denied documentation that we were exposed to high levels of PFAS is really just another slap in the face not only to veterans, but our dependents and the people

of Oscoda.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as far as being tested for PFAS, I was put into a special VA in 2017 after multiple requests to then Secretary Shulkin. I was sent to a risk center and tested four or five different PFAS compounds, all which returned in phenomenal numbers. And I will authorize Cathy Wusterbarth to release those test records, because she has access to my And also Jake Bennett has access to group files. those group files. And the VA contamination specialist, Dr. Ursanewby (ph) -- forgive me if I don't remember her first name -- concluded the only way I could have been exposed to such high numbers was from my service at Wurtsmith.

So, I'm your test subject and, you know, anybody who wants to talk to me, I am more than willing to share with you any information you would like. And really -- and I'm imploring you to take proper action for the citizens of Oscoda. I mean, we -- you know, deny, deny, deny until you die, and unfortunately, that's really happening quite a bit.

I run a group called Veterans and Civilians Clean Water Alliance, which is based on Wurtsmith Air Force Base, and daily, I get dozens of

messages from veterans, "I have cancer." We did a health poll. Wurtsmith veterans and their dependents suffer from exotic cancers and other diseases at an unbelievably higher rate than the national norm, and to have the Department of the Air Force deny this is just absurd. And really, that is all I have to say.

And I actually -- actually, there's one other thing. I'm the one who had the ATSDR health assessment reopened. They would only do it for the volatile organic chemicals. They declined to do it for PFAS, which reasons were already explained. But, unfortunately, when are we going to be able to seek help from the town? I mean, we keep hearing, "We need to study this. We need to study this more." You can study it to death, folks. You caused the problem and it's up to you to fix it. And that's all I have to say.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you very much for your comment, sir, we appreciate those. And you mentioned Cathy Wusterbarth. Cathy, let me see if there's anything that you'd like to add?

MEMBER WUSTERBARTH: No. He was just referencing his health records that -- yeah, we certainly can submit those to the Air Force via this

1	RAB if that's something you find interesting.					
2	MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Okay.					
3	Thank you very much, sir. Let me just check to see					
4	if there are other representatives of the Veterans					
5	and Civilians Clean Water Alliance that would also					
6	like to speak?					
7	Okay. I know Mr. Tony Spaniola had					
8	signed up to make a public comment. So, Mr.					
9	Spaniola, we will turn to you for your three-minute					
10	comment, sir.					
11	MR. SPANIOLA: Thank you. Can you					
12	hear me?					
13	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Yes, sir. Can you					
14	try that one more time? You were a little bit low.					
15	MR. SPANIOLA: Okay. Is this better?					
16	MR. SUELTENFUSS: It's a bit better.					
17	Let me ask if we can adjust that. Try one more					
18	time.					
19	MR. SPANIOLA: How about now?					
20	MR. SUELTENFUSS: Okay. I think that					
21	works. Yes, sir. Go ahead. Thank you.					
22	MR. SPANIOLA: Thank you very much.					
23	There's a number of things that I want to talk					
24	about, not the least of which is this the issue					
25	with the veterans and their families. But, just a					

couple of things first.

2.1

In a recent meeting that we had with some officials at the Pentagon, I was personally assured that there is sufficient funding to accomplish everything that needs to be done at Wurtsmith, and if that were not the case, that I were to report to that person at the Pentagon to let them know, and that that would be straightened out. So, if any funding -- if there are any funding issues within the Air Force on this cleanup, I would ask that that be relayed to me or to NOW and we will follow up.

Secondly, the remedial investigation process, the fact that it's being done in secret, is not acceptable. I'm hoping that something can be done to fix that. I know that in other situations at other bases in the country, it has happened that there has been ongoing involvement and back and forth between the community members and the Air Force while the RI was in development, and I hope that's something that can happen here quickly.

With regard to the BCT meetings, people from the community should be allowed in, period. And as a backup to that, we have a court reporter here tonight transcribing everything. All

this back and forth with minutes and reviews, and back and forth, bring a court reporter to the meeting, transcribe the meeting, and issue these transcriptions right away. Delays are not acceptable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Now, with regard to the veterans at Wurtsmith and their families, the treatment that they are receiving is unconscionable. In the military, it's supposed to be soldiers first. What we're hearing is that it's soldiers last, if ever.

The Department of Defense issued a statement in response to a public engagement session question denying any acknowledgment of any data that would show high levels of contamination in the drinking water. The scientist from the Department of Environmental Quality conducted the investigations, including the reports that were provided, has called that a flat-out lie. aren't my words, those are his. And the Department of Defense had the opportunity to correct that after being interviewed by a news reporter from MLive. The Department of Defense doubled down and continued to say, "We don't know anything about this." I'm going read a quote from the scientist Bob "Even if we had never produced any data, Delaney:

it's ridiculous. Anybody going to that base knowing the history of PFAS, knowing the groundwater flow, knowing how this stuff travels, would know that people were drinking this stuff at high levels.

This is not rocket science. This is basic 101 environmental geology."

2.1

Now, the Air Force should not be punting this issue to DHHS. It's the Air Force's responsibility to take care of the people who have served our country. And to kick them under the bus and to continue to kick the can down the road on this, is just totally unacceptable. Our community can't stand for this. And this is not the end of this issue, I promise you.

Dr. Varley, I ask that you talk to your superiors and figure out a way to help these people. That's what we're supposed to be here to do. They put their lives on the line for us, this is not a time to be sweeping them under the rug. Thank you.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Mr. Spaniola.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: All right, Tony.

I'd like to take a second just to talk to everything
you said, at least in overview.

So, the big thing comes down to how we look at data and what data we have available. We try to make data-driven decisions, and we work with ATSDR and DHHS to make those decisions.

Cathy, I ask that you provide the records to DHHS so that they may share them with ATSDR and decide any appropriate paths forward. And we will do our due diligence to continue to work to make cleanup efforts, because that is our job and that is what we are tasked with. We will do what we can to contain, capture, remove source areas as fast as we possibly can.

MR. BUSSEY: Yeah, this is James
Bussey, I have another question. Not a question,
but a statement.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Go ahead and make a comment, you have three minutes.

MR. BUSSEY: Okay. Real quick here, like I said, I'm your test subject. The VA determined, after 200 e-mails to Secretary Shulkin (inaudible) called me, they determined that it could only have come from Wurtsmith.

So, again, you know, please take my health records, my exposure records to your superiors, because there's your answer. And I was

only there for three years. So, what about the people who have been there longer? What about the dependents that grew up there.

2.1

Again, to have the Air Force deny this, it's unacceptable. You know, veterans are dying every day. Dependents are dying every day. And unfortunately, we're -- we're not being taken care of by the VA. It's taken me ten years to get where I am. I'm constantly fighting.

And I'm -- I'm just upset that the Air Force is continuing to say we don't know about any documentation. Well, again, here I am.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Senior Airman

Bussey, thank you very much for those comments and also for providing that information. And it sounds like your suggestion to Dr. Varley was that that information be provided to MDHHS --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Yes.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: -- for the possible -- conveying to the agency to document substances and --

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Correct. Our job is cleanup, their job is health. We need to move forward in this together, and the best way to do it is to get the health agencies doing the

health, and we will do what we can to support what we can. A lot more goes into it than just the three years at Wurtsmith. It's what was your career, what was your exposure, what was your diet like. There's a lot more that the health agency would need to get into, so -- and that's not our job as cleanup.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUELTENFUSS: I believe we also have a comment or a response from Dr. Steven
TerMaath, so Dr. TerMaath, we'll turn to you.

DR. TERMAATH: Yes, thank you very I just want to try to clarify some things First of all, the Department of the Air Force does care very much about those who have served and served well, and we recognize that, and we do it. The part of the Air Force that you're dealing with tonight can only speak to what we have in front of us, and I think it's important to note that I realize Mr. Delaney has thrown dispersions on the Air Force with regard to that, but the very study that his data was in, DEQ concluded that there is no way to know the concentration or the duration of -- that was in the water. And that's been the problem for the health agencies to now try and figure out that.

The Department of the Air Force and

knowing where the wells were and everything acknowledges that it's extremely likely and probable that there were exposures by those who were either assigned or civilians that worked on there, but it's not known what concentrations were needed, and so --were exposed. And so -- and if you also look at the report, there was something like 22 samples taken of the hydrants or whatever. 7,000 was the highest. But over half of those samples that were taken were below the EPA health advisory level. So, those are some of the things that came into play in reaching that.

2.1

We don't -- from a health perspective, the Department of Air Force is not analyzing the acceptability or anything else of the data. We provide that data and the health agencies are doing their best to figure it. Let's face it, we got EPA, we've got the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry working on it, and the VA, others are all trying to figure out, what does the science tell them that it is? I recognize there's some empirical data that's been presented here tonight that says more -- that it exceeds the rates of cancers compared to the normal population, all of those things on there.

So, I apologize that we're not able to address the health issues. Well, I don't apologize as much as I say we're unable to address those, and, you know, Mr. Kidd's office is working very diligently with the other agencies to try and get any information they can give us that would be useful in how we address the cleanup activities that we do. And sorry for the interruption on that, but I did want to help get those clarifications.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you, Dr.

TerMaath, we appreciate that input. Let me just check to see if there are other public comments to be made. Again, if you are here in person and would like to make a public comment, please come up to the microphone. If you've connected electronically, please just raise your and electronically. If you are on the phone, please press star 9 and that will raise your hand.

If you would like to, would you share your name and then make a three-minute comment?

MS. SMITH: Sure. My name is Heather Smith, I actually was born right here on Wurtsmith Air Force Base.

As far as the health stuff, I get it, you guys -- it's the cleanup thing. At the same

time, I do want to say that I was born on the base. I lived on the base for nine years. My dad pretty much stabilized here. When the base closed down, he went to New York for a year and a half until he retired, we stayed here. So, there are some of us that have that exposure that's really just here. you saw where I live, which is off of Colbath, you could argue that, that I've been exposed since, but I do have a sibling that was quick to get out of You know, once she turned 18/19, she was So, this was her primary residence as out of here. well, she's had no exposure anywhere else. wanted to kind of throw that out there that we're here, there are some of us that are here that this is our only place. You know, I -- I left here, I joined the military myself. I left for ten years, I came back. And then -- so that's all I really have to say on that. We're out here, we really are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I wanted to comment on the access agreements. Being someone that lives, like, off of Indian Trail right off of Colbath, most of the people out there that I have interactions with are summer people. So, if you're sending out those letters for those agreements during the fall and winter months, there's a good chance that they're

not going to respond, because they're not permanent residents here.

2.1

Also, I know, like, there's a sportsman's club that has lake access right there. There are -- there's cabins, there's also a public access. Are we looking at those things to be able to put testing wells out there?

So, I think the target population, especially in my area too -- there's not very many of us that are permanent residents back there, most of them are rentals. So, I think taking into account that when you're looking into our areas that are contaminated, you know, how many rentals are back there, how many are permanent, and then making sure you're targeting the right people. If they're seasonal, then we have to make sure we're reaching out downstate to those people that live down there.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: Thank you very much for your comment, Ms. Smith.

CO-CHAIR DR. VARLEY: Thank you, Heather.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: And thanks for just the emphasis that this is not a conceptual or a hypothetical discussion, this is real life, you know.

Are there other public comments to be made in person, virtually, over the phone, or some, as of yet, undeveloped method? Anything else to share?

Okay. Well, so, we have gone through the full agenda that we had in front of us, and co-chairs, I think we're at the conclusion adjournment portion of the meeting, but I just wanted to turn to you both for any closing comments you have.

Mark, if you'd like to start off, and I'll turn it to Dr. Varley.

CO-CHAIR HENRY: I'd just like to thank everybody for participating in this that was able to, and I hate to see Dr. Varley go.

thank everybody that's part of this team. The team really does extend beyond the people that are with the Air Force, beyond the people that are at these tables. It's everybody that's working together every day to make progress, and progress will continue. Thank you all.

MR. SUELTENFUSS: All right. Well, thank you all very much. We are adjourned, and thank you for your participation. Have a good

1	night.
2	(Meeting adjourned at or about the
3	hour of 7:40 p.m.)
4	Minutes certified by:
5	
6	(L. L. D. A. O.
7	Mully Monde
8	/s/ Quentina Rochelle Snowden, CSR-5519
9	QRS Court Reporting, LLC 800.308.0068, 810.691.4226 Dated: March 8, 2022
10	Dated. March 0, 2022
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

'	3	Α	29:6	agencies [7] - 5:25,
			active [2] - 64:24,	34:13, 37:3, 114:25,
'18 [1] - 97:6	30 [2] - 17:8, 58:19	abandoned [1] - 89:13	66:20	115:23, 116:16,
'21 [8] - 52:2, 52:15,	3031 [3] - 61:25, 64:1,	ability [2] - 20:17,	actively [1] - 42:3	117:5
52:19, 53:4, 53:17,	64:5	103:1	activities [4] - 14:21,	Agency [2] - 95:17, 116:18
54:12, 55:15, 62:2	30th [1] - 102:24	able [30] - 3:25, 7:20, 12:14, 12:21, 15:24,	38:17, 96:14, 117:7 actual [2] - 35:11,	agency [4] - 72:20,
I	4	16:1, 22:20, 25:9,	81:23	93:13, 114:20, 115:5
,	7	30:23, 30:25, 47:1,	add [9] - 48:11, 48:17,	agenda [5] - 9:18,
/s [1] - 121:7	40 [1] - 60:14	50:24, 54:25, 55:5,	56:17, 73:17, 74:19,	10:4, 47:14, 120:6
	43 [1] - 59:17	58:18, 59:2, 61:5,	90:22, 96:10, 98:6,	Agent [2] - 100:8,
1	45 [4] - 60:1, 60:3,	61:6, 65:15, 69:12,	108:22	100:12
1 [1] - 43:6	60:14	91:25, 96:15, 100:4,	added [7] - 4:24, 49:12, 49:24, 50:24,	ago [19] - 23:23, 25:4, 26:5, 34:16, 35:15,
1-5 [1] - 27:13	450 [2] - 53:4, 53:6	100:5, 104:20, 106:19, 108:14,	49.12, 49.24, 50.24, 60:4, 61:1, 87:16	35:17, 35:19, 43:25,
100 [1] - 56:23	5	117:1, 119:6, 120:15	adding [3] - 56:9,	56:22, 72:24, 77:13,
101 [1] - 112:5		abreast [1] - 73:20	56:18, 60:2	78:23, 88:6, 88:7,
11 [4] - 17:7, 46:10,	5 [1] - 62:7	absolutely [5] - 20:11,	addition [5] - 52:10,	95:14, 97:18, 98:4,
50:9, 62:8	5-0 [1] - 27:12	21:5, 30:20, 31:3,	52:24, 54:9, 81:19,	100:10
12 [1] - 47:14	50 [1] - 27:12	69:5	86:12	agree [4] - 27:6, 71:2,
120 [1] - 1:4	500 [2] - 56:8, 56:19	absurd [1] - 108:6	additional [15] - 7:21,	74:6, 96:4
148 [1] - 52:16	51 [1] - 13:8	accept [1] - 17:23	19:21, 20:2, 28:5,	agreed [1] - 26:6
15 [2] - 27:11, 27:13	54 [4] - 53:18, 55:20,	acceptability [1] -	48:2, 50:13, 56:10, 56:12, 56:17, 56:10	Agreement [1] - 47:1
150 [1] - 19:25 155 [1] - 60:6	55:25 57 [3] - 54:19, 55:25,	116:15	56:12, 56:17, 56:19, 60:2, 65:9, 65:10,	agreement [8] - 19:18, 20:3, 23:25, 31:13,
16 [3] - 1:1, 67:1,	85:18	acceptable [2] - 110:15, 111:5	71:2, 101:11	32:25, 70:9, 70:15,
67:17	5:00 [1] - 1:2	access [19] - 16:10,	address [14] - 10:2,	77:14
168 [1] - 23:6	5:01 [1] - 3:1	16:13, 19:15, 19:17,	10:12, 19:8, 24:14,	agreements [11] -
17 [2] - 48:6, 59:15	5th [1] - 8:6	20:3, 23:25, 26:12,	66:9, 71:17, 83:6,	16:10, 16:13, 19:16,
18/19 [1] - 118:10		26:22, 32:25, 42:1,	83:10, 91:20, 92:9,	21:13, 26:12, 26:22,
180 [1] - 6:1	6	42:4, 46:16, 58:6,	98:24, 117:2, 117:3,	42:1, 42:4, 70:18,
19 [2] - 17:6, 65:7	6 (4) 0:10 0:12	69:18, 107:8, 107:9,	117:7	118:20, 118:24
1985 [2] - 66:15, 91:5	6 [4] - 9:10, 9:13, 65:19, 106:11	118:19, 119:4, 119:6 accomplish [1] -	addressing [2] - 15:22, 15:23	ahead [29] - 19:7, 19:13, 20:12, 22:23,
1989 [1] - 106:21 1992 [1] - 106:21	6:20 [1] - 64:15	110:5	ADDRESSING [1] -	23:22, 33:10, 35:7,
1993 [2] - 89:13, 91:5	6:21 [1] - 65:3	accordance [5] -	2:1	44:23, 47:13, 58:14,
.555 [2] 55.10, 51.5	6:30 [4] - 64:15, 64:22,	53:20, 53:23, 54:20,	adjourned [2] -	60:24, 62:10, 65:23,
2	65:4, 65:6	59:19, 62:17	120:24, 121:2	70:3, 70:13, 71:24,
		according [3] - 15:8,	adjournment [1] -	78:7, 84:15, 85:13,
2 [3] - 13:14, 43:6,	7	43:10, 62:18	120:8	91:16, 93:17, 98:22,
45:16	7,000 [1] - 116:8	account [1] - 119:12	adjust [1] - 109:17	103:14, 104:1, 106:13, 106:18,
20 [3] - 5:6, 95:2, 99:12	70 [1] - 62:2	accurate [1] - 103:20	admin [1] - 74:11 administered [1] -	109:21, 113:16
99.12 200 [1] - 113:20	7884 [1] - 106:17	accurately [1] - 11:7 acknowledges [1] -	69:17	Al [2] - 98:6, 98:11
2012 [2] - 92:6, 92:23	7:40 [1] - 121:3	116:2	advisor [2] - 17:23,	air [2] - 54:3, 55:12
2017 [2] - 97:6, 107:3		acknowledgment [1] -	45:2	Air [79] - 1:3, 4:24,
2018 [3] - 56:25, 97:9,	8	111:13	ADVISORY [1] - 1:1	6:10, 13:10, 14:24,
102:24	9 (1) 101.0	acronym [1] - 23:2	Advisory [4] - 3:5,	17:4, 17:23, 18:22,
2019 [1] - 58:6	8 [1] - 121:8 800.308.0068 [1] -	acronyms [1] - 33:1	11:21, 93:7, 93:12	23:24, 24:21, 28:5,
2020 [1] - 58:7	121:8	action [29] - 9:21,	advisory [2] - 93:1,	29:12, 30:1, 30:2, 31:1, 31:9, 31:24,
2021 [2] - 48:6, 53:9	810.691.4226 [1] -	28:19, 29:11, 29:13,	116:10	36:1, 36:8, 38:9,
2022 [2] - 1:1, 121:8	121:8	34:9, 38:22, 47:15, 47:19, 47:20, 47:23,	advocates [1] - 5:11 aerospace [1] - 66:23	39:6, 41:5, 41:11,
2025 [1] - 79:7 21 [2] - 55:6, 105:20	8:00 [1] - 10:13	47.19, 47.20, 47.23, 48:2, 48:22, 49:11,	Aerostar [2] - 1:9,	42:8, 44:4, 44:5,
21 [2] - 33.6, 103.20 21st [1] - 38:8		49:22, 50:2, 50:7,	38:10	44:13, 51:13, 51:22,
22 [2] - 106:13, 116:7	9	50:20, 50:22, 51:9,	AFCAC [1] - 66:25	53:12, 58:24, 66:15,
23 [1] - 52:17	9 _[7] - 9:8, 65:18,	52:6, 70:7, 72:19,	affect [1] - 45:4	66:18, 67:3, 70:23,
25 [1] - 95:2	9 [7] - 9:8, 65:18, 106:10, 117:17	76:18, 85:3, 85:25,	affecting [1] - 40:10	71:6, 71:8, 72:7, 76:21, 77:14, 82:19,
2nd [2] - 42:10, 43:5	9th [1] - 38:14	98:7, 98:11, 107:19	afterwards [1] - 8:12	84:3, 86:8, 86:13,
		actions [2] - 29:5,	AG's [2] - 41:4, 42:3	3, 20.3, 00.10,

88:8, 88:25, 89:8, 90:3, 93:21, 95:12, 96:21, 96:23, 97:23, 101:4, 102:17, 102:18, 102:20, 104:3, 104:14, 105:7, 105:11, 106:21, 106:22, 107:25, 108:5, 108:25, 110:10, 110:19, 112:7, 112:8, 114:4, 114:10, 115:12, 115:15, 115:19, 115:25, 116:14, 117:23, 120:19 Airman [3] - 106:16, 106:18, 114:13 airport [11] - 16:15, 47:4, 81:7, 83:2, 83:11, 83:16, 84:3, 84:5, 84:23, 85:8, 85:17 Airport [2] - 14:2, 42:16 Als [1] - 49:11 Alliance [3] - 106:15, 107:24, 109:5 allow [3] - 28:25, 98:24, 99:24 allowed [3] - 87:11, 100:1, 110:23 allowing [1] - 3:24 almost [1] - 99:12 altogether [1] - 61:22 amended [1] - 14:16 amendment [1] -31:12 American [1] - 5:18 amount [4] - 7:19, 55:20, 60:12, 67:9 analysis [5] - 58:21, 76:5, 82:2, 82:5, 82.19 analyze [1] - 89:23 analyzing [1] - 116:14 Anderson [2] - 68:4, 68:5 Andrea [2] - 68:9, 73:9 animals [1] - 46:4 **Annabelle** [1] - 77:9 annual [7] - 36:12, 52:16, 52:24, 53:10, 57:22, 58:21, 62:24 answer [13] - 16:20, 68:2, 68:11, 68:12, 75:9, 80:1, 86:1, 86:3, 87:18, 91:2, 98:1, 102:19, 113:25 answered [5] - 16:2,

23:5, 59:10, 81:9 answering [3] - 22:11, 87:5, 103:2 answers [1] - 86:24 **ANTHONY** [1] - 2:2 anyways [2] - 74:15, 82.1 apologize [3] - 49:5, 117:1, 117:2 APPEARANCES [1] applicable [1] - 29:21 application [1] - 82:21 applies [1] - 84:25 apply [1] - 61:6 appreciate [9] - 12:7, 18:11, 37:4, 40:23, 41:22, 42:13, 46:7, 108:20, 117:11 appropriate [1] -113:7 appropriately [1] -23:6 appropriation [1] - 7:9 appropriations [2] -4:11, 4:16 approval [1] - 75:11 approve [1] - 72:7 **April** [2] - 16:4, 16:7 **AQUAG** [1] - 7:19 aquifer [2] - 20:22, 26:20 area [16] - 26:22, 29:10, 29:16, 40:4, 42:9, 43:10, 45:25, 47:6, 78:10, 80:21, 88:20, 97:23, 101:14, 119:9 areas [4] - 27:16, 94:1, 113:11, 119:12 argue [3] - 91:14, 91:15, 118:8 Arnie [18] - 12:9, 23:22, 27:25, 32:17, 33:9, 36:7, 37:8, 49:9, 50:18, 58:12, 58:14, 71:24, 81:12, 82:16, 84:15, 96:12, 96:17, 98:11 **ARNIE** [1] - 1:13 arnie [1] - 87:4 Arnie's [2] - 85:15, 85:21 AROS [1] - 15:15 **Arrow** [1] - 56:4 aspect [1] - 47:9 aspects [1] - 93:7 assembly [2] - 59:24, 60.4

assessment [10] -

36:22, 36:25, 41:12, 91:24, 92:20, 92:24, 96:14, 97:8, 97:11, 108:10 assessors [1] - 67:19 assigned [2] - 44:6, 116:4 assignment [2] - 44:9, 94.20 assist [2] - 100:24, 101:25 Assistant [1] - 38:15 assume [1] - 95:8 assuming [2] - 16:1, 77:24 **assurance** [1] - 69:22 assured [1] - 110:4 AT [1] - 3:1 ate [1] - 92:22 atmosphere [1] -10:19 ATSDR [10] - 90:15, 95:9, 95:11, 95:16, 97:8, 97:10, 98:15, 108:9, 113:4, 113:7 atta [2] - 38:9, 38:20 atta-boy [2] - 38:9, 38:20 attach [1] - 73:18 attached [1] - 73:2 attachment [1] - 73:6 attendees [2] - 87:16, 105:25 attending [2] - 3:14, 3:16 attorney [1] - 41:5 Au [4] - 1:8, 13:11, 42:21, 45:22 audio [2] - 25:6, 25:10 August [2] - 54:11, 102:24 Authority [2] - 14:3, 42:16 Authorization [1] - 4:9 authorization [1] -4:17 authorize [2] - 97:14, 107:7 automated [1] - 9:13 available [2] - 56:23, 113:2 average [2] - 60:1, 60:6 avoid [1] - 10:24 awaiting [1] - 31:8 aware [1] - 21:7

background [9] - 9:4, 22:10, 66:6, 66:7, 68:23, 88:5, 89:24, 93:19, 101:23 backup [1] - 110:24 backward [1] - 17:11 bad [2] - 60:3, 60:10 bag [8] - 59:24, 60:1, 60:4, 61:1, 61:9, 61:10, 61:14, 61:22 bags [1] - 60:13 banks [4] - 62:13, 62:15, 63:11, 63:13 barrel [1] - 99:23 Bart [1] - 102:21 base [31] - 7:16, 9:23, 17:7, 42:8, 47:7, 52:8, 53:5, 63:7, 66:18, 66:20, 66:22, 81:21, 83:9, 88:10, 88:19, 93:23, 94:2, 94:12, 94:20, 95:1, 95:2, 95:7, 96:25, 97:3, 101:15, 101:22, 112:1, 118:1, 118:2, 118:3 Base [9] - 1:3, 51:16, 66:18, 82:19, 88:8, 95:12, 106:21, 107:25, 117:23 based [7] - 38:7, 67:6, 69:23, 72:3, 79:12, 103:6, 107:24 bases [6] - 22:8, 45:7, 82:6, 82:18, 93:24, 110:17 basic [1] - 112:5 basis [4] - 37:6, 57:22, 72:19, 90:24 BCT [8] - 69:11, 69:13, 69:16, 69:23, 70:5, 73:8, 110:22 BCTs [5] - 72:1, 72:4, 73:2, 74:3, 74:4 beaten [2] - 44:19, 44:20 became [2] - 16:5, 63:8 becomes [2] - 45:24, 94:24 BECOS [7] - 10:1, 51:16, 51:23, 52:4, 57:5, 61:19, 62:20 begin [1] - 16:4 beginning [3] - 24:5, 52:2, 104:6 begins [1] - 64:1 behalf [5] - 4:7, 6:17, 43:23, 51:22, 93:15 behoove [1] - 58:20

below [2] - 43:8, 116:10 BEN [1] - 1:8 Ben [4] - 14:5, 39:22, 39:24, 40:16 bend [1] - 3:23 beneficial [3] - 39:2, 68:24, 93:6 benefit [1] - 40:4 Bennett [5] - 7:6, 98:24, 99:7, 99:8, 107:9 BENNETT [4] - 2:5, 7:5, 99:8, 102:8 Benzene [1] - 56:4 best [8] - 17:5, 23:17, 37:2, 80:19, 80:20, 85:19, 114:24, 116:17 Beth [18] - 13:23, 15:14, 40:16, 42:12, 43:3, 48:21, 49:8, 69:9, 69:13, 69:20, 70:2, 71:4, 72:1, 74:18, 85:12, 86:6, 105:3, 105:17 BETH [1] - 1:17 beth [1] - 59:6 better [10] - 17:13, 22:7, 35:18, 61:18, 75:24, 79:14, 98:4, 103:4, 109:15, 109:16 between [6] - 5:8, 39:5, 49:14, 50:21, 52:18, 110:19 beyond [2] - 120:18, 120:19 **BI**[1] - 17:21 big [1] - 113:1 bigger [2] - 45:4, 56:2 bill [5] - 32:12, 97:17, 100:8, 100:10, 102:2 BILL [1] - 1:11 Bill [5] - 12:4, 12:7, 32:11, 32:16, 75:15 billion [2] - 4:23 biofouling~[2]-57:11,57:13 biologists [1] - 67:20 biota [1] - 41:17 bipartisan [2] - 5:19, 5:23 birds [1] - 78:11 bit [15] - 8:9, 11:25, 19:3, 25:12, 26:7, 32:22, 36:24, 44:11, 69:10. 96:25. 98:25. 103:4, 107:22, 109:14, 109:16

B52s [2] - 66:15, 66:21

blood [4] - 89:2, 91:20, 92:3, 93:3 board [4] - 4:19, 5:3, 11.9 15.2 Board [3] - 3:5, 11:21, 93:12 **BOARD** [2] - 1:1, 2:1 Boards [1] - 93:7 Bob [1] - 111:24 born [2] - 117:22, 118.1 bottom [1] - 99:24 boy [2] - 38:9, 38:20 BRAC [2] - 66:18, 94:3 break [3] - 64:15, 64:16, 64:21 breaks [1] - 78:11 **brief** [3] - 9:20, 14:20, 53:13 briefer [1] - 70:17 briefing [1] - 70:13 bring [5] - 4:20, 38:13, 39:9, 67:24, 111:2 brings [1] - 78:16 broken [1] - 80:18 brought [3] - 63:9, 69:17, 95:10 Bryan [2] - 13:15, 45:15 BRYAN [3] - 1:18, 13:15, 45:18 budget [1] - 4:24 building [5] - 15:6, 15:7, 15:9, 44:18 buildings [2] - 81:21, 88.13 built [3] - 18:5, 68:2, 78:23 bullet [1] - 29:22 **bus** [1] - 112:10 business [5] - 9:21, 46:10, 47:13, 48:11, 48:20 Bussey [4] - 106:16, 106:20, 113:14, 114:14 BUSSEY [4] - 2:2, 106:20, 113:13, 113:18 buy [3] - 80:25, 81:3, 81:8 BY [1] - 1:20 bypassing [1] - 79:17

C

CA [2] - 100:14, 100:20 cabins [1] - 119:5 CALL [1] - 3:1

Camp [1] - 97:13 cancelled [1] - 83:14 cancer [1] - 108:1 cancers [2] - 108:3, 116:23 cannot [1] - 36:15 cap [1] - 7:18 capacity [8] - 56:2, 56:3, 56:5, 56:7, 56:13, 56:17, 56:19, capture [7] - 8:18, 49:12, 53:1, 53:2, 102:22, 103:19, 113:11 captured [5] - 21:11, 48:3, 49:19, 49:21, 51:1 carbon [5] - 54:10, 54:15, 54:21, 54:23, 55:1 care [3] - 112:9, 114:8, 115:13 career [4] - 66:12, 66:14, 95:3, 115:3 careers [1] - 95:1 careful [1] - 78:15 case [2] - 99:12, 110:6 catch [1] - 23:2 **CATHARINE** [1] - 1:16 Catharine [12] - 3:9, 13:10, 39:9, 40:20, 41:1, 65:25, 67:5, 68:18, 74:23, 80:5, 86:19, 96:20 Catharine's [1] - 66:7 cathy [2] - 98:22, 108:21 Cathy [19] - 13:5, 13:6, 18:18, 18:19, 19:13, 21:17, 24:19, 28:10, 28:17, 31:20, 63:1, 63:4, 90:19, 92:18, 93:2, 98:21, 107:7, 108:21, 113:5 CATHY [1] - 1:13 Cathy's [1] - 31:24 caught [1] - 49:16 caused [1] - 108:17 Center [1] - 17:24 center [1] - 107:5 central [9] - 54:18, 54:21, 55:21, 55:22, 56:1, 56:11, 56:22, 77:13, 77:20 CERCLA [7] - 29:7, 33:1, 36:18, 37:1,

72:4. 84:17. 96:22

certain [1] - 96:8

certainly [5] - 29:1,

46:2, 61:17, 61:20, 108:25 Certified [1] - 1:21 certified [1] - 121:4 cetera [1] - 88:14 Chair [1] - 14:14 chair[4] - 32:6, 41:21, 50:8, 98:12 CHAIR [147] - 1:11, 1:16, 3:13, 3:15, 15:1, 18:10, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19, 20:10, 20:21, 21:5, 21:16, 21:24, 22:2, 22:6, 22:23, 23:3, 24:1, 24:23, 25:2, 25:23, 26:9, 26:18, 26:23, 27:6, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:23, 30:4, 30:9, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 31:25, 32:8, 33:7, 33:12, 34:20, 34:23, 35:1, 35:4, 35:8, 36:7, 44:22, 44:25, 46:17, 46:21, 46:24, 47:25, 48:12, 48:18, 48:24, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 49:22, 49:23, 50:1, 51:8, 54:6, 56:12, 56:15, 57:4, 57:9, 58:2, 60:25, 61:8, 61:23, 63:21, 63:25, 64:7, 64:17, 64:18, 65:1, 68:1, 69:5, 73:7, 73:22, 75:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:3, 79:11, 80:9, 80:15, 80:24, 81:2, 81:5, 82:7, 82:12, 82:15, 82:22, 82:25, 83:12, 83:21, 83:25, 85:5, 85:10, 85:14, 86:1, 86:4, 86:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:19, 88:3, 89:5, 89:25, 90:10, 90:12, 90:13, 90:17, 90:19, 91:11, 93:18, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 94:23, 95:8, 95:10, 95:18, 95:23, 98:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:16, 104:2, 104:17, 104:24, 105:13, 112:23, 114:18, 114:22, 119:20, 120:13, 120:16

chairs [10] - 3:8, 47:18, 47:22, 48:5, 48:10, 50:21, 51:5, 64:14, 96:16, 120:7 chance [1] - 118:25 change [5] - 44:17, 45:4, 50:15, 57:21, 74.21 changed [2] - 54:22, 104:10 changeout [1] - 55:1 changeouts [5] -54:10, 54:11, 54:13, 54:21, 54:24 changes [2] - 50:3, 104:4 changing [4] - 34:7, 54:15, 59:25, 60:13 charge [1] - 89:6 charging [1] - 77:14 chart [4] - 57:22, 57:23, 58:16, 58:17 check [20] - 7:2, 10:16, 12:4, 12:15, 15:13, 23:9, 25:6, 39:8, 41:2, 41:12, 41:14, 41:16, 46:8, 49:7, 64:14, 65:13, 92:15, 105:1, 109:3, 117:12 checking [1] - 23:15 chemical [2] - 55:3, 55:7 chemicals [2] - 90:6, 108:11 chemists [1] - 67:19 children [1] - 46:3 circle [1] - 66:19 citizens [2] - 26:17, 107:19 Civil [1] - 17:24 civilian [1] - 94:25 Civilians [3] - 106:15, 107:24, 109:5 civilians [2] - 95:3, 116.4 claim [2] - 100:25, 101:2 claims [2] - 101:7, 101:25 clarification [2] - 79:1, 80:5 clarifications [1] -117:9 clarify [1] - 115:11 Clark's [3] - 40:3, 40:4, 53:8 Clean [3] - 106:15,

107:24, 109:5

clean [4] - 29:13,

57:10, 57:17, 82:8 cleaned [2] - 54:2, 55.9 cleaning [9] - 54:1, 55:10, 59:22, 62:4, 62:7, 62:9, 79:16 cleanup [13] - 67:2, 67:23, 89:6, 90:11, 90:14, 94:8, 94:10, 110:10, 113:9, 114:23, 115:6, 117:7, 117:25 clear [2] - 77:11, 79:5 cleared [1] - 62:10 clearing [1] - 63:6 clearly [2] - 10:23, 11:16 clock [2] - 7:17, 7:21 clogged [1] - 100:1 closed [4] - 66:19, 66:20, 66:22, 118:3 closely [1] - 95:19 closing [1] - 120:9 closure [1] - 9:23 club [1] - 119:4 CO [147] - 1:11, 1:16, 3:13, 3:15, 15:1, 18:10, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19, 20:10, 20:21, 21:5, 21:16, 21:24, 22:2, 22:6, 22:23. 23:3. 24:1. 24:23. 25:2. 25:23. 26:9, 26:18, 26:23, 27:6, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:23, 30:4, 30:9, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 31:25, 32:8, 33:7, 33:12, 34:20, 34:23, 35:1, 35:4, 35:8, 36:7, 44:22, 44:25, 46:17, 46:21, 46:24, 47:25, 48:12, 48:18, 48:24, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 49:22, 49:23, 50:1, 51:8, 54:6, 56:12, 56:15, 57:4, 57:9, 58:2, 60:25, 61:8, 61:23, 63:21, 63:25, 64:7, 64:17, 64:18, 65:1, 68:1, 69:5, 73:7, 73:22, 75:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:3, 79:11, 80:9, 80:15, 80:24, 81:2, 81:5, 82:7, 82:12, 82:15, 82:22, 82:25, 83:12,

83:21, 83:25, 85:5, 85:10, 85:14, 86:1, 86:4, 86:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:19, 88:3, 89:5, 89:25, 90:10, 90:12, 90:13, 90:17, 90:19, 91:11, 93:18, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 94:23, 95:8, 95:10, 95:18, 95:23, 98:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:16, 104:2, 104:17, 104:24, 105:13, 112:23, 114:18, 114:22, 119:20, 120:13, 120:16 co [13] - 3:8, 32:6, 41:21, 47:18, 47:22, 48:5, 48:10, 50:8, 50:21, 51:5, 64:14, 96:16, 120:7 co-chair [3] - 32:6, 41:21, 50:8 CO-CHAIR [147] -1:11, 1:16, 3:13, 3:15, 15:1, 18:10, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19, 20:10, 20:21, 21:5, 21:16, 21:24, 22:2, 22:6, 22:23, 23:3, 24:1, 24:23, 25:2, 25:23, 26:9, 26:18, 26:23, 27:6, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:23, 30:4, 30:9, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 31:25, 32:8, 33:7, 33:12, 34:20, 34:23, 35:1, 35:4, 35:8, 36:7, 44:22, 44:25, 46:17, 46:21, 46:24, 47:25, 48:12, 48:18, 48:24, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 49:22, 49:23, 50:1, 51:8, 54:6, 56:12, 56:15, 57:4, 57:9, 58:2, 60:25, 61:8, 61:23, 63:21, 63:25, 64:7, 64:17, 64:18, 65:1, 68:1, 69:5, 73:7, 73:22, 75:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:3, 79:11, 80:9, 80:15, 80:24, 81:2, 81:5, 82:7, 82:12, 82:15, 82:22, 82:25, 83:12, 83:21, 83:25, 85:5, 85:10,

85:14, 86:1, 86:4, 86:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:19, 88:3, 89:5, 89:25, 90:10, 90:12, 90:13, 90:17, 90:19, 91:11, 93:18, 94:6, 94:9, 94:13, 94:23, 95:8, 95:10, 95:18, 95:23, 98:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:16, 104:2, 104:17, 104:24, 105:13, 112:23, 114:18, 114:22, 119:20, 120:13, 120:16 co-chairs [10] - 3:8, 47:18, 47:22, 48:5, 48:10, 50:21, 51:5, 64:14, 96:16, 120:7 cognizant [1] - 102:10 Colbath [2] - 118:7, 118:21 cold [2] - 15:9, 16:6 Colin [1] - 104:19 collaborating [1] -76:10 collected [2] - 62:22, 82:4 collecting [2] - 24:2, 35:2 collective [1] - 6:15 collects [1] - 58:24 color [1] - 90:13 coming [15] - 4:12, 17:20, 17:21, 29:6, 47:8, 70:9, 72:13, 82:3, 82:4, 83:1, 84:2, 85:17, 85:20, 96:24, 97:2 command [1] - 47:2 comment [27] - 11:24, 37:19, 38:6, 43:23, 44:21. 45:15. 49:9. 74:8. 91:17. 102:12. 102:13, 103:23, 103:24, 105:18, 105:21, 106:2, 106:6, 106:14, 108:20, 109:8, 109:10, 113:17, 115:8, 117:14, 117:20, 118:19,

119:19

18:25

commented [1] -

comments [31] - 7:1,

10:6, 10:7, 15:13,

15:17, 15:18, 15:22,

15:23, 20:5, 29:24,

29:25, 30:1, 32:14,

38:1, 41:2, 41:8, 41:11, 41:15, 41:16, 41:17, 71:2, 71:13, 72:15, 86:13, 86:16, 90:22, 106:4, 114:14, 117:12, 120:1, 120:9 committee [3] - 38:16, 39:3, 39:12 common [1] - 44:4 communicated [1] -96:16 communication [1] -26.8 COMMUNITY [1] -1:10 community [36] -5:21, 6:20, 8:2, 13:8, 16:15, 18:15, 18:19, 19:17, 21:8, 21:12, 24:17, 26:1, 26:3, 28:17, 28:18, 32:4, 32:6, 32:22, 32:23, 36:14, 39:6, 40:9, 41:21, 44:11, 44:19, 48:8, 50:6, 50:19, 69:18, 69:21, 91:8, 93:1, 110:19, 110:23, 112:12 compared [1] - 116:24 comparison [2] - 43:7, 43:8 competencies [1] -93:10 complete [1] - 33:4 completed [7] - 33:15, 34:11, 52:15, 52:22, 54:21, 62:16, 97:12 compliance [1] - 84:6 complication [1] -80:16 complications [1] -104.21 **components** [1] - 4:25 compounds [1] -107:6 comprehensive [6] -67:15, 76:14, 83:13, 83:17, 84:4, 86:13 comprehensively [1] -85:7 compression [1] -54:3 computer [3] - 8:24, 63:19, 106:8 concentration [1] -115:21 concentrations [2] -96:6, 116:5

104:5, 104:7, 104:9, 119:23 concern [8] - 19:16, 19:23, 28:20, 39:15, 43:10, 45:25, 68:18, 68:20 concerned [3] - 21:9, 40:8, 78:25 concerns [7] - 16:9, 19:21, 20:1, 21:14, 24:19, 28:16, 106:4 concluded [2] -107:12, 115:20 conclusion [1] - 120:7 condition [3] - 99:16, 99:17, 102:3 conditions [3] -100:15, 100:19, 100:21 conducted [1] -111:16 confidence [1] - 44:18 confidently [1] -101:20 confirm [1] - 12:22 confirmed [1] - 68:14 confirming [2] - 12:2, 12:3 confuse [1] - 102:16 confused [1] - 82:15 confuses [1] - 51:3 Congress [2] - 4:10, 97:14 Congressional [1] -99:4 Congressman [6] -7:3, 7:7, 8:6, 99:9, 100:7, 100:11 CONGRESSMAN [1] connect [4] - 36:15, 36:17, 99:14, 99:19 connected [13] - 10:8, 12:20, 13:23, 14:10, 25:7, 32:12, 42:18, 63:3, 63:17, 63:19, 65:13, 65:21, 117:15 connections [1] - 83:8 constantly [1] - 114:9 Construction [1] -51.17 construction [1] -9:24 consulting [1] - 45:6 consumed [1] - 105:6 contact [3] - 20:13, 21:14, 22:13 contacted [1] - 19:16

contain [1] - 113:11

contains [1] - 85:18

contaminants [2] -40:13, 86:9 contaminated [3] -81:24, 82:11, 119:13 contamination [7] -29:17, 40:5, 44:20, 85:1, 86:14, 107:10, 111.14 context [1] - 60:18 continually [1] - 57:17 continue [26] - 4:18, 8:4, 18:6, 18:12, 18:13, 22:15, 28:10, 32:3, 39:15, 42:13, 44:15, 45:8, 52:6, 64:22, 65:6, 68:7, 68:11, 68:12, 71:10, 74:16, 83:4, 105:15, 105:19, 112:11, 113:8, 120:22 continued [3] - 15:3, 55:15, 111:22 continues [2] - 35:24, 40.24 continuing [5] - 4:14, 8:2, 22:20, 62:21, 114:11 continuous [1] - 79:17 contract [15] - 9:25, 17:15, 23:5, 51:17, 51:23, 52:1, 52:4, 52:5, 52:10, 57:5, 62:20, 105:14 contractor [3] - 66:24, 72:7, 77:6 contractors [3] -22:17, 33:24, 87:1 contributions [1] -82:3 control [1] - 52:20 controls [2] - 52:12, 52:14 conversation [4] -37:13, 81:14, 105:23, 105:25 conversations [4] -7:12, 11:13, 25:17, 41:23 conveyance [4] - 54:2, 55:11, 57:10, 81:17 conveying [1] -114:20 cooperative [1] -31:13 coordinate [1] - 9:11 copies [1] - 37:10 copy [5] - 26:2, 26:16, 73:19 correct [19] - 14:8, 30:9, 30:12, 36:9,

conceptual [5] - 34:6,

49:15, 54:9, 55:24, 56:1, 56:14, 61:7, 67:5, 71:4, 71:6, 80:10, 80:14, 83:21, 96:23, 111:20, 114:22 cost [1] - 76:5 counterpart [1] - 18:4 country [2] - 110:17, 112:10 couple [14] - 4:1, 5:15, 6:5, 29:12, 44:17, 55:4, 55:10, 60:19, 75:17, 82:18, 84:20, 87:10, 99:9, 110:1 course [4] - 44:24, 60:20, 61:19, 104:16 Court [1] - 121:7 COURT [4] - 25:11, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10 court [4] - 8:18, 11:11, 110:24, 111:2 covering [1] - 8:10 covers [1] - 7:9 COVID [2] - 23:13, 87:10 crash [2] - 101:16, 101:17 created [3] - 51:2, 91:5, 100:11 creation [1] - 4:22 creative [1] - 93:25 credit [1] - 44:12 criteria [1] - 92:21 critical [4] - 38:10, 38:21, 72:14 crunch [2] - 25:21, 50:15 CSM [1] - 35:19 CSR-5519 [2] - 1:20, 121:7 CTI [1] - 51:24 Cummings [3] - 14:1, 43:20, 75:5 **CUMMINGS** [14] - 1:8, 43:22, 45:12, 75:6, 76:19, 77:1, 77:4, 77:7, 77:10, 78:7, 78:13, 78:22, 79:9, 79:25 cummings [1] - 79:23 curiosity [1] - 57:10 current [5] - 5:4, 34:13, 68:24, 96:24, 105:6 cyber [1] - 4:2

D

dad [1] - 118:2

dam [1] - 53:8 **DAN** [1] - 2:5 Dan [3] - 37:20, 40:22, 99.9 Daniel [2] - 12:13, 37:17 **DANIEL** [1] - 1:12 data [26] - 16:25, 24:2, 35:2, 35:16, 53:9, 54:24, 62:22, 89:10, 89:15, 89:22, 91:3, 94:16, 96:1, 96:9, 98:19, 101:23, 102:23, 111:13, 111:25, 113:2, 113:3, 115:20, 116:15, 116:16, 116:22 data-driven [1] - 113:3 date [1] - 35:10 dated [1] - 121:8 Dave [1] - 68:22 david [1] - 37:25 **DAVID** [1] - 1:12 David [11] - 8:25, 12:20, 12:21, 12:22, 13:1, 37:25, 39:14, 65:23, 66:9, 68:1, 71.16 days [5] - 6:1, 54:10, 54:14. 60:1. 60:3 DC [1] - 4:1 dead [1] - 89:2 dealing [1] - 115:15 death [1] - 108:16 debatable [1] - 96:25 decades [1] - 78:23 December [8] - 4:10, 26:13, 38:14, 53:3, 53:18, 54:22, 57:3, 59.25 decide [1] - 113:7 Decision [2] - 30:5, 41.3 decision [5] - 8:3, 26:3, 31:4, 74:4, 75:3 decision-making [3] -8:3, 74:4, 75:3 decisions [3] - 74:13, 113:3, 113:4 deck [1] - 8:15 declined [1] - 108:11 decommission [1] -20:17 decommissioned [1] -89:18 decreased [1] - 60:12

deeply [1] - 40:8

daily [1] - 107:25

deer [1] - 92:22 Defense [6] - 4:9, 91:19, 100:18, 111:11, 111:20, 111:22 $\textbf{definitely} \ [2] \textbf{ - } 61:6,$ 98.5 degree [1] - 67:7 Delaney [3] - 102:22, 111:25, 115:18 delays [1] - 111:4 delineate [1] - 16:23 delineation [1] - 76:17 denied [1] - 106:22 **DENISE** [1] - 1:18 Denise [6] - 13:15, 13:17, 13:18, 45:15, 45:17, 46:6 deny [5] - 107:20, 108:6, 114:4 denying [1] - 111:13 department [1] - 92:12 Department [19] -13:14, 13:20, 13:24, 40:17, 43:1, 45:16, 91:19, 97:6, 98:1, 98:8, 100:18, 108:5, 111:11, 111:15, 111:19, 111:22, 115:12, 115:25, 116:14 dependents [4] -106:25, 108:3, 114:3, 114:6 Deputy [1] - 38:14 deputy [1] - 7:6 **DEPUTY** [1] - 2:5 **DEQ** [2] - 88:7, 115:20 describes [1] - 11:23 deserve [1] - 98:4 design [1] - 55:23 designate [1] - 7:16 detail [6] - 11:25, 27:2, 36:15, 63:5, 72:10, 84:1 details [1] - 82:14 detections [1] - 43:9 detective [2] - 90:18, 93:22 detects [3] - 43:7, 43:10 determine [4] - 24:3, 24:8, 24:9, 98:17 determined [3] -85:24, 113:20, 113:21 **develop** [1] - 6:1 developed [1] - 97:19 developing [1] - 53:11

92:24, 110:20 **DHHS** [7] - 90:15, 90:16, 95:19, 112:8, 113:4, 113:6 diagram [1] - 37:2 dialed [1] - 65:17 dialogue [3] - 10:19, 11:19, 65:10 dictated [1] - 54:23 dictates [1] - 54:12 die [1] - 107:21 diet [1] - 115:4 difference [2] - 45:1, 76:15 different [12] - 5:13, 7:16, 11:1, 69:16, 69:21, 72:6, 78:16, 86:2, 87:22, 93:9, 100:3, 107:5 difficult [6] - 16:5, 32:25, 94:25, 99:13, 99:18, 100:23 difficulty [1] - 25:8 diligence [1] - 113:8 diligently [3] - 4:15, 5:11, 117:5 direct [2] - 25:17, 93:12 directed [1] - 43:23 direction [1] - 85:17 **DIRECTOR** [1] - 2:5 director [2] - 4:5, 7:7 disappointed [2] -38:24, 44:11 Discharge [1] - 53:21 discharges [1] - 85:16 discharging [1] - 82:8 discuss [4] - 11:3, 41:24, 43:6, 70:15 discussed [1] - 93:21 discussing [1] - 42:4 discussion [10] - 10:4, 46:9, 48:20, 65:9, 71:23, 93:17, 96:8, 105:6, 105:19, 119:24 discussions [2] -11:8, 86:11 Disease [1] - 116:18 diseases [1] - 108:4 Diseases [1] - 95:17 dismayed [1] - 38:25 dispersion [1] - 6:12 dispersions [1] -115:18 display [1] - 9:10 distribution [5] - 88:9, 88:17, 88:18, 90:2,

DISTRICT [1] - 2:5 District [3] - 8:6, 13:14, 45:16 ditch [1] - 85:17 dive [1] - 51:13 **Division** [1] - 86:10 **DNR**[1] - 42:3 doctorate's [1] - 67:6 document [10] - 11:3, 71:11, 72:6, 72:13, 72:17, 73:11, 74:4, 74:12, 74:14, 114:20 documentation [2] -106:23. 114:12 documenting [2] -52:13, 70:18 documents [9] -17:10, 58:3, 70:8, 72:5, 72:11, 72:16, 72:18, 73:3, 73:24 **DoD** [2] - 38:25, 101:4 dollar [1] - 81:9 dollars [2] - 4:23, 5:22 done [15] - 21:15, 26:7, 29:11, 36:17, 38:9, 76:4, 82:24, 94:2, 97:9, 104:7, 110:5, 110:14, 110:16 dots [2] - 99:15, 99:19 double [2] - 7:19, 23:15 doubled [1] - 111:22 down [22] - 3:23, 17:25, 21:11, 22:18, 23:13, 27:5, 32:11, 44:20, 50:14, 60:15, 60:17, 64:25, 69:25, 78:16, 78:21, 81:4, 106:6, 111:22, 112:11, 113:1, 118:3, 119:17 download [1] - 33:25 downstate [1] -119:17 downtime [1] - 60:12 dozens [1] - 107:25 **Dr** [20] - 3:9, 3:11, 13:9, 14:24, 18:8, 35:6, 43:24, 46:15, 78:2, 85:4, 94:4, 103:14, 107:11, 112:15, 114:16, 115:8, 115:9, 117:10, 120:12, 120:15 **dr** [1] - 75:6 DR [118] - 2:3, 3:15, 15:1, 18:10, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19,

development [2] -

90:7

district [2] - 7:6, 8:10

20:10, 20:21, 21:5, 21:16, 21:24, 22:2, 22:6, 22:23, 23:3, 24:1, 24:23, 25:2, 25:23, 26:9, 26:18, 26:23, 27:6, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:23, 30:4, 30:9, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 31:25, 33:7, 33:12, 34:20, 34:23, 35:1, 35:4, 35:8, 44:22, 44:25, 46:17, 46:21, 46:24, 47:25, 48:12, 48:24, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 49:23, 56:12, 56:15, 57:4, 58:2. 64:18. 65:1. 68:1, 69:5, 73:7, 73:22, 75:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:3, 79:11, 80:9, 80:15, 80:24, 81:2, 81:5, 82:7, 82:12, 82:15, 82:22, 82:25, 83:12, 83:21, 83:25, 85:5, 85:10, 86:1, 86:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:19, 89:5, 90:10, 90:13, 90:19, 91:11, 94:6, 94:13, 95:8, 95:18, 95:23, 98:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:16, 104:17, 105:13, 112:23, 114:18, 114:22, 115:10, 119:20, 120:16 draft [1] - 71:7 drain [2] - 80:8, 99:25 draw [1] - 93:3 drawn [1] - 101:14 draws [1] - 93:9 drillers [1] - 16:6 drilling [2] - 16:7, 16:8 drinking [6] - 89:17, 89:22, 96:24, 97:22, 111:15, 112:4 **Driscol** [2] - 1:7, 3:4 drive [4] - 35:19, 35:20, 35:21, 61:2 driven [1] - 113:3 dry [1] - 99:22 due [2] - 104:20, 113:8 duplicates [1] - 51:2 duration [2] - 60:6, 115:21 during [16] - 10:10, 19:2, 26:10, 26:13,

38:7, 38:14, 74:3, 85:24, 86:15, 94:15, 94:22, 103:8, 104:15, 105:5, 105:9, 118:24 duty [2] - 100:24, 101:25 Dwight [1] - 1:4 dying [3] - 90:9, 114:6 dynamic [1] - 104:8

Ε

e-mail [2] - 43:13,

e-mails [1] - 113:20

early [2] - 11:5, 16:1

easiest [1] - 15:22

Eastern [1] - 10:13

east [1] - 97:1

easy [1] - 6:21

43.17

eco [1] - 41:14 effective [1] - 48:6 efficiencies [2] - 55:4, 55:16 efficiency [3] - 55:13, 57:18, 61:18 efficiently [1] - 10:22 effort [1] - 85:21 efforts [7] - 4:7, 6:15, 6:19, 6:24, 53:24, 55:2, 113:9 EGLE [18] - 15:13. 24:8, 41:4, 41:10, 41:11, 48:22, 70:13, 70:19, 70:25, 71:2, 72:8, 72:12, 74:20, 75:1, 83:4, 86:12, 86:16, 105:7 EGLE's [2] - 72:13, 103:19 eight [3] - 18:13, 28:12, 88:7 either [6] - 19:4, 68:22, 72:11, 104:10, 106:1, 116:3 electronically [4] -9:1, 106:9, 117:15, 117:16 element [2] - 11:21, 47:15 eligibility [1] - 92:21 eligible [1] - 92:23 eliminate [2] - 61:14, 61.22 elsewhere [2] - 16:22, 24:13 emerging [1] - 86:9 emphasis [1] - 119:23 emphasize [4] - 11:11,

empirical [1] - 116:21 employees [3] - 88:24, 89:1. 90:5 Enclosure [1] - 51:16 end [9] - 8:7, 10:2. 10:11, 15:25, 17:2, 41:13, 41:17, 92:2, 112:13 ending [1] - 106:17 ends [1] - 64:1 Energy [2] - 13:25, 40:18 energy [1] - 87:13 engage [1] - 65:9 engaged [1] - 40:7 engagement [1] -111:12 Engineer [1] - 17:24 engineer [1] - 66:17 engineered [1] - 62:1 ensure [1] - 106:17 entering [3] - 24:13, 40:6, 105:24 entire [2] - 53:7, 78:5 entities [1] - 26:25 environment [1] - 29:8 Environment [2] -13:24, 40:17 Environmental [3] -46:25, 51:16, 111:16 environmental [8] -9:24, 45:3, 66:23, 67:2, 67:15, 67:23, 93:8, 112:6 environments [1] -16:6 envision [1] - 79:10 **EPA**[3] - 37:3, 116:10, 116:17 Eric [4] - 3:20, 7:6, 13:11, 42:21 ERIC [1] - 2:4 ESCA [1] - 46:25 **ESI**[1] - 34:16 especially [4] - 25:15, 25:16, 50:24, 119:9 essentially [2] -100:12, 105:22 et [1] - 88:14 Etten [9] - 15:11, 16:16, 21:9, 24:13, 41:3, 45:21, 45:23, 53:8, 97:1 **evaluating** [1] - 46:5 evening [3] - 3:5, 43:24, 51:20 event [3] - 52:16, 52:25, 53:2 events [2] - 7:16,

21:2, 21:13, 28:16

81:23 eventual [1] - 43:25 eventually [4] - 18:3, 21:24, 35:5, 61:12 evolved [1] - 70:6 evolving [1] - 104:15 exact [1] - 55:20 exactly [5] - 20:3, 33:18, 33:21, 50:25, 72.23 example [6] - 26:2, 33:16, 33:19, 33:20, 37:7, 82:20 exceeds [1] - 116:23 except [1] - 97:24 exchange [2] - 10:19, 11:20 excuse [3] - 53:18, 60:3, 84:20 exist [1] - 89:22 existing [4] - 50:2, 53:16, 80:8, 80:13 exotic [1] - 108:3 expanding [1] -105:10 expect [2] - 76:10, 86:23 **experience** [2] - 17:7, 45.21 expertise [1] - 68:15 explain [5] - 36:4, 69:9, 69:19, 69:20, 104:4 explained [1] - 108:13 exposed [14] - 89:3, 90:6, 91:4, 94:11, 99:20, 100:10, 100:13, 100:14, 100:22, 102:3, 106:23, 107:13, 116:6, 118:8 exposing [1] - 97:22 exposure [22] - 88:21, 91:1, 91:23, 92:3, 92:4, 92:19, 92:23, 93:23, 94:21, 95:6, 96:5, 96:14, 96:24, 98:17, 100:16, 100:17, 101:8, 102:4, 113:24, 115:4, 118:6, 118:12 **exposures** [2] - 101:6, 116:3 extend [3] - 61:17, 80:13, 120:18 extended [1] - 34:17 extent [3] - 16:12,

29:17, 37:6

extra [1] - 60:19

extraction [1] - 40:2

extremely [1] - 116:2

F

FAA[1] - 6:10 face [2] - 106:24, 116:17 facilitating [1] - 3:4 fact [3] - 103:1, 103:11, 110:14 fail [1] - 22:12 faint [1] - 25:11 fairness [1] - 98:2 fall [4] - 24:25, 25:1, 25:25, 118:24 familiar [2] - 20:2, 99:22 families [7] - 88:19, 90:5, 91:1, 94:11, 95:13, 109:25, 111:7 family [2] - 45:10, 91:4 far [5] - 23:13, 42:1, 58:3, 107:2, 117:24 **fashioned** [1] - 8:23 fast [3] - 6:22, 40:24, 113:11 FC [1] - 66:25 feasibility [1] - 84:18 February [2] - 45:20, 54:24 **FEBRUARY** [1] - 1:1 Federal [4] - 5:4, 5:21, 5:24, 37:3 fee [2] - 78:9, 78:12 feet [1] - 19:25 few [7] - 6:6, 9:5, 11:10, 25:4, 41:25, 88:6, 95:4 field [2] - 22:16, 66:24 fields [2] - 67:22, 67:24 fieldwork [2] - 15:20, 16:3 **fighting** [1] - 114:9 figure [6] - 94:7, 94:14, 112:16, 115:24, 116:17, 116:20 figures [1] - 43:9 files [3] - 100:25, 107:9, 107:10 fill [2] - 17:1, 68:15 fills [1] - 102:5 filter [4] - 59:24, 61:1, 61:13, 61:14 filtered [1] - 77:17 filters [6] - 60:1, 60:5, 61:9, 61:10, 61:15, 61:22

filtration [1] - 61:21

final [8] - 23:4, 63:21, 71:8, 71:11, 79:4, 79:5, 79:6, 79:9 finalize [3] - 15:20, 22:25. 71:9 finalized [6] - 15:25, 28:22, 28:24, 34:10, 70:20, 102:25 finalizing [1] - 58:7 **finally** [2] - 9:15, 89:2 findings [1] - 79:12 fine [4] - 22:13, 38:3, 38:18, 39:4 finish [4] - 33:10, 58:13, 84:20, 91:17 fire [5] - 61:3, 88:12, 89:20, 99:22, 100:2 firefighter [1] - 99:21 firefighting [1] - 6:11 first [27] - 3:8, 24:23, 24:24, 25:25, 26:5, 26:11, 28:20, 38:20, 42:10, 52:3, 53:3, 53:17, 58:13, 61:1, 65:20, 65:25, 69:7, 71:7, 75:19, 78:17, 89:5, 89:6, 96:19, 107:12, 110:1, 111:9, 115:12 firsthand [1] - 98:3 fish [1] - 92:22 five [6] - 11:2, 11:23, 44:2, 67:1, 73:25, 107:5 fix [3] - 37:1, 108:17, 110:16 flash [1] - 17:10 **flat** [1] - 111:18 flat-out [1] - 111:18 flaw [1] - 103:18 floods [1] - 81:25 floor [1] - 106:3 flow [7] - 53:25, 54:3, 55:6, 55:8, 55:13, 57:16, 112:2 flows [1] - 62:8 flushed [2] - 100:4, 100.5 foam [5] - 45:21, 46:2, 46:3, 46:5, 101:18 foams [1] - 6:11 focus [2] - 73:4, 93:8 focused [1] - 5:18 focusing [1] - 70:8 folks [4] - 9:5, 59:23, 64:12, 108:16 follow [6] - 28:4, 37:3, 71:25, 84:11, 87:2, 110:12 followed [1] - 33:21

following [1] - 47:18 followup [2] - 31:23, 75:19 FOR [1] - 2:5 force [1] - 4:22 Force [76] - 1:3, 4:24, 6:10, 13:10, 14:24, 17:4, 17:24, 23:24, 24:21, 28:5, 29:12, 30:1, 30:3, 31:1, 31:9, 31:24, 36:2, 36:8, 38:9, 39:6, 41:5, 41:11, 42:8, 44:4, 44:5, 44:13, 51:13, 51:22, 53:12, 58:24, 66:15, 66:18, 67:3, 70:23, 71:6, 71:8, 72:7, 76:21, 77:15, 82:19, 84:3, 86:8, 86:13, 88:8, 88:25, 89:8, 90:4, 93:22, 95:12, 96:21, 96:23, 97:23, 101:4, 102:17, 102:18, 102:20, 104:4, 105:8, 105:11, 106:21, 106:22, 107:25, 108:6, 108:25, 110:10, 110:20, 112:7, 114:4, 114:11, 115:12, 115:15, 115:19, 115:25, 116:14, 117:23, 120:19 Force's [3] - 18:22, 104:14. 112:8 Forest [7] - 14:6, 39:18, 40:1, 40:7, 40:10, 41:24, 85:8 forever [1] - 35:12 forgive [1] - 107:11 form [1] - 75:2 formal [1] - 104:11 former [5] - 1:3, 17:10, 42:8, 45:7, 56:4 forth [11] - 4:16, 25:18, 41:6, 67:8, 72:8, 84:24, 97:15, 97:25, 110:19, 111:1, 111:2 forthcoming [3] - 6:9, 53:10, 62:24

forward [26] - 4:13,

5:13, 6:20, 11:4,

36:4, 39:5, 39:8,

44:8, 45:12, 46:5,

47:3, 47:10, 69:22,

70:10, 70:16, 76:4,

15:16, 17:11, 35:22,

76:10, 76:11, 76:12, 76:16, 97:18, 113:7, 114:24 fought [1] - 4:17 four [7] - 10:14. 10:25. 53:15. 72:24. 87:22. 98:4. 107:5 frankly [1] - 78:23 free [1] - 6:16 **frequency** [1] - 60:5 friend [4] - 26:10, 78:4, 78:5, 82:25 front [4] - 8:15, 25:16, 115:16, 120:6 **FS** [2] - 1:8, 17:1 FT002 [13] - 15:3, 15:10, 38:10, 40:2, 53:15, 53:20, 54:4, 54:11, 54:13, 55:21, 55:23, 56:6, 79:13 full [6] - 9:18, 16:12, 16:24, 17:1, 66:19, 120:6 fully [1] - 89:12 function [3] - 18:6, 87:20, 87:23 functioning [1] - 20:8 fund [1] - 7:22 funding [5] - 7:25, 77:3, 110:4, 110:9 future [12] - 18:1. 25:21, 27:1, 27:3, 28:1, 30:24, 40:25, 41:24, 42:6, 61:15, 62:23, 104:13 FY22 [1] - 4:11

G

gain [1] - 39:2 **GAINES** [3] - 1:11, 12:5, 32:14 Gaines [2] - 12:4, 32:12 Galen [2] - 1:7, 3:3 gallons [5] - 53:19, 54:19, 55:20, 59:17, gaps [2] - 16:25, 102:5 garage [2] - 80:17, 80:22 **GARY** [1] - 2:4 gather [1] - 101:1 gauge [1] - 53:7 gauged [1] - 53:4 gauges [1] - 53:7 gauging [2] - 52:25, 53:2 general [3] - 27:18, 32:24, 70:5

general's [1] - 41:6 generally [1] - 97:1 generates [1] - 71:6 **geologists** [1] - 67:20 geology [2] - 67:7, 112.6 Gibson [1] - 68:22 Gillespie [1] - 68:6 given [1] - 44:6 glad [2] - 84:24, 86:23 goal [5] - 16:24, 23:18, 39:5, 58:2, 78:11 government [4] - 13:9, 26:25, 39:17, 95:3 GOVERNMENT [1] -1:15 **Governor** [1] - 31:9 **GPM** [3] - 56:8, 56:19, 56:20 grant [1] - 7:14 granted [1] - 94:25 grants [1] - 7:17 Great [2] - 13:24, 40.17 great [12] - 12:7, 13:4, 13:22, 15:2, 15:8, 25:13, 27:21, 36:16, 43:19, 67:4, 86:22, 92:14 GREG [1] - 1:7 Greg [3] - 12:12, 37:15, 48:7 grew [1] - 114:3 ground [5] - 10:14, 11:15, 11:18, 40:13, 64:2 groundwater [8] -52:25, 79:16, 81:23, 81:24, 85:22, 97:3, 105:6, 112:2 group [11] - 28:19, 41:20, 45:3, 58:20, 67:12, 68:7, 97:6, 103:22, 107:9, 107:10, 107:23 growing [2] - 62:12, 63:12 GS [1] - 94:19 guess [5] - 3:23, 67:5, 67:10, 75:12, 75:14 guessing [1] - 88:6 guidelines [1] -

105:20

guiding [1] - 11:18

guys [11] - 5:5, 15:15,

22:12, 33:2, 37:22,

51:25, 72:7, 75:23,

101:24, 117:25

Н

half [12] - 4:22, 4:23, 34:4, 34:15, 50:13, 56:2, 56:21, 60:15, 97:12, 104:7, 116:9, 118.4 **Hall** [2] - 42:7, 43:4 hand [9] - 9:1, 9:7, 65:12, 65:17, 79:20, 85:12, 106:8, 106:10, 117:18 handrails [1] - 64:24 hands [6] - 8:22, 22:7, 45:5, 58:9, 72:13, 105:1 happy [2] - 40:11 hard [3] - 8:8, 11:13, 100.9 hate [1] - 120:15 hats [1] - 44:17 head [1] - 83:8 head's [1] - 72:12 Health [8] - 13:14, 13:20, 43:1, 45:16, 92:13, 97:7, 98:2, 98.8 health [27] - 41:15, 89:7, 89:24, 90:15, 90:16. 91:21. 97:8. 97:11. 98:11. 99:16. 99:17. 100:15. 100:21. 108:2. 108:10, 108:24, 113:24, 114:23, 114:25, 115:1, 115:5, 115:23, 116:10, 116:13, 116:16, 117:2, 117:24 hear [13] - 8:4, 12:15, 12:21, 12:24, 25:9, 38:2, 63:7, 65:15, 66:12, 75:23, 92:10, 106:22, 109:12 heard [4] - 20:4, 26:1, 50:7, 50:20 hearing [4] - 25:8, 38:14, 108:15, 111:10 heart [1] - 84:17 heaters [1] - 88:13 **HEATHER** [1] - 2:4 Heather [2] - 117:21, 119:21 held [1] - 1:4 help [25] - 7:21, 16:14, 16:15, 16:16, 17:25, 20:14, 21:15, 24:17, 27:2, 45:4, 57:20,

73:21, 74:25, 78:5, 90:20, 94:8, 94:9, 94:10, 97:15, 99:5, 101:4, 101:24, 108:14, 112:16, 117:9 helped [1] - 97:5 helpful [3] - 5:20. 73:3, 86:24 helping [4] - 83:1, 83:2, 83:10, 97:24 helps [2] - 36:3, 96:3 Henry [7] - 3:10, 12:8, 32:6, 63:20, 85:12, 88:2, 103:25 HENRY [30] - 1:11, 3:13, 32:8, 36:7, 48:18, 49:22, 50:1, 51:8, 54:6, 57:9, 60:25, 61:8, 61:23, 63:21, 63:25, 64:7, 64:17, 85:14, 86:4, 88:3, 89:25, 90:12, 90:17, 93:18, 94:9, 94:23, 95:10, 104:2, 104:24, 120:13 **hi** [1] - 75:6 high [10] - 16:18, 54:2, 54:3, 55:11, 55:12, 88:15, 106:23, 107:13, 111:14, 112:4 high-compression [1] - 54:3 high-pressure [3] -54:2, 55:11, 55:12 higher [3] - 17:25, 93:21, 108:4 highest [1] - 116:8 highlighted [2] -71:14, 73:11 highlighting [1] -72:11 highly [1] - 90:1 history [3] - 17:9, 99:1, 112:2 **hit** [1] - 9:13 hold [2] - 10:11, 75:23 holding [1] - 45:13 holes [2] - 99:24, 99:25 holistic [1] - 84:9 homework [1] - 103:3 honestly [3] - 11:6, 44:19, 79:12 **honing** [1] - 35:9 hook [1] - 7:25 hookups [1] - 7:14 hope [7] - 39:9, 40:24, 41:7, 73:5, 102:4,

104:3, 110:20 hopefully [5] - 15:24, 16:20, 23:17, 36:3, 45:3 hoping [6] - 6:7, 27:23. 42:5. 46:1. 104:8, 110:15 hot [2] - 88:13, 89:20 **hour** [4] - 60:15, 60:16, 60:17, 121:3 hours [6] - 60:4, 60:6, 60:14, 60:19, 72:21, 73:23 House [2] - 5:8, 5:11 housing [1] - 88:20 huge [1] - 91:7 **human** [1] - 41:15 Human [4] - 13:20, 43:2, 92:13, 97:7 **humans** [1] - 29:8 hundred [2] - 54:10, 54:14 hundreds [2] - 29:24, 30:14 Hunter [1] - 68:5 Huron [1] - 77:18 hybrid [1] - 8:16 hydrant [2] - 89:20, 102:23 hydrants [4] - 88:13, 99:22, 100:2, 116:8 hydrologists [1] -68:3

hypothetical [1] -

119:24

idea [1] - 33:22 ideas [3] - 10:20, 24:4, 61:21 identified [2] - 29:10, 45:22 identify [1] - 23:24 immediate [2] - 40:4, imminent [1] - 29:7 impacted [1] - 105:12 impacts [1] - 57:15 implemented [1] -79:7 imploring [1] - 107:18 importance [2] -24:18, 46:3 **important** [4] - 30:23, 79:2, 102:11, 115:17 improve [5] - 53:25. 55:4, 55:13, 57:17 improvement [2] -60:21, 62:9

improvements [1] -32:20 in-person [1] - 1:4 inaudible [1] - 113:21 incapsulated [1] -94.1 include [7] - 48:14, 72:2, 72:4, 73:6, 82:2, 90:23, 92:3 included [5] - 5:23, 52:21, 53:25, 55:3, 86:16 includes [2] - 53:14, 82:18 including [8] - 4:2, 4:21, 5:12, 28:15, 30:7, 59:22, 84:23, 111:17 incorporated [1] -71:13 incorrect [1] - 71:4 increase [4] - 54:3, 55:6, 60:10, 62:8 increased [1] - 60:5 Indian [1] - 118:21 indicated [1] - 91:2 indicates [1] - 47:15 individual [1] - 7:12 individuals [1] - 23:8 inducted [1] - 48:8 industry [1] - 66:23 **infiltration** [3] - 79:13, 79:14, 79:15 influence [1] - 63:22 information [18] -6:13, 11:5, 27:17, 50:10, 58:8, 58:25, 59:2, 96:15, 98:16, 101:1, 101:5, 101:9, 101:12, 101:24, 107:17, 114:15, 114:17, 117:6 informed [2] - 72:16, 72:20 infrastructure [6] -5:19, 5:24, 47:2, 75:22, 78:19, 80:19

interim [1] - 29:5 interrupting [1] -10:24 interruption [1] -117:8 interviewed [1] -111:21 interviews [1] - 52:21 introduced [2] - 6:8, 100:8 investigate [1] - 35:11 investigation [9] -16:4, 19:15, 28:21, 29:14, 40:12, 67:23, 86:15, 105:9, 110:13 investigations [1] -111:17 invoices [1] - 77:15 involved [7] - 26:2, 44:3, 45:8, 84:6, 86:10, 97:23, 103:2 involvement [1] -110:18 involves [1] - 52:11 IR [2] - 8:4, 73:19 IRA[7] - 15:3, 29:21, 35:20, 36:8, 36:10, 56:9, 56:15 IRAs [5] - 29:3, 29:12, 29:15, 29:23, 30:25 IRP [1] - 52:9 isolated [1] - 88:10 issue [10] - 6:21, 26:22. 34:1. 38:19. 89:16, 93:19, 109:24, 111:3, 112:8, 112:14 issued [1] - 111:11 issues [5] - 4:7, 6:12, 45:21, 110:10, 117:2 it'll [1] - 72:10 item [10] - 50:21, 51:10, 72:22, 82:5, 83:19, 85:3, 98:7, 98:11 items [22] - 5:2, 9:21, 9:22, 34:9, 41:25, 47:16, 47:19, 47:20, 47:23, 48:2, 48:22, 49:11, 49:22, 50:2, 50:8, 50:22, 63:7, 70:7, 72:5, 72:19, 74:1

iterative [2] - 34:7,

35:24

intensive [1] - 61:9

interaction [1] - 8:3

interesting [1] - 109:1

interactions [1] -

118:22

J **JAKE** [1] - 2:5 Jake [6] - 5:10, 7:6, 97:16, 99:6, 99:8, 107:9 James [3] - 106:16, 106:20, 113:13 **JAMES** [1] - 2:2 Janet [1] - 68:4 Jay [8] - 51:17, 51:19, 51:21, 59:13, 60:24, 64:10, 98:24, 99:2 **JAY** [1] - 2:6 Jessie [3] - 14:6, 14:9, 39:18 **JESSIE** [1] - 1:17 jetting [1] - 55:12 job [6] - 38:9, 103:4, 113:9, 114:23, 115:6 **Joe** [4] - 12:10, 88:4, 88:22, 90:3 Joe's [2] - 92:9, 93:20 John [1] - 68:5 join [2] - 3:6, 67:12 joined [5] - 9:6, 9:7, 105:2, 106:7, 118:16 joining [2] - 8:24, 12:8 joint [2] - 13:18, 51:24 **joking** [1] - 5:6 **July** [4] - 52:2, 52:18, 53:17, 57:3 jump [1] - 84:13 Κ

itself [1] - 20:3

keep [14] - 22:14, 22:20, 23:15, 29:14, 29:18, 35:21, 37:22, 37:24, 57:1, 72:20, 73:3, 74:25, 79:8, 108:14 keeping [1] - 72:15 **KELLER** [2] - 2:4, 3:23 **Keller** [2] - 3:20, 3:22 Kelly [1] - 66:18 Ken [1] - 15:11 kept [1] - 10:1 key [2] - 72:11, 72:16 kick [2] - 112:10, 112:11 **Kidd** [4] - 38:15, 39:7,

39:11, 90:24

kidd's [1] - 117:4

KILDEE [1] - 2:5

99:9

Kildee [2] - 7:7, 97:17

Kildee's [3] - 5:9, 7:3,

inherent [1] - 11:20

inputs [1] - 86:14

inside [1] - 80:7

inspections [3] -

117:11

input [3] - 50:9, 86:20,

inspection [1] - 34:15

52:12, 52:13, 52:21

installed [1] - 77:13

integrity [1] - 63:13

intend [1] - 72:9

instead [2] - 73:8,

87:11

kind [14] - 7:17, 25:17, 44:16, 50:5, 58:21, 66:19, 68:23, 83:8, 95:6, 97:13, 101:10, 102:5, 118:13 knock [1] - 81:3 knowing [4] - 112:1, 112:2, 112:3, 116:1 knowledge [5] - 85:19, 96:6, 96:22, 100:20, 103:7 known [1] - 116:5 knows [2] - 17:9, 17:11

L

labor [1] - 61:9 labor-intensive [1] -61:9 Lake [9] - 15:11, 16:16, 21:10, 24:13, 41:3, 45:23, 53:8, 77:18, 97:1 lake [1] - 119:4 lakefront [1] - 19:25 Lakes [2] - 13:25, 40:18 land [6] - 42:4, 52:12. 52:13, 52:20, 81:6, 81:7 landfill [4] - 61:25, 63:14, 63:25, 64:5 lands [1] - 40:10 language [3] - 67:18, 78:15 larger [1] - 55:23 last [38] - 4:4, 4:8, 14:16, 22:9, 24:25, 25:1, 25:25, 26:14, 31:17, 32:21, 34:4, 38:7, 41:22, 44:12, 47:18, 48:3, 48:22, 52:23, 53:3, 53:16, 55:15, 57:2, 60:7, 61:25, 62:1, 62:22, 67:1, 67:16, 69:10, 76:2, 81:14, 84:19, 87:6, 87:10, 92:7, 105:2, 111:10 lastly [1] - 31:8 **LATA** [1] - 51:24 LATA-CTI [1] - 51:24 lateness [1] - 69:11 law [2] - 5:19, 5:24 lead [1] - 5:22 leaders [1] - 5:12 leadership [1] - 76:9 leading [1] - 103:22 leadoff [1] - 106:14

learning [1] - 43:24 least [3] - 93:12, 109:24, 112:25 leave [3] - 6:24, 20:18, 37:11 left [5] - 8:9, 63:10, 94:1, 118:15, 118:16 legal [1] - 41:5 legislation [3] - 5:4, 6:8, 7:19 legislative [2] - 5:15, 7:8 Lejeune [1] - 97:13 Leriche 131 - 12:9. 32:17, 81:12 **LERICHE** [57] - 1:13, 23:20, 23:23, 24:15, 25:1, 25:20, 25:24, 26:15, 26:21, 26:24, 27:7, 27:12, 27:15, 27:21, 28:1, 32:18, 33:14, 34:22, 34:25, 35:3, 36:11, 37:10, 49:10, 49:20, 50:4, 50:23, 55:17, 55:19, 56:21, 57:19, 58:10, 58:15, 59:3, 71:25, 72:23, 73:17, 74:6, 81:13, 82:10, 82:13, 82:17, 82:23, 83:7, 83:19, 83:23, 84:12, 84:16, 85:9, 86:18, 86:22, 87:5, 87:14, 87:25, 96:19, 99:4, 102:15, 103:9 less [2] - 56:24, 70:8 letter [2] - 31:9, 97:10 letters [2] - 24:21, 118:24 letting [2] - 72:13, 80:1 level [6] - 18:1, 72:10, 93:21, 99:16, 100:9, 116:10 levels [5] - 88:15, 100:3, 106:23, 111:14, 112:4 lie [1] - 111:18 life [4] - 57:5, 61:17, 80:13, 119:24 likelihood [1] - 16:18 likely [2] - 88:20, 116:2

line [7] - 21:11, 47:1,

80:17, 112:18

lined [1] - 76:3

liner [1] - 80:7

lines [9] - 26:19,

58:14, 75:22, 76:6,

27:16, 54:2, 55:11,

57:10, 57:11, 57:17, 88:12, 89:14 Lingo [2] - 12:10, 48:8 lining [1] - 76:25 list [10] - 10:14, 32:11, 33:4, 33:5, 33:15, 33:16, 34:2, 36:10, 37:14, 87:16 listed [2] - 20:12, 36:11 listen [1] - 10:25 live [6] - 16:16, 16:21, 33:2, 91:25, 118:7, 119:17 lived [2] - 91:9, 118:2 lives [2] - 112:18, 118:20 living [2] - 89:4, 94:12 LLC [1] - 121:7 local [1] - 20:13 located [1] - 33:5 location [1] - 94:21 locations [3] - 20:24, 27:14, 53:4 **logistics** [1] - 76:3 long-term [2] - 52:8, 52:11 longevity [1] - 60:11 look [15] - 45:12, 47:3, 47:5, 48:25, 76:14, 79:10, 79:12, 82:7, 82:21, 84:8, 86:13, 95:11, 101:8, 113:2, 116:6 looked [8] - 44:8, 61:9, 61:11, 73:24, 89:9, 89:23, 93:24, 103:7 looking [17] - 15:14, 25:18, 27:18, 28:12, 47:6, 61:19, 70:5, 73:9, 76:5, 78:2, 80:4, 85:5, 90:4, 99:19, 101:3, 119:6, 119:12 looks [4] - 8:6, 14:3, 83:18, 105:1 lost [1] - 50:12 low [1] - 109:14 lower [2] - 65:18, 106:10 lowering [1] - 9:8

М

mail [2] - 43:13, 43:17 mailer [1] - 16:18 mails [1] - 113:20 maintain [3] - 10:19, 11:2, 18:6 maintenance [6] -17:15, 52:7, 53:14, 62:5, 62:22, 66:17 major[1] - 60:9 majority [1] - 41:14 manage [1] - 34:14 management [5] -38:25, 39:10, 52:11, 68:13, 76:8 manager [3] - 51:21, 67:16, 67:24 managers [2] - 44:1, 44:5 map [8] - 27:16, 27:18, 33:5, 33:6, 33:23, 34:1, 36:8 mapping [1] - 40:12 mappings [1] - 101:15 March [5] - 31:17, 42:10, 43:5, 121:8 mark [9] - 3:10, 32:6, 48:16, 63:20, 88:2, 93:14, 103:25, 120:11 Mark [9] - 12:8, 18:16, 34:5, 60:24, 85:12, 85:13, 86:5, 87:21, 90:22 MARK [1] - 1:11 Marrs [1] - 68:21 Marsh [3] - 40:3, 40:4. 53:8 marsh [1] - 40:6 matches [1] - 33:15 material [1] - 60:11 matrix [1] - 37:1 Matt [1] - 68:21 max [1] - 55:22 Maxwell [2] - 12:11, 88:4 Maxwell's [1] - 88:22 MDHHS [1] - 114:17 mean [6] - 23:14, 76:20, 84:16, 95:24, 107:20, 108:14 means [1] - 46:2 meant [1] - 78:24 meat [1] - 70:12 mechanical [3] - 55:3, 55:7, 62:6 medical [1] - 97:14 Medina [1] - 40:22 meet [7] - 49:15, 49:18, 50:7, 74:23, 75:15, 84:3, 93:1 meeting [59] - 3:5, 4:4, 8:16, 8:19, 8:20, 10:2, 14:16, 24:8, 26:11, 33:17, 33:22,

38:7, 38:12, 41:23,

42:7, 43:4, 43:5, 44:2, 44:12, 46:15, 47:18, 47:22, 48:3, 48:14, 48:23, 49:12, 49:17, 49:18, 49:24, 50:6, 50:21, 51:13, 65:6, 69:10, 69:11, 69:13, 70:15, 70:18, 70:24, 72:3, 72:21, 75:14, 75:17, 83:13, 83:16, 87:6, 90:23, 104:3, 104:10, 104:12, 104:22, 106:2, 110:2, 111:3, 120:8, 121:2 meetings [11] - 9:19, 9:22, 11:9, 47:23, 69:23, 70:6, 75:2, 86:25, 104:13, 105:22, 110:22 **MEMBER** [135] - 12:5, 12:17, 12:24, 13:3, 13:15, 13:21, 18:21, 19:4, 19:10, 19:14, 19:20, 20:19, 21:1, 21:6, 21:20, 21:25, 22:5, 22:21, 22:24, 23:19, 23:20, 23:23, 24:15, 25:1, 25:20, 25:24, 26:15, 26:21, 26:24, 27:7, 27:12, 27:15, 27:21, 28:1, 28:14, 30:7, 30:10, 30:16, 30:22, 31:6, 31:17, 31:21, 32:14, 32:18, 33:14, 34:22, 34:25, 35:3, 36:11, 37:10, 37:19, 37:22, 38:2, 38:5, 40:19, 43:3, 43:17, 45:18, 46:11, 46:14, 46:19, 46:22, 47:11, 48:21, 49:1, 49:4, 49:10, 49:20, 50:4, 50:23, 51:9, 55:17, 55:19, 56:21, 57:19, 58:10, 58:15, 58:24, 59:3, 59:6, 63:15, 65:24, 66:13, 67:4, 68:17, 69:7, 70:22, 71:5, 71:18, 71:25, 72:23, 73:17, 74:6, 74:20, 79:22, 80:4, 80:11, 80:22, 80:25, 81:3, 81:8, 81:13, 82:10, 82:13, 82:17, 82:23, 83:7, 83:19, 83:23, 84:12, 84:16, 85:9, 86:7, 86:18, 86:22,

87:5, 87:14, 87:25,

90:21, 91:13, 91:18,

92:12, 92:18, 95:21, 96:4, 96:18, 96:19, 98:14, 98:23, 99:4, 102:15, 103:9, 105:5, 105:16, 108:23 member [8] - 13:9, 18:15. 19:17. 65:7. 71:21, 71:22, 102:14, 103:25 members [51] - 4:19, 7:12, 8:21, 9:6, 9:20, 10:4, 10:6, 10:16, 12:2, 13:8, 14:21, 18:19, 21:8, 21:12, 24:17, 25:15, 26:3, 28:8, 30:11, 32:23, 36:14, 39:17, 43:14, 46:8, 48:8, 50:18, 50:19, 63:3, 63:17, 64:10, 65:8, 65:16, 65:21, 68:19, 71:24, 73:20, 74:10, 74:16, 88:2, 90:4, 91:9, 94:11, 94:18, 94:19, 105:2, 105:23, 105:25, 106:3, 106:4, 110:19 MEMBERS [1] - 2:1 members' [4] - 10:3, 19:8, 32:5, 105:3 Memorial [1] - 15:12 mention [3] - 5:3, 41:19, 86:8 mentioned [14] -24:19, 26:10, 26:12, 41:1, 42:2, 43:4, 62:20, 63:6, 72:2, 81:16, 92:19, 96:13, 98:15, 108:21 Mertz [1] - 12:12 messages [1] - 108:1 met [7] - 41:20, 41:21, 47:18, 68:4, 68:5, 84:5 metals [2] - 57:13, 64:6 meters [1] - 55:8 method [1] - 120:3 MFR [1] - 30:5 mic [1] - 99:3 Michael [3] - 14:2, 42:15, 42:17 Michigan [9] - 1:5, 4:6, 13:20, 13:24, 40:17, 43:1, 45:11, 91:24, 92:13 microphone [5] - 9:3, 25:18, 99:2, 106:7, 117:15

mics [2] - 25:16, 64:23 mid [1] - 45:20 Mid [1] - 4:6 mid-February [1] -45:20 Mid-Michigan [1] - 4:6 might [3] - 29:20, 48:3, 96:14 Mike [1] - 14:3 military [7] - 94:17, 94:18, 94:24, 95:13, 95:25, 111:9, 118:16 million [8] - 53:18, 53:19. 54:19. 55:20. 55:25, 56:1, 59:17, 62:2 mind [4] - 18:17, 57:1, 82:20, 93:17 minds [1] - 29:18 minimize [1] - 9:4 minute [6] - 10:6, 64:16, 64:21, 88:24, 109:9, 117:20 Minutes [1] - 121:4 minutes [17] - 5:6, 17:8, 49:17, 49:18, 49:24, 69:11, 69:13, 69:17, 69:23, 70:16, 70:24, 71:1, 71:7, 73:25, 74:21, 111:1, 113:17 mischaracterization [1] - 39:1 misguided [2] - 39:7, 39:11 miss [1] - 74:24 missed [1] - 49:4 missing [1] - 50:5 Mission [5] - 59:16, 59:17, 59:25, 61:2, 77:21 MLive [1] - 111:21 MMRP [1] - 67:2 model [6] - 34:13, 53:1, 94:16, 104:5, 104:7, 104:10 modeled [1] - 100:12 modeling [4] - 89:11, 101:13, 102:23, 104:20 models [1] - 34:6 moment [3] - 3:24, 79:21, 96:13 momentum [1] - 18:7 money [4] - 7:20, 76:3, 90.14 monitoring [6] - 52:8, 52:17, 53:6, 58:5,

91:21. 97:15

monopolizing [1] -

22:24 month [10] - 22:9, 37:5, 41:13, 41:18, 41:22, 57:7, 73:13, 75:14, 75:15, 102:24 monthly [7] - 53:22, 54:20, 58:25, 59:18, 73:16, 74:10 months [12] - 5:16, 52:3, 53:16, 53:17, 55:1, 55:15, 57:2, 57:23, 62:2, 69:25, 75:18, 118:25 most [6] - 5:17, 7:8, 9:19, 15:1, 118:21, 119:10 mostly [1] - 81:16 move [29] - 8:14, 9:17, 9:21, 9:23, 11:3, 11:22, 12:1, 14:18, 14:23, 32:3, 39:16, 46:9, 47:3, 47:13, 61:13, 64:21, 72:2, 76:16, 84:17, 94:20, 95:25, 97:18, 98:8, 98:20, 102:12, 103:23, 105:18, 106:13, 114:24 moved [1] - 63:11 movement [3] - 4:12, 5:14, 72:5 moves [2] - 4:13, 72:8 moving [13] - 15:16, 21:18, 31:22, 35:21, 36:4, 37:23, 37:24, 39:5, 46:5, 47:10, 76:11, 78:20, 79:5 MPART [5] - 42:7, 69:14, 71:3, 71:12, 71:15 MR [166] - 3:2, 3:19, 3:23, 6:25, 7:5, 8:13, 12:6, 12:19, 13:1, 13:4, 13:17, 13:22, 14:8, 14:9, 14:13, 18:8, 18:11, 19:7, 19:13, 21:17, 23:22, 25:5, 25:14, 28:3, 31:19, 31:22, 32:2, 32:10, 32:16, 33:9, 35:6, 36:5, 37:8, 37:12, 37:16, 37:17, 37:20, 37:24, 38:4, 39:14, 39:24, 39:25, 40:15, 42:12, 42:20, 42:23, 42:25, 43:12, 43:19. 43:22. 44:24. 45:12. 45:14. 46:6. 46:13, 47:12, 48:1, 48:16, 48:19, 49:8,

55:25, 56:14, 56:18, 57:1, 57:6, 57:12, 58:12, 59:8, 59:12, 59:14, 60:23, 61:4, 61:11, 61:24, 62:25, 63:10, 63:16, 63:24, 64:8, 64:9, 64:12, 64:13, 64:20, 65:2, 65:5, 66:8, 66:11, 66:14, 67:14, 70:1, 70:4, 71:16, 71:20, 72:9, 73:15, 74:7, 74:9, 74:18, 75:4, 75:6, 76:19, 77:1, 77:4, 77:7, 77:10, 78:7. 78:13. 78:22. 79:9. 79:19. 79:23. 79:25, 80:2, 81:11, 84:10, 84:13, 85:4, 85:11, 86:5, 86:17, 88:1, 91:16, 92:10, 92:14, 93:5, 94:4, 95:16, 96:12, 98:20, 99:3, 99:6, 99:8, 102:6, 102:8, 102:9, 103:14, 103:21, 104:25, 105:17, 106:20, 108:19, 109:2, 109:11, 109:13, 109:15, 109:16, 109:19, 109:20, 109:22, 112:21, 113:13, 113:16, 113:18, 114:13, 114:19, 115:7, 117:10, 119:18, 119:22, 120:23 MRP [1] - 17:20 MS [6] - 14:12, 24:25, 39:21, 42:19, 63:5, 117:21 MULLET [1] - 2:6 Mullett [2] - 51:18, 51:21 **MULLETT** [16] - 51:20, 54:8. 55:25. 56:14. 56:18, 57:1, 57:6, 57:12, 59:14, 61:4, 61:11, 61:24, 63:10, 63:24, 64:8, 64:12 multiple [9] - 11:13, 11:14, 23:7, 26:24, 34:5, 58:11, 83:1, 103:13, 107:3

multiple-part [1] -

municipal [1] - 97:2

23:7

50:17, 51:5, 51:11,

51:20, 54:8, 55:18,

Munson [3] - 14:2, 42:15, 42:18 mute [10] - 9:3, 9:9, 9:12, 9:13, 65:15, 65:19, 106:11 muted [4] - 9:14, 12:23, 65:14, 106:17

N

name [5] - 3:3, 33:5, 107:12, 117:20, 117:21 National [1] - 4:9 national [1] - 108:5 natural [1] - 19:11 NCP [1] - 17:11 NDAA [1] - 4:9 near [2] - 18:1, 41:24 nearly [2] - 34:12, 78:24 necessarily [1] - 72:16 Need [2] - 28:18, 41:20 need [31] - 16:2, 16:13, 16:22, 20:15, 20:16, 22:14, 22:18, 22:20, 23:9, 24:3, 24:6, 24:7, 24:11, 24:12, 24:16, 24:22, 27:8, 27:11, 27:17, 33:15, 54:13, 72:15, 84:8, 99:4, 103:3, 104:22, 108:15, 114:23, 115:5 needed [6] - 20:7, 23:24, 29:16, 31:24, 45:7, 116:5 needs [9] - 29:11, 33:14, 58:15, 68:11, 68:12, 85:1, 93:20, 93:22, 110:5 network [1] - 53:7 never [2] - 45:5, 111:25 new [9] - 6:2, 7:17, 7:21, 49:13, 49:22, 60:4, 66:1, 94:19, 94:20 New [1] - 118:4 news [2] - 106:22, 111:21 next [16] - 5:15, 6:5, 14:18, 16:1, 29:3, 29:22, 35:9, 35:20, 35:21, 41:9, 54:17, 61:20, 77:12, 84:17, 104:12

night [1] - 121:1

nine [3] - 32:3, 55:1,

118:2 noise [1] - 9:4 non [3] - 43:7, 43:10, 84:6 non-compliance [1] -84.6 non-detects [2] - 43:7, 43:10 **norm** [1] - 108:5 **normal** [1] - 116:24 north [1] - 17:8 Notary [1] - 1:21 note [5] - 25:6, 45:19, 64:22, 79:19, 115:17 **nothing** [3] - 18:7, 39:21, 42:23 **notice** [1] - 9:14 November [6] - 26:11, 38:8, 48:6, 53:3, 54:11 **NOW** [2] - 28:18, 110:11 number [9] - 9:10, 10:18, 11:5, 11:7, 11:16, 55:24, 98:3, 106:17, 109:23 numbers [6] - 43:6, 57:20, 85:19, 100:6, 107:6, 107:13

0

object [1] - 11:7 objectively [1] - 11:8 objectives [1] - 52:5 obviously [11] - 3:25, 8:2, 8:8, 8:12, 53:9, 54:23, 57:13, 57:15, 60:9, 99:11, 102:1 occasionally [1] -81:23 occur[1] - 11:9 occurred [1] - 54:11 occurring [1] - 8:17 October/November [1] - 52:23 OF [2] - 2:1, 2:4 office [10] - 3:21, 6:16, 7:4, 31:16, 39:13, 41:4, 41:6, 42:3, 99:9, 117:4 **OFFICE** [1] - 2:4 officials [1] - 110:3 **OI**[1] - 86:9 old [1] - 8:23 old-fashioned [1] -8:23 older [1] - 90:8 **omnivorous** [1] - 4:13 on-site [1] - 17:6

once [8] - 55:11, 62:4, 70:20, 72:25, 73:1, 75:14, 83:2, 118:10 One [1] - 63:21 one [45] - 5:2, 6:18, 7:14, 10:18, 10:24, 11:16, 16:9, 17:4, 21:10, 23:9, 25:7, 26:2, 26:5, 29:4, 32:18, 33:3, 33:19, 34:1, 34:3, 34:16, 45:19, 47:15, 50:24, 51:3, 61:2, 66:10, 68:22, 74:24, 82:19, 84:14, 87:19, 87:20, 87:23, 90:25, 93:6, 96:13, 97:19, 100:12, 102:15, 105:22, 108:9, 109:14, 109:17 ones [4] - 49:12, 49:13, 93:11, 99:23 ongoing [7] - 5:16, 6:3, 37:5, 37:13, 47:20, 57:23, 110:18 online [6] - 8:17, 8:18, 8:19, 14:12, 28:8, 77:21 open [5] - 10:19, 10:25, 29:15, 29:18, 47:19

opening [2] - 3:11,

openly [1] - 11:6

operate [2] - 17:13,

operating [6] - 14:15,

48:4, 48:5, 53:14,

operation [4] - 17:15,

52:7, 53:14, 53:17

operations [3] - 52:6,

10:5, 14:20, 18:23,

50:20, 65:8, 71:23.

91:19, 92:5, 95:6,

Optimization [1] -

optimization [5] -

option [1] - 20:20

ORDER [1] - 3:1

order [3] - 22:25,

62:12, 76:22

Orange [2] - 100:9,

59:21, 62:3

100:12

9:24, 53:24, 55:2,

operational [1] - 36:12

55:22, 56:22

62:21. 94:2

111:20

51:17

opportunity [10] -

106.2

58:19

Ρ

ownership [2] - 76:22,

organic [1] - 108:11

organization [1] -

organizations [2] -

Oscoda [15] - 1:4, 1:7,

14:1, 14:2, 38:11,

38:16, 42:9, 42:15,

92:7, 97:22, 107:1,

Oscoda-Wurtsmith

[2] - 14:2, 42:15

outfall [1] - 85:18

outfalls [1] - 86:11

outside [1] - 34:21

54:4, 55:14, 62:15,

overall [5] - 47:8,

overseeing [2] -

17:15, 40:20

oversees [1] - 45:2

overstep [1] - 17:12

overview [2] - 17:18,

own [2] - 39:2, 76:6

owners [1] - 52:22

84:4

112:25

77:25

43:20, 43:23, 91:25,

14:22, 93:9

92:11

107:19

P.M [1] - 1:2 **p.m** [4] - 3:1, 65:3, 65:4, 121:3 pace [3] - 40:24, 79:6, 79:8 package [1] - 70:13 page [2] - 27:2, 27:25 paid [2] - 77:16, 77:20 Palmer [1] - 75:16 paraphrase [1] - 85:20 Park [1] - 15:12 part [18] - 3:16, 20:9, 23:7, 28:18, 28:20, 28:24, 29:1, 40:21, 56:9, 78:25, 80:17, 83:22, 85:25, 87:8, 93:2. 105:24. 115:15. 120:17 participate [1] - 91:25 participating [1] -120:14 participation [1] -120:25 particular [2] - 33:3, 96:7 particularly [1] - 44:8 partners [3] - 17:16, 83:6, 85:7

partnership [2] - 47:4, 76.6 parts [1] - 105:22 party [1] - 78:12 pass [1] - 98:7 passed [2] - 4:10, 7:18 past [5] - 21:7, 38:17, 40:23, 71:12, 98:18 pasture [1] - 79:14 path [2] - 76:4, 76:10 paths [1] - 113:7 pathway [1] - 97:21 patience [1] - 14:17 patient [2] - 9:16, 98:21 pause [1] - 25:5 pay [4] - 76:25, 77:1, 78:1, 78:12 payments [1] - 77:22 Pentagon [2] - 110:3, 110:7 people [29] - 11:14, 44:2, 68:6, 68:20, 87:9, 87:15, 89:21, 90:8, 90:9, 91:24, 94:24, 95:4, 95:5, 95:12, 95:25, 99:13, 104:22, 106:25, 110:23, 112:4, 112:9, 112:17, 114:2, 118:22, 118:23, 119:15, 119:17, 120:18, 120:19 people's [2] - 26:18, 101:25 per [2] - 14:15, 47:17 percent [3] - 13:8, 55:6, 62:8 perfect [2] - 14:13, 87:14 perhaps [1] - 104:11 period [6] - 102:12, 102:13, 103:24, 105:18, 105:21, 110.24 perk [1] - 56:4 perking [1] - 64:2 permanent [4] - 20:22, 119:1, 119:10, 119:14 permitting [1] - 74:24 person [25] - 1:4, 3:6, 3:14, 8:17, 8:22, 10:8, 10:24, 11:17, 12:9, 12:13, 13:5, 13:11, 13:12, 13:25, 14:1, 25:7, 65:11,

67:12, 106:1, 106:5,

110:7, 117:13, 120:2

personal [1] - 27:17 personally [1] - 110:3 personnel [2] - 17:6, 17.8 perspective [4] - 5:15, 5:21, 94:17, 116:13 Peters [2] - 3:21, 5:12 PETERS [1] - 2:4 Peters's [1] - 39:13 Peterson [1] - 82:19 **PFAS** [41] - 4:7, 4:22, 4:24, 5:22, 6:11, 6:20. 38:14. 38:22. 63:23. 64:3. 64:5. 82:3, 85:18, 85:23, 86:14, 88:15, 88:16, 88:21, 89:3, 89:15, 89:16, 90:2, 91:4, 91:6, 92:4, 94:12, 97:19, 100:3, 100:8, 100:16, 100:22, 101:7, 101:20, 101:22, 102:2, 102:4, 106:24, 107:2, 107:5, 108:12, 112:2 PFAS-related [1] - 4:7 **ph** [1] - 107:11 **PH** [2] - 7:19, 15:15 phase [3] - 26:4, 34:15, 92:25 phenomenal [1] -107:6 phone [13] - 3:7, 12:6, 16:21, 26:10, 63:3, 63:19, 65:17, 78:4, 82:25, 106:9, 117:17, 120:2 phone-a-friend [1] -26:10 pick [3] - 23:14, 56:17, 70:11 picking [1] - 81:22 piece [5] - 4:19, 5:1, 6:6, 6:8, 9:15 pipe [1] - 5:22 pipelines [2] - 46:18, 46:19 pipes [1] - 85:17 pivoted [1] - 24:5 **PLACE** [12] - 1:17, 40:19, 48:21, 49:1, 49:4, 58:24, 59:6, 71:5, 74:20, 86:7, 105:5, 105:16 Place [6] - 13:24, 40:16, 59:6, 74:18, 86:6, 105:4 place [8] - 15:6, 52:14, 52:18, 53:3, 60:7,

71:11, 78:17, 118:15 placed [2] - 71:14, 91.7 placement [3] - 19:21, 20:7. 20:15 places [2] - 76:15, 94.3 placing [1] - 19:24 plan [22] - 10:12, 10:13, 15:17, 34:11, 41:17, 47:21, 47:23, 62:18, 70:10, 70:16, 75:10, 75:13, 75:18, 75:21, 76:20, 77:24, 82:2, 83:24, 84:1, 84:4, 84:21, 85:3 Plan [1] - 5:18 plan/starting [1] -36:19 plane [2] - 101:16, 101:17 Plank [1] - 104:19 planned [1] - 43:5 planning [1] - 92:24 plant [1] - 54:22 plants [1] - 54:25 **play** [2] - 57:14, 116:11 pleased [2] - 39:11, 40:1 pleasure [1] - 17:5 plume [5] - 21:9, 101:16, 101:19, 101:20, 105:10 plumes [11] - 16:12, 16:23, 21:4, 24:12, 53:1, 76:17, 91:7, 101:13, 103:8, 103:10, 103:17 plus [1] - 68:9 point [12] - 16:19, 20:13, 24:10, 29:22, 40:9, 67:10, 71:9, 71:11, 82:1, 94:22, 102:15, 105:14 points [2] - 11:1, 35:16 **poll** [1] - 108:2 polymer [1] - 80:7 ponds [2] - 62:12, 62:15 popular [1] - 44:9 population [2] -116:24, 119:8 portion [4] - 32:4, 47:14, 106:2, 120:8 portions [1] - 10:3 pose [2] - 9:2, 38:6 position [6] - 17:23, 18:3, 21:22, 44:6,

45:2, 68:15 positive [1] - 60:8 positives [1] - 60:9 possible [10] - 11:17, 23:17, 27:24, 57:19, 76:18, 87:15, 92:4, 98:17, 101:2, 114:19 possibly [3] - 57:21, 75:16, 113:12 postal [1] - 4:2 posters [4] - 34:21, 34:24, 36:1, 36:16 potential [2] - 82:3, 85:1 potentially [7] - 29:15, 29:21, 81:24, 82:10, 85:24, 90:5, 90:6 practice [2] - 37:2, 47:17 pre [1] - 83:7 pre-treatment [1] -83:7 predecessor [1] -66:25 predecessors [1] -68:21 predominant [1] -52:5 predominantly [1] -52:18 prepare [2] - 11:3, 70:14 preparing [1] - 72:12 present [5] - 12:18, 13:10, 13:16, 14:14, 38:17 presentation [4] -10:10, 51:14, 58:1, 104:12 presented [5] - 28:23, 53:10, 62:23, 102:22, 116:22 presenting [1] - 90:25 press [2] - 106:10, 117:17 Press [2] - 38:12, 38:16 pressing [4] - 9:8, 65:18 pressure [4] - 54:2, 55:11, 55:12, 60:10 presume [3] - 100:13, 100:17, 102:2 presumption [1] -

100:11

pretty [6] - 7:9, 43:11,

54:14, 64:15, 71:5,

previous [4] - 9:22,

36:1, 54:24, 59:9

previously [1] - 22:9 primary [1] - 118:11 printed [1] - 38:11 priorities [2] - 21:10, 28:21 private [1] - 80:23 probable [1] - 116:2 problem [7] - 73:22, 74:16, 78:10, 101:10, 101:11, 108:17, 115:23 procedures [4] -11:24, 14:15, 48:4, 48:6 proceed [1] - 68:16 proceedings [1] - 1:3 process [32] - 4:13, 8:4, 9:16, 27:1, 28:22, 28:24, 28:25, 29:4, 29:7, 29:24, 31:1, 33:1, 34:7, 34:14, 35:24, 36:18, 36:22, 37:1, 47:21, 67:21, 69:16, 69:21, 70:5, 72:5, 72:14, 72:17, 73:4, 73:12, 80:6, 97:4, 97:20, 110:14 produced [1] - 111:25 **production** [1] - 72:6 products [1] - 23:17 professional [1] -11:20 program [9] - 6:2, 6:4, 7:25, 18:3, 44:1, 44:5, 52:8, 67:24, 84:17 programs [3] - 5:20, 5:25, 6:1 progress [20] - 3:17, 11:3, 15:3, 15:10, 15:24, 22:15, 22:19, 35:11, 36:16, 40:2, 40:11, 40:21, 42:5, 44:13, 44:14, 53:11, 58:23, 96:3, 120:21 progressing [1] -15:12 progression [1] -34:18 project [10] - 17:20, 17:21, 40:24, 41:25, 44:2, 44:8, 51:21, 66:1, 66:4, 67:16 projects [5] - 7:21, 17:20, 35:21, 67:15 promise [1] - 112:14 promoted [1] - 45:1 pronounced [1] - 80:5 propensity [1] - 11:2

property [7] - 19:22, 19:23, 19:24, 26:19, 27:16, 52:22, 80:23 proposals [1] - 5:14 **propose** [1] - 50:20 proposed [1] - 75:18 proposing [1] - 76:21 prove [1] - 100:9 provide [20] - 4:3, 6:13, 18:20, 28:11, 32:7, 32:13, 34:14, 36:8, 39:20, 39:22, 39:23, 41:7, 51:18, 70:21, 87:13, 90:16, 96:15, 101:24, 113:5, 116:16 provided [8] - 33:7, 34:16, 41:2, 41:14, 84:6, 93:19, 111:18, 114.17 provides [1] - 105:20 providing [7] - 15:18, 17:18, 41:12, 41:16, 70:13, 85:23, 114:15 provisions [1] - 4:21 public [24] - 10:6, 10:7, 11:23, 29:24, 33:25, 36:13, 57:20, 57:25, 58:15, 102:11, 102:13, 103:23, 103:24, 105:18, 105:21, 105:25, 106:2, 106:3, 109:8, 111:12, 117:12, 117:14, 119:5, 120:1 Public [1] - 1:21 **PUBLIC** [1] - 2:1 published [1] - 37:3 pulled [2] - 55:9, 101:21 pulling [1] - 56:3 pump [5] - 54:1, 59:16, 59:22, 81:18 pump-and-treat [2] -59:16, 81:18 pumped [1] - 56:24 pumps [3] - 55:9, 55:10 Puneet [10] - 13:19, 13:23, 43:1, 43:2, 43:13, 92:15, 92:16, 93:6, 96:13, 98:10 **PUNEET** [1] - 1:16 punting [1] - 112:8 purge [6] - 52:17, 53:25, 54:1, 59:21, 62:4, 62:7

proper [2] - 93:13,

107:19

push [1] - 8:2 pushing [4] - 5:1, 5:13, 6:20, 8:8 put [17] - 15:22, 26:6, 26:19, 35:8, 36:1, 58:21, 69:14, 70:12, 71:3, 76:6, 77:21, 85:15, 101:18, 101:21, 107:3, 112:18, 119:7 putting [2] - 15:5, 75:9

Q

QAPP [4] - 15:21, 15:25, 34:6, 37:5 QRS [1] - 121:7 Quality [1] - 111:16 quarterly [2] - 37:6, 90:24 Quentina [3] - 1:20, 59:9, 121:7 quentina [1] - 25:9 questionnaire [1] -92:3 questions [39] - 8:12, 10:3, 10:11, 16:20, 18:24, 19:5, 19:8, 21:19, 22:11, 23:6, 23:7, 23:11, 28:4, 28:6, 28:8, 30:19, 31:23, 60:25, 63:18, 64:9, 65:7, 65:10, 65:12, 65:22, 65:24, 68:10, 68:11, 71:21, 71:22, 78:6, 79:24, 88:1, 90:25, 91:20, 93:10, 93:16, 102:14, 103:25, 105:3 quick [7] - 4:3, 25:6, 44:17, 102:15, 102:21, 113:18, 118:9 quicker [1] - 80:6 quickly [1] - 110:21 quite [2] - 44:19, 107:22 quorum [2] - 12:3, 14:15 quote [1] - 111:24

R

RAB [92] - 1:1, 1:10, 1:15, 4:4, 6:19, 8:21, 9:6, 9:20, 9:21, 10:2, 10:4, 10:14, 10:16, 12:2, 13:8, 13:9, 14:21, 15:2, 18:19,

19:8, 25:15, 26:1, 26:14, 28:8, 28:23, 30:10, 32:5, 32:19, 32:23, 33:16, 33:22, 35:25, 38:7, 39:17, 41:21, 43:14, 44:11, 46:8, 46:9, 47:13, 47:18, 48:4, 48:8, 48:11, 48:20, 48:25, 49:3, 49:13, 50:6, 50:18, 50:19, 58:22, 63:3, 63:17, 64:10, 65:6, 65:7, 65:8, 65:16, 65:20, 66:5, 68:19, 69:10, 69:22, 70:21, 70:24, 71:10, 71:21, 71:22, 71:23, 73:10, 73:20, 74:10, 74:15, 88:2, 90:23, 92:8, 102:14, 102:23, 103:1, 103:25, 104:4, 104:12, 104:13, 105:2, 105:3, 105:21, 105:23, 106:4, 109:1 rain [1] - 81:23 raise [10] - 8:22, 9:1, 9:7, 65:12, 65:17, 93:18, 106:8, 106:10, 117:16, 117:18 raises [1] - 83:8 ran [3] - 54:4, 55:14, 62:17 **RAO** [1] - 58:5 Ratcliff [1] - 15:11 rate [1] - 108:4 rated [1] - 56:8 rates [4] - 53:25, 54:3, 55:6, 116:23 rather [2] - 25:18, 87:12 reach [1] - 6:16 reached [2] - 16:17, 70:18 reaching [3] - 16:21, 116:11, 119:16 read [3] - 10:15, 103:17, 111:24 ready [3] - 15:19, 78:9, 78:12 ready-to-serve [2] -78:9, 78:12 real [4] - 25:6, 70:12, 113:18, 119:24 realignment [1] - 9:23 Realignment [1] -51.16 realize [1] - 115:18

really [25] - 6:22, 11:15, 16:22, 20:6, 20:16, 21:2, 24:4, 24:11, 27:11, 28:15, 31:13, 60:19, 72:10, 74:12, 93:22, 96:2, 97:23. 106:24. 107:18. 107:21. 108:6, 118:6, 118:17, 118:18, 120:18 realm [1] - 70:11 reason [6] - 21:2, 38:13, 69:2, 78:25, 88:11, 100:7 reasons [1] - 108:12 recalling [1] - 43:25 receive [2] - 87:17, received [6] - 16:17, 19:17, 21:12, 24:18, 48:22, 87:17 receiving [5] - 74:22, 81:20, 86:20, 98:5, 111.8 recent [2] - 106:22, 110:2 recently [2] - 5:17, 34:3 recirculating [1] -79:16 reclaim [1] - 61:5 recognize [2] -115:14, 116:21 record [6] - 30:3, 31:5, 65:3, 65:4, 74:11, 102:25 Record [2] - 30:5, 41:3 recorded [2] - 8:20, 43.15 records [7] - 30:2, 97:24, 107:8, 108:24, 113:6, 113:24 recurring [1] - 100:21 redistricting [1] - 8:5 reducing [3] - 60:9,

62:14, 63:12

11:15

108:24

75:7

reemphasize [1] -

referencing [1] -

referred [1] - 9:25

regard [3] - 110:22,

regarding [2] - 1:3,

regards [1] - 62:20

regimen [1] - 54:14

111:6, 115:19

reform [1] - 4:2

regional [1] - 4:5 Registry [2] - 95:17, 116:19 regular [1] - 72:19 regularly [3] - 73:14, 74:15, 93:1 regulations [2] -53:23, 62:17 regulator [1] - 17:10 regulators [1] - 24:9 regulators' [1] - 23:5 reimbursement [1] -81:15 related [3] - 4:7, 6:10, 98:14 relation [1] - 48:11 relative [1] - 34:17 relatively [1] - 88:15 relay [1] - 11:8 relayed [1] - 110:11 release [2] - 70:23, 107:7 released [1] - 77:17 relining [1] - 80:6 remain [1] - 10:25 remarks [2] - 3:9, 3:11 remedial [11] - 16:3, 19:15, 28:21, 29:5, 29:14, 40:12, 52:6, 85:25, 86:15, 105:9, 110:13 remediation [3] - 4:24, 6:20, 96:21 remedy [4] - 79:4, 79:5, 79:7, 79:9 remember [1] - 107:12 removal [3] - 38:10, 38:21, 63:7 remove [2] - 40:5, 113:11 removed [2] - 38:22, 62:13 removing [1] - 20:7 rentals [2] - 119:11, 119:13 reoccurring [1] -104:14 reopen [1] - 75:7 reopened [1] - 108:10 repair [1] - 80:19 repeat [1] - 95:21 replace [4] - 54:7, 54:9, 61:10, 80:14 replaced [3] - 18:4, 55:8, 55:9 replacement [3] -5:23, 54:1, 59:22 replied [1] - 83:3 report [9] - 89:9,

102:23, 102:25, 110:7, 116:7 reported [1] - 17:1 **REPORTED** [1] - 1:20 reporter [5] - 8:18, 11:12, 110:25, 111:2, 111:21 REPORTER [4] -25:11, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10 Reporter [1] - 1:21 Reporting [1] - 121:7 reports [10] - 36:12, 36:13, 53:10, 58:5, 58:7, 59:1, 62:24, 70:7, 111:17 Representative [1] -5:8 representative [1] -5:9 representatives [2] -89:8, 109:4 representing [1] - 8:7 request [4] - 23:25, 34:9, 97:7, 97:8 requested [1] - 102:17 requesting [1] - 31:12 requests [3] - 24:21, 26:12, 107:4 required [1] - 67:22 Requirements [1] -53:22 resampling [1] - 43:6 **Rescue** [1] - 5:18 research [1] - 102:21 reserved [1] - 10:1 reset [3] - 7:17, 7:18, 7:20 residence [1] - 118:11 residential [3] - 105:7, 105:8, 105:11 residents [2] - 119:2, 119:10 resin [2] - 60:10, 60:11 resolution [1] - 4:14 resolved [1] - 93:11 resolving [1] - 21:15 **Resources** [1] - 86:10 respect [1] - 10:18 respectful [1] - 11:19 respectfully [1] -10.22 respond [5] - 25:3, 35:7, 44:23, 78:5, 119:1 responding [1] -

response [13] - 31:8,

31:10, 31:14, 34:8,

34:10, 36:6, 41:11, 94:5, 102:16, 103:2, 103:19, 111:12, responses [2] - 30:1, 87:22 responsibility [4] -77:25, 92:8, 101:1, 112:9 responsible [1] -78:12 Responsiveness [1] -30:6 responsiveness [1] -30:20 restoration [2] - 65:6, Restoration [4] - 3:4, 11:21, 93:7, 93:11 RESTORATION [1] -1:1 **restriction** [1] - 57:15 restrictions [1] - 78:16 result [1] - 100:16 retired [1] - 118:5 retirement [1] - 44:7 retrofit [2] - 59:24, 60.2 returned [1] - 107:6 reuse [1] - 61:5 revamping [1] - 70:5 review [12] - 23:4, 46:1, 47:22, 48:2, 50:22, 70:7, 70:19,70:20, 70:25, 71:8, 72:8, 74:5 reviewed [1] - 47:19 reviewers [1] - 23:13 reviewing [2] - 41:10, reviews [2] - 73:5, 111:1 revising [1] - 105:8 **REX** [1] - 1:18 Rex [9] - 8:25, 12:14, 12:20, 37:21, 48:7, 79:19, 80:3, 81:11 **RI** [25] - 15:19, 16:24, 17:1, 23:1, 34:11, 36:19, 36:20, 40:12, 40:21, 76:13, 82:2, 82:5, 82:6, 82:18, 83:20, 84:12, 84:16, 85:2, 85:6, 85:24, 93:22, 104:6, 104:16, 110:20 ridiculous [2] - 91:8, 112:1 **RIFFE** [3] - 1:9, 24:25, 42:19

89:10, 90:1, 91:22,

rinsing [1] - 46:3 risk [8] - 34:17, 36:22, 36:25, 41:11, 41:15, 62:14, 67:19, 107:5 road [2] - 69:25, 112:11 Rochelle [1] - 121:7 rocket [1] - 112:5 role [3] - 48:13, 66:3, 67:13 rolled [2] - 5:25, 6:3 roofs [1] - 81:20 room [1] - 87:7 round [5] - 24:23, 24:24, 25:3, 71:7, 84:9 rounds [1] - 43:6 **rug** [1] - 112:19 rule [3] - 11:16, 11:19, 85:18 rules [3] - 10:15, 17:12, 17:13 run [6] - 15:21, 54:25, 55:1, 56:8, 89:2, 107:23 running [3] - 56:2, 56:5, 60:13 Ryan [4] - 12:11, 12:12, 20:12, 68:9

S

Sable [4] - 1:8, 13:11, 42:21, 45:22 sample [2] - 26:20, 86:11 sampled [6] - 52:16, 53:20, 53:22, 54:19, 59:18, 88:11 samples [3] - 88:15, 116:7, 116:9 sampling [15] - 20:22, 26:4, 36:19, 41:17, 52:16, 54:12, 62:16, 63:22, 64:5, 82:2, 84:8, 91:21, 92:3, 92:4, 93:4 sand [1] - 61:13 **SARAH** [1] - 1:9 Sarah [5] - 9:11, 12:22, 24:24, 28:7, 42:17 save [2] - 87:12 saw [3] - 25:6, 62:7, 118:7 scattered [1] - 36:13 **scenario** [1] - 90:8 schedule [2] - 15:8, 74:24 schedule-permitting

scheduled [1] - 64:14 Schulz [3] - 12:13, 37:15, 48:7 SCHULZ [2] - 1:7, 37:16 science [3] - 91:14, 112:5, 116:20 scientist [3] - 68:5, 111:15, 111:24 Scott [2] - 12:10, 48:7 screen [1] - 62:6 season [1] - 15:4 seasonal [1] - 119:16 second [7] - 25:3, 26:4, 38:24, 61:8, 69:8, 105:24, 112:24 secondly [1] - 110:13 secret [1] - 110:14 **Secretary** [4] - 38:15, 90:24, 107:4, 113:20 sections [1] - 81:22 secured [1] - 4:17 security [1] - 4:2 see [58] - 5:8, 5:14, 7:2, 7:24, 10:16, 11:10, 11:22, 12:1, 12:4, 13:9, 13:13, 20:6, 24:12, 26:2, 28:4, 28:7, 29:25, 30:2, 33:18, 33:24, 34:20, 34:22, 34:23, 35:25, 36:2, 36:21, 40:3, 40:11, 40:12, 43:9, 45:20, 46:7. 48:1, 50:2, 51:15, 54:25, 55:5, 55:15, 58:18, 58:23, 59:20, 65:8, 65:21, 71:24, 79:4, 79:6, 79:20, 82:21, 83:23, 84:11, 92:15, 93:16, 102:13, 103:24, 108:21, 109:3, 117:12, 120:15 seeing [3] - 59:23, 62:9, 100:6 seek [1] - 108:14 segment [1] - 51:12 self [1] - 46:4 Senate [5] - 5:12, 38:14, 38:16, 39:3, 39:12 Senator [9] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:25, 5:12, 6:8, 6:14, 6:17, 6:22, 39:12 **SENATOR** [1] - 2:4 Senator's [1] - 4:5

send [5] - 27:24, 49:2,

[1] - 74:24

73:13, 73:15, 74:10 sending [4] - 73:10, 73:12, 74:15, 118:23 Senior [2] - 106:16, 106:18 senior [1] - 114:13 sense [1] - 47:24 sensitive [1] - 26:21 sent [13] - 16:10, 24:24, 25:2, 25:24, 26:13, 48:24, 49:13, 49:25, 71:9, 72:19, 73:19, 77:14, 107:4 separate [1] - 102:1 **September** [1] - 52:18 series [1] - 6:4 serve [2] - 78:9, 78:12 served [5] - 88:24, 89:1, 112:10, 115:13, 115:14 **Service** [8] - 14:6, 39:19, 40:1, 40:7, 40:10, 41:24, 47:1, 85:8 service [3] - 90:4, 94:11, 107:14 serviced [1] - 88:18 services [2] - 9:24, 51:17 Services [4] - 13:20. 43:2, 92:13, 97:7 session [3] - 5:16, 48:15, 111:12 sessions [1] - 74:4 set [3] - 4:16, 24:24, 70:23 setting [1] - 41:23 seven [5] - 11:7, 14:23, 26:6, 52:3, several [6] - 4:21, 16:10, 23:8, 44:1, 77:12, 77:15 sewer [10] - 75:8, 76:22, 77:15, 77:16, 77:20, 81:20, 82:4, 85:16, 85:20, 85:23 sewers [2] - 46:16, 81:13 shape [1] - 12:18 share [13] - 11:5, 27:15, 27:22, 37:4, 37:6, 38:1, 92:17, 99:1, 106:4, 107:17, 113:6, 117:19, 120:4 shared [4] - 20:1, 32:19, 34:18, 72:24 sharing [1] - 39:15 sheet [1] - 73:2 sheets [1] - 72:18

shifting [1] - 70:10 short [1] - 5:7 **Shorthand** [1] - 1:21 **shortly** [1] - 38:12 **show** [8] - 9:10, 35:1, 35:13, 57:24, 73:1, 82:17, 89:11, 111:14 showing [1] - 35:10 shown [1] - 76:8 **shrubs** [1] - 63:9 Shulkin [2] - 107:4, 113:20 shut [1] - 88:11 **shutting** [2] - 60:14, 60:16 **sibling** [1] - 118:9 **side** [3] - 13:9, 47:2, 97:1 sides [1] - 7:9 signed [2] - 48:5, 109:8 significant [1] - 60:21 signs [1] - 45:23 silver [1] - 44:17 similar [3] - 7:3, 30:17, 30:19 single [6] - 58:16, 72:17, 84:9, 89:10, 89:20 sit [1] - 50:14 site [21] - 17:6, 21:22, 29:17, 33:21, 34:1, 34:6, 34:13, 34:15, 34:17, 35:18, 37:13, 38:22, 40:22, 63:10, 63:14, 68:10, 89:8, 91:2, 104:5, 104:7, 104:9 sites [7] - 29:20, 33:4, 34:14, 36:4, 36:9, 36:10, 52:9 situation [2] - 46:5, 80.21 situations [1] - 110:16 **six** [14] - 11:5, 14:19, 44:3, 52:3, 53:16, 53:17, 55:15, 57:2, 57:7, 57:23, 60:4, 60:7, 62:2, 69:25 six-month [1] - 57:7 skid [1] - 60:2 slap [1] - 106:24 slide [21] - 8:14, 8:15, 9:17, 10:14, 11:22, 14:19. 14:23. 18:12. 28:12. 28:13. 28:15. 31:23, 32:3, 42:13, 46:10, 47:14, 59:15, 65:7, 105:20, 106:13

slides [2] - 59:15,

59:23 sliplining [2] - 76:23, 78:10 slow [2] - 22:18, 27:5 slowed [1] - 23:13 **small** [2] - 60:18, 63:5 smaller [1] - 55:21 **SMITH** [2] - 2:4, 117:21 Smith [2] - 117:22, 119:19 **snapshot** [1] - 57:7 **Snowden** [2] - 1:20, 121:7 **soil** [1] - 68:4 soldiers [2] - 111:9, 111:10 **solid** [4] - 68:8, 68:13, 69:3, 69:6 solution [2] - 47:8, 80:21 someone [6] - 46:22, 67:11, 102:17, 104:3, 104:8, 118:20 sometimes [1] - 25:19 soon [8] - 6:13, 15:15, 27:24, 53:12, 56:25, 76:18, 83:25, 84:2 sooner [1] - 90:7 sorry [10] - 22:22, 25:19, 59:4, 59:6, 75:25, 83:1, 83:14, 91:16, 95:21, 117:8 sort [2] - 57:7, 96:20 sound [1] - 12:15 sounded [1] - 23:1 sounds [4] - 37:9, 37:12, 50:17, 114:15 source [4] - 79:17, 85:1, 85:23, 113:11 sources [1] - 96:2 **Spaniola** [2] - 109:7, 109:9 **SPANIOLA** [5] - 2:2, 109:11, 109:15, 109:19, 109:22 spaniola [1] - 112:22 speaker [1] - 44:10 speakers [2] - 58:11, 103:13 speaking [5] - 9:4, 11:14, 11:16, 59:5, 84:14 special [2] - 97:14, 107:3 specialist [1] - 107:11 specific [4] - 94:15, 94:21, 94:22, 101:16 specifically [4] - 4:20, 5:22, 47:15, 99:14

specificity [1] - 85:15 **speed** [1] - 58:3 spell [1] - 44:18 spend [1] - 72:21 spending [1] - 73:23 sportsman's [1] -119:4 spot [1] - 84:9 SPP [1] - 24:7 spring/summer [2] -45:24, 92:1 SRDs [3] - 53:21, 54:21, 59:19 stability [2] - 62:13, 62:15 stabilized [1] - 118:3 staff [2] - 16:19, 53:7 staffer [1] - 5:9 staged [1] - 16:4 stages [1] - 72:6 stakeholder [9] -14:19, 14:22, 18:14, 21:18, 28:5, 28:10, 32:4, 37:14, 39:16 stand [2] - 87:1, 112:13 standards [1] - 41:4 standpoint [1] - 91:12 star [11] - 9:8, 9:9, 9:13, 65:18, 65:19, 106:10, 106:11, 117:17 start [12] - 9:19, 15:24, 18:14, 18:16, 18:17, 22:3, 32:5, 70:1, 84:8, 95:24, 102:11, 120:11 started [6] - 35:14, 56:25. 66:11. 66:14. 74:23, 93:14 starting [3] - 24:10, 92:1, 92:20 state [2] - 4:8, 88:6 State [8] - 41:3, 42:4, 42:9, 84:21, 88:11, 91:24, 92:13, 93:25 statement [7] - 3:21, 38:11, 38:15, 39:2, 39:8, 111:12, 113:15 statements [1] - 39:12 static [1] - 35:23 stationed [2] - 66:16, 66:21 status [6] - 5:4, 50:15, 59:1, 70:7, 72:4, 74:13 stay [1] - 57:21 stayed [1] - 118:5 staying [2] - 37:14, 73:20

step [3] - 16:13, 24:3, 84:17 stepping [1] - 17:19 steps [1] - 64:25 Steve [13] - 17:19, 18:2, 48:12, 66:2, 66:9, 67:8, 69:8, 70:2, 70:3, 71:9, 72:1, 74:7, 74:22 **STEVE** [1] - 2:3 Steve's [1] - 66:6 Steven [1] - 115:8 **STEVEN** [1] - 2:3 still [23] - 4:6, 5:16. 7:13, 7:24, 10:16, 15:21, 22:9, 24:7, 41:4, 41:15, 45:6, 55:23, 63:13, 64:23, 69:3, 72:1, 72:4, 79:3, 92:24, 94:6, 94:13, 95:5, 97:16 STOCK [2] - 1:12, 37:19 Stock [2] - 12:13, 37:18 stop [1] - 18:7 stopped [3] - 16:5, 77:22, 85:24 stopping [1] - 16:19 storm [11] - 47:1, 75:8, 76:22, 77:15, 77:16, 77:20. 81:13. 81:20. 82:4, 85:20, 85:23 stormwater [11] -46:16, 76:13, 76:15, 78:18, 81:20, 83:3, 83:18, 84:23, 85:16. 86:11, 86:14 straightened [1] -110:8 **STRAYER** [1] - 42:23 Strayer [2] - 13:11, 42:21 street [1] - 81:25 Street [5] - 1:4, 56:4, 59:16, 59:17, 77:21 stretch [1] - 60:20 strong [1] - 68:16 structural [1] - 66:17 structure [1] - 80:13 studies [2] - 82:6, 84:19 study [10] - 88:8, 97:13, 100:15, 100:20, 102:19, 103:19, 108:15,

108:16, 115:19

stuff [3] - 112:3,

112:4, 117:24

STUNTEBECK [3] -

1:17, 14:12, 39:21 Stuntebeck [3] - 14:6, 14:10, 39:18 sub [1] - 51:23 subject [3] - 44:20, 107:15, 113:19 submit [2] - 97:7, 108:25 submitted [3] - 88:4, 97:10, 97:17 submitting [1] - 53:12 Substance [1] - 95:17 substances [1] -114:20 Substances [1] -116:18 substantial [1] - 29:8 Substantive [1] -53:21 successfully [1] - 9:14 succinctly [2] - 10:23, 11:16 SUELTENFUSS [116] -1:7, 3:2, 3:19, 6:25, 8:13, 12:6, 12:19, 13:1, 13:4, 13:17, 13:22, 14:9, 14:13, 18:8, 18:11, 19:7, 19:13, 21:17, 23:22, 25:5, 25:14, 28:3, 31:19, 31:22, 32:2, 32:10, 32:16, 33:9, 35:6, 36:5, 37:8, 37:12, 37:17, 37:20, 37:24, 38:4, 39:14, 39:24, 40:15, 42:12, 42:20, 42:25, 43:12, 43:19, 44:24, 45:14, 46:6, 46:13, 47:12, 48:1, 48:16, 48:19, 49:8, 50:17, 51:5, 51:11, 55:18, 58:12, 59:8. 59:12. 60:23. 62:25. 63:16. 64:9. 64:13. 64:20. 65:2. 65:5, 66:8, 70:1, 71:16, 71:20, 74:7, 74:18, 75:4, 79:19, 79:23, 80:2, 81:11, 84:10, 84:13, 85:4, 85:11, 86:5, 86:17, 88:1, 91:16, 92:10, 92:14, 93:5, 94:4, 95:16, 96:12, 98:20, 99:3, 99:6, 102:6, 102:9, 103:14, 103:21, 104:25, 105:17, 108:19, 109:2, 109:13. 109:16, 109:20,

112:21, 113:16, 114:13, 114:19, 115:7, 117:10, 119:18, 119:22, 120:23 Sueltenfuss [1] - 3:3 suffer [1] - 108:3 sufficient [2] - 98:16, 110.4 suggest [4] - 25:21, 32:21, 98:6, 98:9 **suggesting** [1] - 87:15 suggestion [5] -34:19, 50:18, 51:6, 91:6, 114:16 suggestive [1] - 90:1 **Summary** [1] - 30:6 summary [2] - 30:20, 102:7 **summer** [4] - 24:3, 92:20, 97:9, 118:23 superintendent [1] -75:15 superiors [2] - 112:16, 113:25 supplemental [1] -67:11 **supply** [1] - 88:12 support [4] - 66:24, 68:7, 91:3, 115:1 **supporting** [1] - 47:9 supportive [3] - 6:15, 74:21, 75:1 **supposed** [3] - 99:15, 111:9, 112:17 surprised [2] - 38:25, 85:2 surrounding [1] - 47:6 survey [1] - 105:9 sweeping [1] - 112:19 system [42] - 53:15, 53:16, 53:20, 54:4, 54:17, 54:18, 54:20, 55:13, 55:14, 59:16, 59:17, 59:18, 59:25, 60:9. 60:12. 60:15. 60:17, 60:22, 61:2, 61:4, 61:25, 62:1, 62:16, 62:17, 63:23, 63:24, 64:1, 64:3, 76:22, 77:13, 77:18, 77:21, 77:25, 78:21, 78:23, 88:10, 88:17, 88:18, 90:2, 90:7 system's [1] - 61:18 systems [11] - 52:7, 53:2, 53:13, 53:15, 55:19, 57:24, 58:17, 58:18, 61:21, 79:18, 81:18

Т table [1] - 41:8 tables [1] - 120:20 Tammy [1] - 77:9 tanks [1] - 15:6 target [2] - 55:5, 119:8 targeting [1] - 119:15 task [1] - 4:22 tasked [1] - 113:10 TCE [1] - 97:11 team [31] - 3:17, 17:4, 17:14, 18:5, 22:17, 23:8, 24:8, 38:21, 39:9, 49:25, 66:4, 68:3, 68:9, 68:13, 68:15, 68:24, 69:3, 69:6, 78:5, 86:20, 86:21, 87:9, 87:19, 87:20, 87:24, 93:2, 103:6, 120:17 Team [1] - 17:14 teams [1] - 17:5 technical [16] - 10:10, 17:23, 23:4, 23:10, 45:2, 51:14, 58:25, 66:6, 66:7, 68:8, 68:23, 70:8, 72:3, 74:3, 75:2, 91:12 technologies [2] -61:10, 61:12 technology [1] - 1:4 teleconference [1] -1:4 **TELECONFERENCE** [6] - 1:11, 1:12, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:18 telephone [3] - 9:6, 9:7, 10:9 temporary [1] - 20:5 ten [7] - 42:14, 47:19, 64:16, 64:21, 92:7, 114:8, 118:16 ten-minute [2] - 64:16, 64:21 term [3] - 52:8, 52:11, 58:5 **TerMaath** [3] - 115:9, 117:11 **TERMAATH** [2] - 2:3, 115:10 terms [6] - 5:4, 31:2, 31:12, 43:11, 79:1, test [7] - 20:6, 64:2, 88:23, 88:25, 107:8, 107:15, 113:19 tested [2] - 107:2. 107:5

testing [1] - 119:7

tests [1] - 89:2 texting [1] - 87:11 thaw [1] - 45:20 **THE** [7] - 2:1, 25:11, 59:4, 59:7, 59:10, 64.4 therefore [2] - 68:23, 97:4 they've [4] - 20:1, 68:7, 83:3, 98:5 thinking [4] - 22:1, 31:1, 94:16, 95:24 third [2] - 9:17, 104:6 thoughts [2] - 95:20, 95:24 thousand [1] - 56:20 thousands [1] - 35:16 threat [1] - 29:8 three [16] - 10:6, 10:23, 11:16, 19:22, 33:3, 49:15, 58:17, 72:24, 81:14, 85:16, 94:19, 109:9, 113:17, 114:1, 115:2, 117:20 three-minute [3] -10:6, 109:9, 117:20 throw [1] - 118:13 thrown [1] - 115:18 **TIM** [2] - 1:7, 1:8 Tim [7] - 3:3, 12:5, 12:25, 13:25, 43:20, 44:22, 75:5 timeframe [3] - 22:1, 94:15, 103:11 timeframes [1] - 89:11 timeline [1] - 41:9 TO [1] - 3:1 today [3] - 6:9, 68:14, together [10] - 10:21, 30:14, 47:3, 67:25, 70:12, 73:18, 75:10, 76:11, 114:24, 120:20 tonight [10] - 9:18, 10:13, 12:12, 17:4, 17:18, 48:3, 81:16, 110:25, 115:16, 116:22 tonight's [3] - 8:19, 49:12, 51:12 **Tony** [2] - 109:7, 112:23 took [3] - 52:1, 52:18, 53:2 top [1] - 80:17 topic [10] - 23:21, 31:23, 70:15, 72:3, 77:12, 98:6, 102:11,

103:23, 104:14 topic-based [1] - 72:3 topics [2] - 70:9, 70:12 total [1] - 56:19 totally [1] - 112:12 touch [2] - 61:25, 104:18 tough [1] - 101:6 toward [1] - 25:17 towards [3] - 10:2, 46:25, 79:5 town [2] - 108:14, 118:10 Town [2] - 42:7, 43:4 Township [20] - 1:7, 1:8, 13:12, 14:1, 16:14, 42:22, 43:21, 43:23, 46:15, 47:7, 75:16, 75:23, 76:2, 76:7, 76:25, 83:5, 83:16, 84:2, 84:19, 85:7 township [1] - 75:13 Toxic [2] - 95:17, 116:18 toxicologist [1] -67:17 toxicologists [1] -68:3 toxicology [1] - 67:8 track [2] - 74:25, 94:14 tracked [1] - 73:8 trackers [1] - 74:22 tracking [3] - 72:18, 73:2, 73:9 Trail [1] - 118:21 training [1] - 61:3 transcribe [1] - 111:3 transcribing [1] -110:25 transcript [3] - 8:19, 11:12, 43:15 Transcript [1] - 1:3 transcriptions [1] -111:4 transition [4] - 6:10, 22:3, 43:25, 44:10 transitioned [1] -66:22 transitioning [1] -48:13 transitory [1] - 94:24 transparency [1] -39:6 travel [1] - 87:11 travels [1] - 112:3 treat [3] - 56:10, 59:16, 81:18

treated [8] - 53:18,

53:19, 54:19, 55:20, 59:17, 62:2, 78:20, 81.17 treatment [10] - 52:7, 53:15, 54:18, 55:3, 62:1, 63:22, 64:1, 83:7, 84:21, 111:7 treatments [1] - 55:7 tree [1] - 63:6 tree-clearing [1] - 63:6 trees [2] - 62:11, 63:8 tried [1] - 49:10 true [1] - 88:16 truly [2] - 38:24, 96:2 trust [2] - 39:6, 39:8 try [11] - 17:25, 27:4, 49:2, 49:18, 101:12, 109:14, 109:17, 113:3, 115:11, 115:23, 117:5 trying [11] - 7:15, 7:20, 7:24, 16:11, 24:16, 70:11, 81:6, 94:6, 94:13, 102:1, 116:20 turn [25] - 3:8, 3:11, 14:24, 17:24, 18:18, 33:10, 45:15, 58:12, 58:13, 59:13, 59:14, 63:2, 63:16, 65:20, 80:3, 81:6, 81:7, 84:10, 85:13, 93:15, 106:16, 109:9, 115:9, 120:9, 120:12 turned [2] - 89:12, 118:10 twice [1] - 73:1 two [25] - 8:14, 10:21, 17:6, 22:4, 22:5, 26:5, 32:21, 34:12, 34:15, 35:14, 35:17, 35:18, 41:9, 47:20, 50:6, 54:22, 55:19, 60:25, 61:20, 65:24, 72:21, 74:25, 95:14, 97:18, 105:22 type [1] - 61:13 types [1] - 86:2 typical [1] - 4:13 typically [2] - 18:16, 99:23

U

U.S [2] - 40:10, 95:3 UFP [1] - 15:21 UFP-QAPP [1] - 15:21 ugly [1] - 83:8 un-mute [6] - 9:9, 9:12, 9:13, 65:15, 65:19, 106:11 unable [1] - 117:3 unacceptable [2] -112:12, 114:5 unanswered [1] -30.14 unbelievably [1] -108:4 unconscionable [1] -111:8 under [4] - 51:23, 105:13, 112:10, 112:19 understood [2] -35:18, 50:25 undeveloped [1] -120:3 unfortunately [4] -99:25, 107:21, 108:13, 114:7 unit [2] - 55:21, 86:9 **up** [50] - 4:20, 7:25, 9:10, 10:12, 15:5, 23:14, 23:25, 25:12, 28:4, 29:13, 31:11, 36:1, 38:13, 41:23, 44:19, 47:8, 49:23, 56:16, 56:17, 58:3, 58:6, 60:13, 60:19, 60:22, 67:13, 71:25, 72:12, 73:1, 73:3, 75:10, 76:3, 76:9, 79:20, 81:22, 83:16, 84:3, 84:7, 84:11, 85:12, 87:1, 87:2, 93:10, 99:2, 101:21, 105:2, 108:17, 109:8, 110:12, 114:3, 117:14 upcoming [1] - 47:23 update [33] - 4:3, 4:8, 4:18, 6:7, 7:3, 9:25, 10:1. 14:20. 14:24. 18:20, 18:23, 21:18, 28:5, 28:11, 31:24, 33:23, 40:16, 43:13, 46:7, 46:23, 49:3, 51:18, 51:25, 52:3, 52:25, 53:1, 53:13, 59:15, 64:10, 64:11, 69:12, 71:3, 104:9 updated [4] - 33:17, 34:17, 48:4, 69:14 updates [23] - 6:6, 7:8, 9:20, 14:19, 18:14, 18:15, 28:10, 32:4, 32:5, 32:6, 32:9,

32:13, 37:14, 38:1,

39:16, 42:11, 43:11,

un-muted [4] - 9:14,

12:23, 65:14, 106:17

45:16, 46:9, 50:3, 62:19, 73:10, 92:25 updating [1] - 74:15 upset [1] - 114:10 **URSA**[1] - 67:2 Ursanewby [1] -107:11 **USAF** [1] - 88:23 USDA [6] - 1:8, 7:13, 7:19, 7:23, 14:6, 39:18 **USDA-FS** [1] - 1:8 useful [1] - 117:7 usual [1] - 47:17 utilities [1] - 78:20 utilize [1] - 30:24 utterly [1] - 91:8

V

VA [9] - 99:12, 100:24, 100:25, 101:24, 107:3, 107:10, 113:19, 114:8, 116:19 VA's [1] - 100:25 value [2] - 43:8 **Van** [9] - 15:11, 16:16, 21:9, 24:13, 41:3, 45:21, 45:23, 53:8, 97:1 variety [2] - 4:25, 5:13 various [1] - 67:22 Varley [14] - 3:10, 3:12, 13:10, 14:24, 18:9, 35:7, 43:24, 46:15, 78:2, 85:4, 103:15, 114:16, 120:12, 120:15 **VARLEY** [117] - 1:16, 3:15, 15:1, 18:10, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 19:19, 20:10, 20:21, 21:5, 21:16, 21:24, 22:2, 22:6, 22:23, 23:3, 24:1, 24:23, 25:2, 25:23, 26:9, 26:18, 26:23, 27:6, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:23, 30:4, 30:9, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:15, 31:18, 31:25, 33:7, 33:12, 34:20, 34:23, 35:1, 35:4, 35:8, 44:22, 44:25, 46:17, 46:21, 46:24, 47:25, 48:12, 48:24, 49:2, 49:6, 49:16, 49:23, 56:12, 56:15, 57:4, 58:2, 64:18,

65:1, 68:1, 69:5, 73:7, 73:22, 75:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:3, 79:11, 80:9, 80:15, 80:24, 81:2, 81:5, 82:7, 82:12, 82:15, 82:22, 82:25, 83:12, 83:21, 83:25, 85:5, 85:10, 86:1, 86:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:19, 89:5, 90:10, 90:13, 90:19, 91:11, 94:6, 94:13, 95:8, 95:18, 95:23, 98:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:16, 104:17. 105:13. 112:23. 114:18. 114:22, 119:20. 120:16 varley [3] - 75:6, 94:4, 112:15 varying [1] - 100:6 Vaughn [6] - 8:25, 12:14, 37:21, 48:7, 79:20, 80:3 VAUGHN [11] - 1:18, 12:17, 37:22, 51:9, 79:22, 80:4, 80:11, 80:22, 80:25, 81:3, vegetation [2] - 62:11 venture [1] - 51:24 verbal [1] - 10:7 verbatim [2] - 8:18, 11:12 verify [1] - 42:17 version [1] - 71:14 versions [1] - 51:2 versus [6] - 24:14, 35:11, 35:13, 57:25, 76:6, 84:9 vertical [3] - 20:22, 26:4, 26:19 vessels [2] - 54:16, 54:23 Vet [1] - 100:8 vet [1] - 102:2 veteran [1] - 101:2

veteran's [2] - 101:11,

Veterans [3] - 106:15,

veterans [14] - 88:23,

97:6, 97:10, 99:14,

109:25, 111:6, 114:5

89:1, 91:1, 92:5,

101:7, 106:25,

108:1, 108:2,

107:23, 109:4

103:22

vets [3] - 97:15, 97:24, 98.2 via [4] - 1:4, 8:25, 10:8. 108:25 VIA [6] - 1:11, 1:12, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:18 video [1] - 1:4 view [1] - 11:1 viewing [1] - 59:15 Vij [3] - 13:19, 43:1, 92:15 VIJ [9] - 1:16, 13:21, 43:3, 43:17, 92:18, 95:21, 96:4, 96:18, 98:14 virtual [1] - 106:1 virtually [19] - 3:6, 3:14, 12:8, 12:14, 12:20, 13:18, 13:23, 14:11, 25:7, 32:12, 42:18, 63:4, 63:17, 65:14, 65:21, 71:22, 105:2, 106:7, 120:2 visited [1] - 92:7 visitors [1] - 45:25 **VOCs** [2] - 64:6, 97:11 voices [1] - 87:12 volatile [1] - 108:11 volume [1] - 78:24

W

wait [4] - 88:23, 88:24,

88:25, 89:1 waiting [2] - 24:14, 34:12 walk [2] - 9:16, 64:24 wall [3] - 15:5, 34:21, 101:21 wants [5] - 6:22, 39:23, 87:21, 98:11, 107:16 wastewater [1] - 84:21 Water [6] - 28:18, 41:20, 86:9, 106:15, 107:24, 109:5 water [37] - 7:14, 8:1, 47:2, 53:19, 54:19, 55:12, 56:3, 56:10, 59:18, 62:3, 64:2, 77:17, 78:20, 81:17, 82:8, 82:11, 88:9, 88:12, 88:13, 88:17, 89:17, 90:2, 90:7, 92:4, 93:3, 93:25, 96:24, 97:2, 97:22, 100:1, 101:14, 101:21, 111:15, 115:22

waterfall [1] - 64:1 ways [1] - 93:25 WebEx [2] - 8:25, 10:8 website [7] - 36:2, 49:24, 49:25, 69:14, 71:4, 71:12, 71:15 **WEDNESDAY** [1] - 1:1 week [12] - 15:5, 15:25, 16:1, 23:18, 42:10, 49:14, 49:18, 50:13, 53:3, 60:17, 83:14 weekly [4] - 53:22, 54:20, 59:18, 74:24 weeks [8] - 4:12, 22:4, 22:5, 25:4, 41:9, 50:6, 60:7, 87:10 WEISS [3] - 1:8, 14:8, 39:25 Weiss [1] - 14:5 welcome [4] - 3:2, 13:6, 13:25, 65:5 welcoming [1] - 3:9 wells [28] - 19:21, 19:22, 20:2, 20:8, 20:18, 20:23, 21:3, 23:24, 24:6, 24:9, 40:2, 52:17, 53:6, 54:7, 54:9, 55:5, 56:4, 56:10, 89:13, 89:17, 91:4, 91:7, 103:18, 105:7, 105:11, 116:1, 119:7 wetland [3] - 62:1, 63:23, 63:24 wheel [1] - 22:7 who'd [1] - 106:6 whole [6] - 47:5, 78:5, 81:14

49:13, 67:10, 76:16, wildlife [2] - 36:22, 92:22 willing [4] - 98:12, 98:13, 99:1, 107:17 WILLIS [8] - 2:3, 66:11, 66:14, 67:14, 70:4, 72:9, 73:15, 74:9 Willis [3] - 17:14, 66:2, 70:2 WINN [12] - 1:12. 12:24, 13:3, 38:2, 38:5, 65:24, 66:13, 67:4, 68:17, 69:7, 70:22, 71:18 Winn [5] - 8:25, 12:20, 12:22, 37:25, 65:23

WITNESS [1] - 64:4 wondered [1] - 105:7 wondering [4] - 20:4, 44:14, 46:4, 98:24 WOOD [1] - 2:6 Wood [3] - 51:18, 51:21, 51:22 woody [1] - 62:11 word [1] - 80:6 words [1] - 111:19 workers [2] - 88:18, 95:1 works [6] - 64:17, 64:18, 78:6, 91:23, 95:25, 109:21 worry [1] - 63:7 wrap [1] - 10:12 written [1] - 31:10 **WURTSMITH** [1] - 1:1 Wurtsmith [22] - 1:3, 3:4, 14:2, 38:17, 39:4, 41:25, 42:8, 42:15, 43:4, 47:5, 66:16, 88:8, 95:4, 95:12, 107:14, 107:25, 108:2, 110:6, 111:7, 113:22, 115:3,

19:10, 19:14, 19:20, 20:19, 21:1, 21:6, 21:20, 21:25, 22:5, 22:21, 22:24, 23:19, 28:14, 30:7, 30:10, 30:16, 30:22, 31:6, 31:17, 31:21, 46:11, 46:14, 46:19, 46:22, 47:11, 63:5, 63:15, 90:21, 91:13, 91:18, 92:12, 98:23, 108:23

117:22

108:21

Wusterbarth [7] -

13:5, 18:19, 28:17,

63:1, 98:21, 107:7,

WUSTERBARTH [36] -

1:13, 18:21, 19:4,

Υ

year [20] - 6:3, 8:7, 17:2, 22:14, 34:4, 52:15, 52:23, 55:16, 56:21, 58:17, 60:20, 61:20, 62:23, 73:1, 76:2, 92:2, 92:20, 97:12, 118:4 years [38] - 6:5, 17:6, 17:7, 26:5, 32:21, 33:3, 34:12, 34:16, 35:14, 35:17, 35:18, 43:25, 44:2, 44:3, 44:17, 58:19, 67:1, 67:17, 72:24, 77:13, 81:14, 84:20, 88:6, 88:7, 92:7, 94:19, 95:2, 95:14, 97:18, 98:4, 99:13, 114:1, 114:8, 115:3, 118:2, 118:16 Yes" [1] - 87:23 yesterday [1] - 17:22 York [1] - 118:4 yourself [5] - 9:9, 9:13, 65:15, 65:19, 106:12

Ζ

zone [1] - 102:22 **zoom** [1] - 36:3

winter [3] - 15:4, 15:9,

wisely [1] - 10:22

118:25